

# ASSESSING ELK TRAIL AND WALLOW IMPACTS IN MOUNT RAINIER NATIONAL PARK

· · ·

FINAL REPORT

Submitted to

The National Park Service Cooperative Agreement CA-9000-3-0003 SubAgreement 16

Submitted by

William J. Ripple, Edward E. Starkey, and Barry J. Schrumpf

Oregon State University Corvallis, Oregon 97331

August, 1988

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES	7
II. FIELD ENUMERATION AND MAPPING	8
Methods	8
Results	9
III. ELK WALLOW INVENTORY	12
Methods	12
Results	12
IV. PRELIMINARY INTERPRETATION AND ACCURACY ASSESSMENT	15
Methods	15
Results	16
V. PERMANENT PHOTO PLOTS	17
Methods	17
Results	18
VI. SYSTEMATIC SAMPLING FOR ELK TRAILS	21
Methods	21
Results	21
VII. METHODS FOR THE ACQUISITION AND INTERPRETATION OF AERIAL	
PHOTOGRAPHY	27
INTRODUCTION	27
FLIGHT PLANNING	27
Scale of Aerial Photography	27
Season and Time of Day	28
Specifications of the Aerial Photography	29
STEPS FOR PHOTOINTERPRETATION OF ELK TRAILS	31
VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS	33

#### **APPENDICES**

- APPENDIX I Aerial survey flight maps
- APPENDIX II Elk trail enumerations: tabular and photographic
- APPENDIX III Comparison and accuracy assessment of photointerpretation with ground truth
- APPENDIX IV Photo plots associated with ten sites for monitoring elk trails
- APPENDIX V Trail and vegetation widths for Fremont Basin Photo Plot: 1986 and 1987
- APPENDIX VI Presence and absence of elk trails from aerial photography
- APPENDIX VII Flight planning specifications and procedures
- APPENDIX VIII Aerial photography survey companies and aerial film processors

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Average elk trail width and amount of bare soil found in five enumeration areas	11
Table 2.	Extent of elk trails and amount of bare soil exposed in five enumeration areas	11
Table 3.	Critical Areas	14
Table 4.	Remote sensing mapping accuracy of elk trail mapping at four sites in Mount Rainier National Park	16
Table 5.	Locations and frame numbers associated with the photo plot sampling sites selected by Mount Rainier National Park	
	staff.	17

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Location of Twenty-three (23) critical areas for Elk Trampling and Wallowing	13
Figure 2.	Locations of 10 photo plot sites for monitoring elk trails	19
Figure 3.	Example of grid used to quantify the presence of elk trails using the aerial photography from 1985 and 1986	22
Figure 4.	Presence of elk trails 1985	23
Figure 5.	Presence of elk trails 1986	25
Figure 6.	Bar Graphs showing percent forest canopy cover on the x- axis, and on the y-axis, the frequency of trails both observed and not observed within the grid cells	26

-

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to both Stan Schlegal and Bob Dunnagan of Mount Rainier National Park for providing on-site facilities and collaborating on all aspects of this project. Others that provided assistance in the laboratory and/or in the field include Vicki Davis, Dori Hawthorne, Greg Schroer, Dennis Isaacson, and Jesse Hollings.

# ASSESSING ELK TRAIL AND WALLOW IMPACTS IN MOUNT RAINIER NATIONAL PARK

#### I. INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

There is concern that the apparent population growth of Mount Rainier's north elk herd may be subjecting several park ecosystems to overuse, damage and substantial alteration. Therefore, the purpose of this project was to develop a system to inventory and monitor trails and wallows caused by elk in the northeastern part of Mount Rainier National Park. The objectives of this three year project as outlined in subagreement 16 to cooperative agreement CA-9000-3-0003 between Oregon State University and the National Park Service include:

- 1. Development of a remote sensing system to monitor the onset/or rapid change in elk trailing impacts using aerial photography
- 2. Enumeration and mapping of elk trails and the estimation of the area of exposed soil associated with these trails.
- 3. Establishment of an inventory and monitoring system for documenting elk wallow areas.

Natural color aerial photography was obtained in 1985, 1986, and 1987. The scale of the photographs range from 1:5,000 to 1:6,000. The flight dates were August 6 and 12, 1985 (185 photos), August 14 and

September 9, 1986 (154 photos), and August 4, 1987 (36 photos). Aerial survey flight maps for each year can be found in appendix I. This aerial photography was used to both develop an elk impact monitoring system and establish baseline data. A number of approaches were developed to meet the above objectives using a combination of aerial photo interpretation and field work. These approaches are described in the following text with sections entitled: II Field Enumeration and Mapping, III Elk Wallow Inventory, IV Preliminary Interpretation and Accuracy Assessment, V Permanent Photo Plots, VI Systematic Sampling for Elk Trails, and VII Methods for the Acquisition and Interpretation of Aerial Photography.

#### **II. FIELD ENUMERATION AND MAPPING**

Methods were developed to map and quantify elk trail impacts in **areas covered partially by forest canopies**. This elk trail enumeration and mapping is sensitive to both the number and location of trails. This procedure identifies elk trails and provides statistics showing the areal extent of vegetation loss due to elk trails.

#### Methods

The methods involved enlarging Park resource aerial photography from a scale of 1:24,000 to a scale of 1:6,000. Topographic contour lines and stream locations were transferred from 7.5' topographic quadrangles to clear overlays on the enlarged photography. The mapping of elk trails was conducted in the field using the topographic overlays on the photographic

enlargements. Sites selected for trail enumeration were completely canvassed by hiking each enumeration area. All elk trails that were discovered in enumeration areas were delineated on the photo enlargements. Oblique 35mm photographs were acquired from the end of each trail. Trail width measurements were taken at points five meters from the ends of each trail and at the point approximately midway between the two ends of each trail. The line intercept method was used to record the extent of any green vegetation that intercepted the tape measure as it was laid across each trail for the width measurements. The enumeration areas were located in areas with significant elk impacts and in areas with little or no present impacts. These areas included sites at both Lower and Upper Huckleberry Basin, sites near Sunrise Lake and Clover Lake, and a site in Bear Park.

