## NICHOLAS F. NIXON & SONS\*\*\*CEIVER

JUL 1 0 1930 CHERRY GROVE BEACH DEVELOPMENT-HORRY STRAND

LOTSANDIAGREAGE OFFICE AT BEACH

LOCATED ON INLAND WATERWAY AND KING'S HIGHWAY

JUL 11 1933

THE OLD RELIABLE CHERRY GROVE BEACH RESORT

LEAND FILEWAMPEE, S. C. July

W.O. Foresty learnin, Washington. D.C.

FOREST SERVICE JUL 1 0 1933 REFERRED TO

LANDS

Gentlemen: Please allow to long to your attendent

tion, our section of leaastal South leavolina = The Horry leo. Strand, One of the finish Beaches in the N. D. with back country of great beauty & firtility. as we have no national Park on either Ocean, and as this section has its Strand on the Mainland - accessible at all points, and is the only Strand low driven whom & so honored & made forever farmones low driven whom & so honored & made forever farmones by our first Bresident and Jaction of our learntry- I am interceding with our bout and our great President so much like the Great Washington, to do a tardy ach -To dectare this section as The Beo. Washington Coastal Bark, and week suitable monuments to this end. nothing can add more to the lasting glory of Mr. Rosevelt, as a sistable climat, to all his great endeavours, which may or may not, para down the ages with the real of which may or may not, para down the ages with the real of where if we the people. Whe Hower of the land; is looking

to the Historical Coast of South Carolina, and no other place is

more descring of the Nation's recognition, and been immoticed. I could write at length on this ontject. I can vay no

more than-God has blessed hes, with all, that any land can boast. now gentlemen, if you will let Mr. Rowell read this letter, I will thank you to so advise me, I men 70 yr. old & would be happy to acchieve

Very Lowy Nicholas J. Noxon. this deserving proposal

Mr. Nicholas F. Nixon,

Wampee, S. C.

Dear Sir:

Your letter of July 7 is received.

Since you are interested in a National Park being established in your locality, your letter will be referred to the National Park Service in the Department of the Interior. The National Forests and not the National Parks are under the supervision of the Forest Service.

Very truly yours,

C. H. Squire

Acting Assistant Forester.

Z. F. P.

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

WASHINGTON

Brooks

JUL 14 1933

Mr. Nicholas F. Nixon,

Wampes, South Carolina.

Dear Mr. Nixon:

By reference from the U. S. Forest Service we are in receipt of your letter of July 7, advocating the establishment of a coastal national park including the beaches of South Carolina. It is noted that the area to which you refer is in Horry County and just north of Myrtle Beach.

It is a well established policy that areas considered for national park purposes must be of outstanding scenic quality, examples of unusual natural phenomena or extraordinary results of such phenomena, or of unusual historical or scientific interest. They must also be of sufficient size to allow the development of tourist facilities on a large and comprehensive scale and also should not duplicate the major characteristics of any existing national park. In other words the policy is that each national park created should be a unique example of its kind, should be of national rather than local or sectional interest, and capable of broad comprehensive development for national use. Furthermore, in order to insure adequate and satisfactory Federal administration, protection and preservation, such areas should be entirely free from privately owned lands.

Until recent years areas set aside as national parks have been in the western states and carved from the public domain. However, during the past few years the establishment of national parks has been provided for in the East to cover lands to be donated by private parties as, for instance, the proposed Isle Royale National Park in Michigan, the proposed Shenandoah National Park in Virginia, and the Great Smoky Mountains National Park in North Carolina and Tennessee. Therefore, the policy followed in establishing national parks when the land is not already owned by the United States is that the land be first turned over to the Government free of charge by local or other interests.

From the information that you have submitted it does not appear that the area in question would measure up to the high standards set

for national park areas. However if you have any additional information, maps, etc. which you could submit explaining the features of this area tending to establish its qualifications for a national park we would be glad to receive same.

Sincerely yours,

(SGD.) A. E. DEMARAY

A. E. Demaray,

Acting Director.

av i su nugarists

HLB:LOM