#### Results

Table 1 shows the average width of trails found in each of the five enumeration areas. The overall mean trail width was 0.43m with an average green vegetation width (intercept) of 0.04m. Table 2 illustrates the extent of elk trails and the amount of bare soil exposed in each of the enumeration areas. The extent of elk trails ( $m/km^2$ ) was determined by dividing the total length (m) of trails in an area by the size of the area ( $km^2$ ). The length of trails were determined with the aid of an electronic digitizer tablet. The amount of bare soil ( $m^2/km^2$ ) in each enumeration area was calculated by dividing the area of exposed bare soil ( $m^2$ ) by the size of the enumeration area ( $km^2$ ). Table 2 shows that the trail impacts

were highest at the Lower Huckleberry Basin and Clover Lake and lowest at the Upper Huckleberry Basin and Sunrise Lake enumeration areas. Appendix II contains listings of all trail measurements and copies of the trail maps for each of the five areas.

Enumeration Area	Average Trail Width (M)	Average Vegetation Width (M)	Average Bare Soil Width (M)
Upper Huckleberry Basin			
Sunrise Lake	.41	.07	. 34
Bear Park	.46	.05	.41
Clover Lake	. 38	.04	.34
Lower Huckleberry Basin	.45	.03	.42
Overall Average	.43	.04	.38

#### TABLE 1. AVERAGE ELK TRAIL WIDTH AND AMOUNT OF BARE SOIL FOUND ON TRAILS IN FIVE ENUMERATION AREAS.

# TABLE 2. EXTENT OF ELK TRAILS AND AMOUNT OF BARE SOIL EXPOSED IN FIVE ENUMERATION AREAS.

Enumeration Area	Size of Area (Km²)	Extent of Elk Trails (M/Km²)	Amount of Bare Soil (M <sup>2</sup> /Km <sup>2</sup> )
Upper Huckleberry Basin	.068245	-0-	-0-
Sunrise Lake	.105548	1,568	508
Bear Park	.075807	6,575	2,687
Clover Lake	.166856	13,007	4,564
Lower Huckleberry Basin	.104175	14,502	6,329

#### **III. ELK WALLOW INVENTORY**

#### Methods

An inventory was conducted to identify critical areas for elk trail trampling and wallowing. A critical area was defined as a site in a wet meadow having bowl-shaped depressions or showing evidence of trampling. Trampling activity was characterized by a loss of vegetation, while the bowl-shaped depressions were identified as features used by elk for wallowing. All of the 185 aerial photographs that were acquired in August of 1985 were examined for critical areas.

#### Results

Twenty-three critical areas were identified on the aerial photography. A black arrow was placed adjacent to each critical area on the aerial photographs. These locations were transferred to 7.5' quadrangle maps to illustrate the location and the index number of each critical area (Figure 1.). The description and location of each critical area can also be found in Table 3.



Figure 1. Location of twenty-three (23) critical areas for Elk trampling and wallowing.

## Table 3.

•

•

### MOUNT RAINIER NATIONAL PARK CRITICAL AREAS: ELK TRAMPLING AND WALLOWING - 1985

•

.

Map Index Number	Photo Number	Ground Photo Number	Description
1	1-11	-	Bare soil areas adjacent to Lake
2	1-15	-	Bare soil areas along stream in small open area
3	1-19	-	Bare soil areas along with depressions in small open area
4	2-21	4a - 4g	Depressions in meadow near Lake
5	3-02	-	Depressions found throughout Cold Basin
6	3-11	-	Depressions in meadow opening
7	3-11	-	Depressions near Lake
8	3-16	8a	Large bare soil area and depressions near Brown Peak
9	3-20	9a - 9c	Depressions just west of Bear Lake
10	3-20	-	Wet bare soil area east of Bear Lake
11	4-16	-	Depressions in meadow near stream
12	4-29	-	Wet depressions in very small forest opening
13	5-07	-	Depressions scattered throughout Vernal Park
14	5-15	-	Brownish area near stream in meadow
15	5-22	-	Depressions in small opening just northeast of Lake
16	5-22	-	One depression on meadow edge southwest of Lake
17	5-24	-	Depression in very small forest opening
18	5-26	-	Small depression near stream southeast of Lake
19	5-32	-	Depressions and bare soil areas in meadow at photo center
20	5-32	-	Wet depression in small forest opening
21	6-08	21a - 21c	Two depressions and bare soil areas in Huckleberry Meadow
22	6-11	-	Two depressions along stream near small Lake
23	6-12	-	Two depressions along stream

#### **IV. PRELIMINARY INTERPRETATION AND ACCURACY ASSESSMENT**

#### Methods

185 natural color aerial photographs were obtained from flights on August 6 and August 12, 1985. The flight lines were located over a selected area in a region extending from Elysian Fields to Bear Park. The contact scale of the 9" x 9" color negatives was approximately 1:6,000.

Standard aerial photographic interpretation methods were used to delineate elk trails in four non-forested areas selected for preliminary analysis, each with a different slope aspect. The photographic interpretation and mapping work was performed in the laboratory on enlarged prints at a scale of 1:2,400.

Field checking for elk trail mapping accuracy was accomplished in September of 1985. The preliminary interpretation overlays of delineated trails were removed from the enlargements before the field trip. The field checking consisted of a complete canvassing of each of the four areas. All trials were delineated on photographs in the field for each area using methods described in the elk trial enumeration and mapping section of this paper. An accuracy assessment was conducted by comparing the results of the laboratory mapping to the results of the field mapping.

#### Results

Table 4 contains the results of the accuracy assessment. Overall, the results ranged from 61.0% of the trails interpreted correctly on Green Park Ridge to a high of 95.8% at the Bear Park East site. The ridge sites resulted in the lowest accuracies due to a lack of contrast between non-vegetated trails and slopes with drying vegetative cover. Since the 1985 season was very dry, the color of the herbaceous vegetation was brown instead of green on the aerial photography. This resulted in little contrast between the vegetation and the elk trails, causing mostly omission errors on the ridges and other dry areas. The average trail width ranged from 40 cm at the Bear Park East site to 59 cm on Bear Park Ridge. Appendix III contains maps which can be used to compare the photo interpretation of the elk trails with the ground truth.

#### Table 4. Remote Sensing Mapping Accuracy of Elk Trail Mapping at Four Sites in Mount Rainier National Park

Location	Aspect	Percent <u>Correct</u>	Average <u>Trail Width</u>
Bear Park North	North	92.4%	43 cm
Bear Park East	East	95.8%	40 cm
Bear Park Ridge	West	64.8%	59 cm
Green Park Ridge	South	61.0%	52 cm

#### **V. PERMANENT PHOTO PLOTS**

#### Methods

Baseline data on elk trails were established for ten photo plots on the 1986 aerial photography and six corresponding photo plots on the 1985 aerial photography. These square photo plots were selected by Mount Rainier National Park staff to serve as permanent sites for the elk trail monitoring system. Table 5 shows the photography frame numbers associated with these selected sites. Only sites that appeared to have favorable elk habitat and were relatively free from large areas of closed forest canopy were chosen. Sites near the edges of photographs were avoided because of radial displacement problems associated with photographic edges. Elk trails were delineated inside each photo plot with a fine point black ink pen on clear acetate.

Table 5. Locations and frame numbers associated with the photo-plot sampling sites selected by Mount Rainier National Park Staff.

	<u>1986</u>	<u>1985</u>
Fremont Lookout North	7E-4	4-16
Green Park	6E-5	3-10
Burnt Park	4E-3	1-11
Vernal Park	8W-6	5-7
Elysian Fields West	7E-3	4-1
Bear Park	5E-13	3-19
Sluiskin Mountain	6W-7	
Fawn Ridge	1-8	
Slide Mountain	3-6	
No Name Park	3-2	

Field work for ground data collection was conducted in August, 1986 and August, 1987 in the Fremont Basin photo plot. Oblique 35 mm photographs

were acquired for each elk trail at approximately the same location each year. Trail width measurements were taken at points five meters from the ends of each trail and at the approximate midway point between the ends of each trail. The line intercept method was used to record the extent of any vegetation that intercepted the tape measure as it lay across each trail. A new technique was developed to obtain vertical photographs of a portion of the elk trails. This technique consists of extending a monopod over and normal to the tape as it lay across the trail. The photographs were exposed at a height of approximately two meters above the trail.

#### Results

Figure 2 shows the locations of the ten permanent photo plots, and Appendix IV contains images-maps showing the trails delineated for each photo plot. From August 1985 to August 1986 there appeared to be no detectable increase in elk trails in the Bear Park plot. The Elysian Fields West plot also had no detectable increase and appeared to be free from trails during both years. The interpretation results for Green, Burnt, and Vernal Park plots show slight increases in the extent of elk trails from 1985 to 1986. There appeared to be a significant one year increase in elk trails for the Fremont Basin plot between 1985 and 1986. It should be noted that a portion of the trail differences on these sets of aerial photographs could be the result of differences in photo quality, distance from the photo centers, and plant phenological stages.

# MT. RAINIER NATIONAL PARK



The results of the August 1986 and August 1987 trail measurements in the Fremont Basin photo plot indicate continued intensive use of existing trails, rather than the development of additional elk trails. In 1986, the mean trail width was 56.5 cm, with a mean vegetation width (intercept) of 9.0cm. In 1987, the mean trail width increased to 58.8 cm, while the mean vegetation width (intercept) decreased to 6.2 cm. The ground photographs of these elk trails also show an increase of bare soil on some of the trails in the photo-plot. Appendix V presents the data relating to the trail measurements obtained in the 1986 and 1987 field season.

Aerial photography was also obtained in 1987 for Vernal Park, Elysian Fields, and Sluiskin Mountain. No changes in elk impacts were discovered for these photo plots.

#### VI. SYSTEMATIC SAMPLING FOR ELK TRAILS

The aerial photography from both 1985 and 1986 was used to conduct a survey of elk trails within the project area in the northeast part of Mount Rainier National Park. The objectives were 1) to obtain baseline data on the extent of elk trials, and 2) to show relative differences in elk trail impacts by geographic area.

#### Methods

A quantification of elk trails visibly present on the aerial photography was obtained by placing a two-by-two grid centered on the principal point of every other photograph for every flight line (Figure 3). The dimension of each grid cell was 6cm by 6cm. The presence, or absence, of visible elk trails was determined for each of the four grid cells on the photographs (Appendix VI). An ocular estimate of percent forest canopy cover was also recorded for each grid cell. Presence values for each of the sampled photographs ranged from "0" through "4" -- a value of "0" indicated elk trails were visibly absent from all cells, while a value of "4" indicated the visible presence of elk trails in all four grid cells per photograph.

#### Results

The results from the 1985 photography indicate a relatively low presence of elk trails at Grand Park, Cold Basin, and Elysian Fields (Figure 4). Few elk trails were found near human developments, such as Sunrise Road and



Figure 3. Example of grid used to quantify the presence of elk trails using the aerial photography from 1985 and 1986.



the Sunrise Ranger Station. Numerous elk trails were seen at Bear Park, Brown Peak, Burn Park, Green Park Ridge, Fremont Lookout, Vernal Park, and the area north of the Sourdough Mountains (Figure 4).

Compilation results from the 1986 aerial photography indicate a significantly high level of elk trails in the area between Bear Park and Fawn Ridge, including the Slide Mountain area (Figure 5). Numerous elk trails were also found in the Tyee Peak, Windy Gap, and Sluiskin Mountain areas.

The frequency of elk trails were also displayed in relation to percent forest canopy cover for both the 1985 and 1986 aerial photography (Figure 6). The visible presence of elk trails was highest in areas with substantial patches of both hiding/thermal cover (forested areas) and forage habitat (open areas). On the 1985 photography, visible trails were most frequent in areas of 65, 30, and 35/40 percent forest canopy cover. The 1986 photography showed elk trail frequencies highest at sites of 40, 30, and 50 percent canopy cover. It should be noted that it was not possible to estimate the quantity of elk trails below tree canopies from the aerial photography, and forest canopy coverage of greater than 90 percent obscured nearly all elk trails.



Steen Prow

Camp



Figure 6. Bar graphs showing percent forest canopy cover on the x-axis, and on the y-axis, the frequency of trails both observed and not observed within the grid cells.

# VII. METHODS FOR THE ACQUISITION AND INTERPRETATION OF AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

#### INTRODUCTION

The objective of this section is to describe in detail the procedures used and the techniques required to obtain new aerial photography for the detection of elk impacts in the sub-alpine zone of Mount Rainier National Park. This discussion is based on several years experience in the use of low altitude aerial photography for the interpretation of elk impacts.

#### FLIGHT PLANNING

If existing aerial photography is not suitable for the application at hand, it may be necessary to contract for new aerial photography. The photo interpreter will then need to be responsible for determining the project objectives, producing a flight plan, and inspecting the newly acquired aerial photography. To accomplish this, the interpreter must become familiar with aerial photography specifications.

#### Scale of Aerial Photography

The scale of the aerial photography should be one of the first considerations in the planning phase. The scale needs to be large enough and show enough detail to meet the objectives of the project. For the

Mount Rainier elk impact study, we used 2.5X enlargements of the 1:5,000 scale contact prints for mapping elk trails.

The photographic scale is determined by the altitude of the aircraft and the focal length of the camera lens where:

> Photographic Scale = <u>Camera Focal Length</u> Altitude above ground datum

For example, we used a one-foot (12") focal length lens and a 5,000 foot altitude above the ground. This resulted in approximately 1:5,000 nominal scale on flat terrain. In rugged terrain, a decrease in ground elevation causes a smaller scale, while an increase in ground elevation results in a larger scale. For the Mount Rainier project, the pilot would determine the altitude of the plane independently for each flight line based on the average elevation of each flight line. For cost efficiency, it is best to specify the smallest scale that will meet the project requirements. A doubling of the photographic scale will require a four-fold increase in the number of frames needed to cover the same area.

#### Season and Time of Day

The season for scheduling a photographic mission at Mount Rainier will be dependent upon the objectives of the project, the amount of snow cover, the sun angle required, and plant phenology. For vegetation and soils analysis, it is generally recommended that the photography be acquired during the plant growing season, with a high sun angle, and after the seasonal snow melt.

The time of day is a very important flight specification. With vegetation analysis, the flight should be within two hours of solar noon to provide maximum reflectance from low reflecting plant canopies. The elk impact photography was exposed approximately from 9:53 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. in 1985, 10:37 a.m. to 11:55 a.m. in 1986, and 10:23 a.m. to 10:52 a.m. in 1987. With variability in the time of the exposures, there is variability in the direction and length of the shadows cast by the trees.

Specifications of the Aerial Photography

The following specifications have been suggested by Avery (1977):

<u>Business arrangements</u> -- These include the cost of the aerial survey, the assumption of risks and damages, a provision for the inspection of work, reflight, cancellation privileges, schedules for delivery, payments, and ownership of negatives.

<u>Area to be photographed</u> -- This should include a flight map that shows the location, size, and boundaries of the study area.

<u>Type of film</u> -- Natural color, color infrared, or black and white film may be specified. Film positives or film negatives may also be options.

<u>Flight line position</u> -- Flight lines are normally parallel to the long dimension of the study area, and in either an east-west or a north-south direction.

<u>Overlap</u> -- The overlap is usually set to an average of 60 percent along the line of flight and 30 percent between adjacent lines. At the ends of each flight line, the two photo centers should fall just outside the study area boundary. For difficult mountainous terrain, such as in Mount Rainier National Park, it is recommended that a 45 percent sidelap be used to assure complete photographic coverage.

<u>Print alignment (crab and drift)</u> -- Crab is indicated when the sides of the photographs are not parallel to longitudinal track of the plane. Drift occurs when the exposures are made while the airplane has drifted from the predetermined flight line. Crab and drift should not affect more than 10 percent of the print width of any three consecutive photographs.

<u>Time of photography</u> -- Both a range of dates and the preferred time of day for the exposures are specified.

<u>Materials to be delivered</u> -- Usually the negatives (or transparencies) and a set of contact prints are supplied. A copy of the original flight map may also be requested.

Inspection of aerial photography -- Photographic frames should be dated in the upper left corner, while project, roll, and frame numbers should be in the upper right corner of the exposure. Altitude or scale and local time are normally placed at the top of the first and last exposures of a flight line. The inspection of the aerial photography usually involves checking for tilting, scale, overlap, and image quality.

<u>Flight plan</u> -- Flight plan specifications and a preliminary flight map are required before the flight. The flight plan used for constructing the 1985 flight map for the Mount Rainier project is shown in appendix VII. These variables were computed according to the procedures suggested by Avery (1977). Avery's procedural steps are also shown in appendix VII.

<u>Aerial survey firms & film processors</u> -- A directory of aerial photography service companies and aerial film processing companies for black and white, color, and color infrared films can be found in appendix VIII.

#### STEPS FOR PHOTOINTERPRETATION OF ELK TRAILS

1. Make enlargements (2.5X) of the areas of the areas of interest from the original 9X9 inch negatives (1:5,000 scale).

- 2. Place clear mylar over the enlargements and tape the mylar to the photographs with drafting tape.
- 3. Place registration marks on the mylar.
- 4. Delineate elk trails with a fine point ("00" or a "000") technical ink pen.
- 5. Field check the resulting elk trail map for accuracy.
- 6. Correct any omission or commission errors that are found on the map. Omission errors occur when the vegetation is brown, resulting in little contrast between the trail and the adjacent vegetation. It should also be noted that tree shadows obscure the elk trails on the photography. Commission errors occur when down and decaying logs are interpreted as elk trails.

#### VIII. RECOMMENDATIONS

An elk impact monitoring system has been established for Mount Rainier National Park. This system uses a combination of large scale aerial photography and field work. The elk trail monitoring system is designed for the collection of detailed data in nonforested areas using aerial photographic interpretation techniques and for the collection of detailed data in forested areas using field enumeration and mapping methods. Regional level monitoring is accomplished through systematic sampling for elk trails using the entire set of aerial photography by recording the visible presence of elk trails within grid cells. A method for the inspection of critical elk wallow areas has been suggested. The first baseline data were collected using 1985 aerial photography.

It is recommended that new aerial photography be acquired at least every five years to provide data on elk impact changes. If new photography is obtained by 1990, a change analysis should be conducted using the detailed photo plots, the general systematic sampling method, and the inspection for critical elk wallow areas. Field enumeration and mapping in forested areas can be accomplished at any time since this approach does not require new aerial photographs. It is recommended that the existing field enumeration overlays be updated by 1990.

#### REFERENCES

Avery, E.T. 1977. Interpretation of Aerial Photographs. Burgess Publishing Company, Minneapolis, Minnesota. 392 p.

# APPENDIX I

Aerial Survey flight Maps

.
# ELK IMPACTS STUDY AUGUST 6 & 12, 1985 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY MT. RAINIER NATIONAL PARK



# ELK IMPACTS STUDY AUGUST 14 & SEPTEMBER 9, 1986 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY MT. RAINIER NATIONAL PARK





## APPENDIX II

Elk Trail Enumerations:

Tabular

and

Photographic

#### UPPER HUCKLEBERRY BASIN TRAIL ENUMERATION - 1985 Scale = 1:2,500 Area = .068245 Km<sup>2</sup>

Trail	Trail	Vegetation	Bare Soil	Trail	Area of	Photo
ARC #	Width (M)	Width (M)	Width (M)	Length (M)	Bare Soil (M <sup>2</sup> )	Number
	<u></u>					

NO ELK TRAILS WERE FOUND IN THIS ENUMERATION AREA.

Trail ARC #	Trail Width (M)	Vegetation Width (M)	Bare Soil Width (M)	Trail <u>Length (M)</u>	Area of <u>Bare Soil (M<sup>2</sup>)</u>	Photo Number
1	.47	.05	.42	25.7	10.8	1
2	.48	.08	.40	19.9	8.0	2
3	.32	.09	.23	24.2	5.6	3
4	. 39	.07	.32	23.2	7.4	4
5	.42	.04	.38	20.0	7.6	5
6	. 35	.08	.27	52.5	14.2	6
	x .41	x .07	x .34	Σ165.5M	Σ53.6M <sup>2</sup>	

SUNRISE LAKE TRAIL ENUMERATION - 1985 Scale = 1:2,500 Area = .105548 Km<sup>2</sup>

The trail and vegetation widths displayed are an average of three (3) observations per arc.

Trail ARC #	Trail Width (M)	Vegetation Width (M)	Bare Soil Width (M)	Trail Length (M)	Area of Bare Soil (M <sup>2</sup> )	Photo <u>Number</u>
1	.44	.08	.36	91.1	32.8	2
2	.49	.03	.46	90.6	41.7	3
3	.44	.02	.42	67.0	28.1	4
4	.42	.08	.34	10.7	3.6	5
5	.60	.04	. 56	8.8	4.9	6
6	.61	.02	. 59	13.4	7.9	7
7	.41	.02	.39	29.7	11.6	8
8	.38	.07	.31	23.0	7.1	9
12	.44	.01	.43	24.6	10.6	13
13	.38	.01	.37	9.4	3.5	14
14	.48	.08	.40	38.3	15.3	15
15	.53	.11	.42	63.3	26.6	16
16	.40	.05	.35	28.5	10.0	17
	<b>x</b> .46	x .05	x .42	Σ498.4	Σ203.7	

BEAR PARK TRAIL ENUMERATION - 1985 Scale = 1:2,500 Area = .075807 Km<sup>2</sup>

The trail and vegetation widths displayed are an average of three (3) observations per arc. CLOVER LAKE TRAIL ENUMERATION - 1985 Scale = 1:2,500 Area = .166856 Km<sup>2</sup>

Trail ARC #	Trail Width (M)	Vegetation Width (M)	Bare Soil Width (M)	Trail Length (M)	Area of Bare Soil (M <sup>2</sup>	Photo <u>) Number</u>
2	.41	.13	.28	89.0	24.9	8
4	.33	.03	.30	55.3	16.6	9
5	.39	.03	.36	89.8	32.3	10
6	.43	.06	.37	31.7	11.7	11
7	.42	.04	.38	106.8	40.6	12
8	.32	.02	.30	16.1	4.8	13
10	.43	.03	.40	74.6	29.8	14
11	.30	.03	.27	27.8	7.5	15
12	.35	.03	.32	64.0	20.5	16
13	.33	.02	.31	20.6	6.4	17
14	.34	.07	.27	81.9	22.1	18
15	.39	.08	.31	85.3	26.4	19
16	.30	.03	.27	56.9	15.4	20
17	.35	.02	.33	312.4	103.1	21
18	.32	.06	.26	20.0	5.2	6
19	.33	.03	.30	31.5	9.4 (R	oll 2) 3
20	. 39	.01	.38	31.3	11.9	7
21	.34	.02	.32	60.9	19.5	9
22	.46	.00	.46	40.2	18.5	11
23	.44	.08	.36	20.9	7.5	12
24	.54	.04	.50	99.3	49.7	10
25	.41	.00	.41	76.2	31.2	13
26	.35	.02	.33	82.4	27.2	14
27	.26	.01	.25	21.7	5.4	15
28	.30	.03	.27	20.2	5.4	16
29	.48	.04	.44	44.5	19.6	17
30	.36	.06	.30	45.1	13.5	18
31	.37	.06	.31	13.7	4.2	20
32	.36	.09	.27	23.0	6.2	24
33	.62	.02	.60	12.2	7.3	19
34	.37	.02	.35	12.3	4.3	21
35	.37	.02	.35	17.7	6.2	22
36	.45	.01	.44	13.9	6.1	23
37	.32	.01	.31	40.2	12.5	25
38	.38	.01	.37	27.3	10.1	26
39	.44	.01	.43	31.7	13.6	27,28
41	.45	.00	.45	115.5	52.0	29
42	.34	.08	.26	39.1	10.2	30
43	.44	.02	.42	42.0	17.6	31
44	.47	.01	.46	37.3	17.2	32
45	.30	.09	.21	38.0	8.0	33
	x .38	x .04	x .35	Σ2,170.3	Σ761.6	

The trail and vegetation widths displayed are an average of three (3) observations per arc. 

#### LOWER HUCKLEBERRY BASIN

TRAIL ENUMERATION - 1985 Scale = 1:2,500 Area = .104175 Km<sup>2</sup>

Trail ARC #	Trail Width (M)	Vegetation Width (M)	Bare Soil Width (M)	Trail Length (M)	Area of Bare Soil (M²)	Photo Number
1	.49	.00	. 49	22.3	10.9	5
2	.42	.02	.40	16.0	6.4	5
3	.50	.00	. 50	92.7	46.4	8
4	.43	.07	.36	111.8	40.2	4
5	.27	.09	.18	15.6	2.8	6
6	.42	.00	.42	53.6	22.5	7
7	.51	.01	.50	75.6	37.8	9
8	.45	.02	.43	36.2	15.6	10
9	.42	.03	.39	15.0	5.9	11
10	.42	.04	.38	25.0	9.5	12
11	.55	.01	.54	24.9	13.4	13
12	.50	.01	.49	38.8	19.0	14
13	.64	.00	.64	26.4	16.9	15
14	.60	.00	.60	16.5	9.9	16
15	.50	.00	. 50	13.2	6.6	15
16	.40	.02	.38	42.7	16.2	17
17	.42	.02	.40	26.0	10.4	17
18	.49	.02	.47	31.0	14.6	18
19	.60	.04	. 56	30.4	17.0	19
20	.40	.04	.36	77.2	27.8	20
21	. 39	.02	.37	42.9	15.9	21
23	.45	.07	.38	16.4	6.2	22
24	.43	.00	.43	20.1	8.6	24
25	.41	.05	.36	24.5	8.8	22
26	.31	.03	.28	16.4	4.6	23
27	.41	.05	.36	15.8	5.7	25
28	.37	.07	.30	43.6	13.1	26
29	.51	.01	. 50	334.5	167.2	27
31	.37	.04	.33	24.5	8.1	28
33	.42	.03	. 39	9.3	3.6	30
34	.48	.04	.44	13.3	5.9	31
35	.50	.00	.50	16.9	8.4	33
37	.36	.03	. 33	37.2	12.3	35 -
39	.38	.02	.36	46.2	16.6	31
43	.45	.01	. 44	31.6	13.9	36
44	.42	.02	.40	26.6	10.6	37
	x .45	x .03	x .42	Σ1510.7	Σ659.3	

The trail and vegetation widths displayed are an average of three (3) observations per arc.





. 1







### APPENDIX III

Comparison and Accuracy Assessment

of

Photointerpretation

with Ground Truth

## BEAR PARK NORTH SITE





GROUND

Scale 1:2112

	Photo Interpretation	Ground <u>Truth</u>	
Total Trail Length	191.1 m	193.7 m	
Average Trail Width		0.43 m	

Accuracy 92.4%

PHOTO INTERPRETATION



.

GROUND TRUTH



~

Scale 1:2251

	Photo <u>Interpretation</u>	Ground <u>Truth</u>
Total Trail Length	245.8 m	256.1 m
Average Trail Width		0.40 m

Accuracy 95.8%

## BEAR PARK RIDGE

PHOTO INTERPRETATION



GROUND

Scale 1:2112

	Photo <u>Interpretation</u>	Ground <u>Truth</u>
Total Trail Length	128.8 m	198.7 m
Average Trail Width		0.59 m

Accuracy 64.8%

## GREEN PARK RIDGE

PHOTO INTERPRETATION

•

.



GROUND TRUTH



Scale 1:2130

	Photo I <u>nterpretation</u>	Ground <u>Truth</u>
Total Trail Length	220.2 m	251.4 m
Average Trail Width		0.52 m

Accuracy 61.0%

### APPENDIX IV

Photo Plots Associated with

Ten Sites

for Monitoring Elk Trails









A BAR AND A















.11









### APPENDIX V

Trail and Vegetation Widths

for

Fremont Basin Photo Plot

1986 and 1987
### FREMONT LOOKOUT NORTH 1986

Arc	Observation	Trail <u>Width (cm</u> )	Vegetation Width (cm)	Photo
1	a b c x	85 75 60 73.3	3 5 4 4.0	₹23 Looking northwest
2	a b c <del>x</del>	63 63 59 61.7	$\frac{\begin{array}{c}2\\0\\6\end{array}}{2.7}$	#24 Looking northwest
3	a b c x	49 60 70 59.7	6 4 L 3.3	#1 ooking north-northwest
4	a b <u>c</u> <del>x</del>	34 38 49 40.3	20 18 23 20.3	#3 Looking north
5	a b <u>c</u> <del>x</del>	70 71 <u>35</u> 58.7	2 # 14 # 25 # 13.7	4 looking north-northwest 5 looking north-northwest 6 looking west
6	a b <u>c</u> <del>x</del>	50 48 38 45.3	$ \begin{array}{r} 7\\10\\18\\11.7\end{array} $	<pre>#8 looking south #9 looking south</pre>
7	a b <u>c</u> <del>x</del>	55 73 69 65.7	18 3 4 8.3	#10 Looking north
8	a b c x	65 80 78 74.3	8 2 0 3.3	#12 Looking south
9	a b c <del>x</del>	42 53 50 48.3	3 12 9 8.0	#13 Looking north
10	a b c x	44 60 <u>39</u> 47.7	21 12 21 18.0	#14 Looking north
11	a b c x	50 40 55 48.3	25 30 28 27.7	#15 Looking southwest
12	a b c x	60 50 53 54.3	$\begin{array}{r}2\\15\\5\\7.3\end{array}$	#16 Looking north
13	a b c x	43 54 <u>48</u> 48.3	3 10 <u>8</u> 7.0	#17 Looking north
14	Not	neasurements d	ue to snow stor	-m

.

Average trail width55.8cmAverage vegetation width10.4cm

Ë,

-

•

### Fremont Basin Photo-Plot Ground Truth - 8/30/87

ARC	Observation	Trail <u>Width (cm)</u>	Vegetation <u>Width (cm)</u>	Photo
1	a b c	79 97 <u>86</u> 87.3	0 3 <u>0</u> 1	#11 looking northwest
2	a b c	66 81 <u>61</u> 69.3	0 0 0 0	#12, 13 looking northwest
3	a b C	61 64 <u>66</u> 63.7	8 13 <u>13</u> 11.3	#14 looking north- northwest
4	a b C	56 48 <u>38</u> 47.3	0 5 <u>5</u> 3.3	#15 looking north
5	a b C	71 64 <u>43</u> 59.3	0 8 <u>30</u> 12.7	#16 #17 #18, 19v, 20, 21∨*
6	a b C	79 51 <u>38</u> 56.0	8 3 <u>25</u> 12.0	#24 looking south #26 looking east
7	a b C	79 64 <u>61</u> 68.0	10 8 <u>20</u> 12.7	#25 looking north

**\*v = vertical** photographs

-

<u>ARC</u>	<u>Observation</u>	Trail <u>Width (cm)</u>	Vegetation <u>Width (cm)</u>	Photo
8	a b c	66 56 <u>66</u> 62.7	5 3 5 4.3	#27 looking south
9	a b c	56 41 <u>41</u> 46.0	3 3 <u>3</u> 3.0	#28 looking north
10	a b c	51 48 <u>38</u> 45.7	13 0 <u>10</u> 7.7	#29 looking north
11	No dat	a collected due	e to swarming be	es
12	a b C	64 53 <u>56</u> 57.7	5 3 <u>3</u> 3.7	#30 looking north
13	a b c	38 46 <u>43</u> 42.3	8 0 0 2.7	#31 looking north

14

No data collected due to swarming bees

## APPENDIX VI

Presence and Absence

of Elk Trails

from Aerial Photography

рното 🕈	<u>Celi</u>	# 1	<u>Cell</u>	* 2	<u>Cell</u>	<u> </u>	<u>Cell</u> =	4
	<u> Zcover t</u>	<u>rails</u>	<u>%cover</u>	<u>trails</u>	<u>%cover</u>	trails	<u>Zcover i</u>	<u>rails</u>
		*						
101	32	no~	85	no	85	no	<b>6</b> 0	na
- 7	10	no	30	no	10	nŌ	20	no
1-5	5Û	no	95	no	85	no	95	nc
1-7	100	ſIŪ	100	) no	100	no	100	ΠŌ
1-9	100	no	100	) no	100	no	100	no
1 - 1 1	35	yes	65	yes	70	yes	30	yes
1-13	65	no	95	rio	65	yes	60	no
1-15	80	no	25	yes	95	no	35	yes
1-17	45	yes	80	yes	75	yes	្	yes
1-19	90	no	70	yes	40	yes	50	ųes.
2-1	95	no	95	no	95	no	95	ПŌ
2-3	5	ňO	10	no	15	no	60	no
2-5	35	no	80	no	90	no	100	no
2-7	100	no	100	) no	100	no	100	no
2-9	55	цез	50	yes	100	no	65	ues
2-11	95	na	95	no	08	ñ0	90	no
2-13	90	ues.	35	ues	90	ñ0	85	ñO
2-15	45	ues	35	ues	40	ues	30	<u>u</u> as
2-17	<i>2</i> 5	no	90	0 NO	70	no	30	ues
2-19	30	ues	35	ues	5	ues	40	ues.
2-21	55	yes	75	no	80	ĥü	100	no
3-1	90	no	65	no	80	no	90	60
3-3	40	ues	95	no	90	ñ0	85	no
3-5	100	no	95	no	95	no	90	no
3-7	100	rio	100	) no	100	no	100	វាល័
3-9	90	no	35	ues	95	no	85	ues
3-11	75	ues	30	Jes	40	ues	65	UES
3-13	35	ues	40	no	40	ues.	40	ភិប័
3-15	15	ม กัญ	10	no	5	no	<b>C</b>	ñO
3-17	15	yes.	25	ues.	Зо	nq	65	۲iG
		-		·				

\* " no" indicates that elk trails were visibly absent within the cell and "yes" indicates that elk trails were visibly present within the cell.

4

PHOTO #	Cell	# 1	<u>Cel</u>	1 * 2	<u>Cell</u>	<del>*</del> 3	<u>Cell</u> #	4
	<u>%cover</u>	<u>trails</u>	<u>%cov</u>	<u>er trails</u>	<u>%cover</u>	<u>trails</u>	<u> Scover i</u>	<u>rails</u>
				_				
3-19	30	មួខទ	35	s yes	40	មូនទ	4 <u>0</u>	មុខទ
3-21	65	ńes	85	5 no	9Q	no	75	no
3-23	95	no	95	5 no	ġŞ	no	95	ท่อ
4-1	5	no	10	) no	10	no	10	no
4-3	10	រៀមខ	5 S	no	5Ú	460	C D	មុខន
4-5	5	no	5	no	35	រូមទ	10	no
4-7	1 ()	yes	50	) yes	50	no	85	no
4-9	70	yes	80	) no	90	ពថ	្វា	n0
4-11	70	no	85	5 no	85	no	100	no
4-13	90	no	6(	) no	100	no	90	ាក្
4-15	95	no	90	) yes	65	yes	60	ពិទទ
4-17	<b>8</b> 0	îleê	70	) no	35	ភ <u>ិ</u> ទន	30	ΠQ
4-19	95	no	95	5 no	45	ពិទខ	70	no
4-21	100	no	95	5 no	100	no no	100	no
4-23	45	yes	50	) yes	80	yes	65	no
4-25	20	yes	65	ā no	30	no	15	no
4-27	15	no	24	5 no	30	nü	65	មូមទ
4-29	75	yes	75	5 no	75	yes	85	ħΰ
4-31	100	no	9(	) no	100	n0	<b>!</b> ()()	ΝĐ
5-1	<u>95</u>	no	25	5 no	6¢	no	<u>a</u> e	ាភ្
5-3	10	no	18	5 no	15	no	1	no
5-5	15	no	20	) yes	5	no	Ľ	no
5-7	30	ues.	50	) yes	20	្តខ្លន់		ųēš
5-9	75	цез	75	5 yes	85	no	95	ก้อ
5-11	75	no	80	) no	70	no	35	ាល
5-13	100	no	10	)0 no	95	no	70	ti e
5-15	95	no	<u>9</u> 9	i no	55	no	65	yes
5-17	30	ព័តខ	10	) yes	30	yes	0	no
5-19	25	no	25	i yes	Ú	10	U)	ជិតខ
5-21	20	no	25	5 yes	10	d63	55	្មមទ
5-23	<b>6</b> 0	no	10	)0 no	85	no	ЭÚ	no
5-25	100	nø	ű.	5 no	95	hΦ	05	hộ

.

-

. .

÷.

<u> PHOTO -</u>	<u>Cell</u>	\$ 1	<u>Ce</u>	11 = 2	<u>Cell</u>	\$ <u>7</u>	<u>Ceil</u>	4
	<u>Scover t</u>	<u>raila</u>	<u>300v</u>	<u>er trails</u>	<u>%cover</u>	<u>trails</u>	<u>%cover</u>	trails
5-27	70	ues	3	on (	108 ET 100 III 1 III	ñå	45	lies
5-29	5	no	5	no	30	ues	50	no
5-31	65	ues	- 9(	) no	70	90 <b>0</b>	75	no
5-33	75	10 10	9(	) no	65	กอ	70	no
5-35	100	no	<u>95</u>	5 no	101	0 n <b>o</b>	90	no
ő-1	65	yes	7(	) yes	40	ųes	40	ħĎ
6-3	30	yes	Û	no	30	ho	5	no
6-5	5	no	10	) yes	5	no	35	no
6-7	60	no	75	5 no	70	f10	70	hŋ
6-9	90	กอ	35	5 no	65	no	40	目音等
5-11	90	yes	6(	) yes	65	ües.	65	មួមទ
6-13	60	yes	65	5 yes	70	yes	45	yes
5-15	45	yes	4(	) yes	45	yes	1 Ū	כינו
6-17	50	yes	65	5 yes	45	yes.	65	no
6-19	35	yes	7(	) no	90	hũ	ΩÓ	no
6-21	100	no	<u>9</u> 6	5 no	95	no	70	yes
6-23	30	<u>n</u> es	5(	) no	65	n0	85	no
7-1	30	<u>i</u> fes	6(	) no	15	no	δŷ	no
7 <del>-</del> 3	35	กอ	2(	) no	15	no	1.j	no
<u>5</u> -2	Ū.	n0	Ú	กอ	Û	no	Q	no.
7-7	5	no	1 (	) no	15	no	15	no
7-9	25	n0	4(	) no	5	110	10	10
	30	fi Ú	3(	) no	Ô	no	Ģ	no
7-13	20	10	20	) no	5	no.	5	no
7-15	30	yes.	2(	) no	10	មួមទ	L)	no
7-17	20	no	-70 -43	5 no	10	no	29	no
7-19	70	yes	65	5 yes	65	yes	50	no
7-21	35	yes	8(	) yes	50	iles	60	yes
7-23	50	ĥes	<u></u> 05	5 no	<u>95</u>	ກດ	100	, no

÷,

-

<u>PHOTO *</u>	<u>CELL # 1</u>	<u>CELL # 2</u>	<u>CELL ≠ 3</u>	<u>CELL # 4</u>
	<u>Scover trails</u>	Mcover trails	⊠cover trails	<u>Scover trails</u>
1-5	95 no <sup>*</sup>	90 no	15 no	30 no
1-5	95 no	45 yes	95 no	70 yes
1-7	25 yes	25 no	20 no	25 yes
1-9	30 yes	40 yes	15 yes	35 yes
1-807-0 1-807-0 2-2-2-0	95 no 75 yes 95 no 10 yes 70 yes	85 yes 55 no 40 yes 20 yes 70 yes	100 no 75 no 90 no 20 yes 60 yes	100 yes 70 ho 50 ho 15 yes 85 yes
3-1	75 yes	10 yes	85 yes	55 yes
3-3	50 no	50 no	60 nc	70 no
3-5	55 no	40 yes	5 no	5 no
3-7	25 yes	50 yes	30 yes	50 yes
44444444444444444444444444444444444444	100 no	95 no	100 no	95 no
	35 yes	75 yes	30 yes	Su yes
	25 yes	50 yes	40 yes	85 yes
	90 no	85 no	80 yes	70 yes
	25 yes	40 yes	25 yes	30 yes
	95 no	100 no	90 no	90 no
4W-1	90 no	45 yes	100 no	70 yes
4W-3	40 yes	40 yes	15 yes	10 yes
4W-5	45 yes	40 yes	5 yes	10 yes
4W-7	70 yes	75 no	35 yes	40 yes
4W-9	95 no	100 no	95 no	100 no
	95 no 50 yes 95 no 85 no 35 no 70 yes 5 yes 80 no	75 yes 70 no 95 no 30 no 75 no 50 yes 30 yes 100 no	100 no 35 yes 70 yes 90 no 10 no 65 yes 5 yes 50 yes	90 no 50 yes 85 no 30 yes 40 yes 35 yes 90 yes

\* 'no" indicates that elk trails were visibly absent within the cell and "yes" indicates that elk trails were visibly present within the cell.

<u>РНОТО *</u>	<u>CELL * 1</u>	<u>CELL # 2</u>	<u>CELL = 3</u>	<u>CELL # 4</u>
	<u>%cover_trails</u>	<u>&amp;cover_trails</u>	Zcover_trails	<u>%cover_trails</u>
55555555555555555555555555555555555555	100 no 100 no 90 no 45 yes 85 yes 85 no 30 yes	95 no 100 no 90 no 40 yes 90 no 60 yes 50 yes	100 no 95 no 100 no 70 yes 55 yes 95 no 40 yes	65 yes 100 no 95 no 40 yes 55 yes 55 yes
5W-1	75 yes	60 yes	45 yes	15 yes
5W-3	30 yes	20 yes	0 no	10 no
5W-5	10 yes	75 yes	20 yes	40 yes
5W-7	70 no	40 no	70 yes	50 yes
5W-9	70 no	100 no	95 no	100 no
68-1	100 no	100 no	100 no	100 no
68-5	80 yes	20 yes	95 no	75 yes
68-7	85 yes	75 yes	50 yes	75 yes
68-7	90 no	95 no	65 no	80 ho
68-7	30 no	5 no	10 no	0 no
68-11	20 no	50 yes	10 yes	25 no
68-11	15 yes	55 yes	35 yes	40 yes
68-15	55 yes	55 yes	25 yes	80 yes
6W-1	90 no	35 yes	65 yes	30 na
6W-3	10 no	10 ho	0 ho	10 na
6W-5	3 no	5 no	15 no	30 na
6W-7	20 no	30 yes	5 ho	5 na
6W-7	20 yes	30 yes	15 yes	45 yes
76-1	65 yes	75 no	90 no	80 yes
76-3	65 yes	15 yes	15 yes	15 yes
76-5	45 yes	35 yes	15 yes	30 yes
76-7	60 ho	80 no	20 no	55 no
784-1	45 no	35 no	80 no	40 no
784-0	40 no	15 no	10 no	15 no
784-0	40 yes	55 yes	30 yes	30 yes
784-7	5 yes	15 yes	20 yes	50 yes
784-9	10 yes	30 yes	20 yes	35 yes
784-9	65 yes	90 no	95 no	90 no

v

--- ·

.

<u>PHOTO <i>*</i></u>	<u>CELL</u> %cover_	<u>* 1</u> trails	<u>CE</u> <u>%cov</u>	l <b>l * 2</b> Ver tra	<u>1115 %</u> 0	CELL * Cover_	<u>* 3</u> trails	<u>C</u> <u>%cc</u>	ELL over	₹4 trails
8E-1 8E-3 8E-5 8E-7 8E-9	<b>80</b> 15 0 20 90	yes yes no yes no	900000	10 ye ye 10 ye 00 no	98 98 98 98	50 35 0 45 45	yes yes no no no		70 0 10 80 90	yes yes no no no
8W-1 8W-3 8W-5 8W-7	70 15 0 40	no no no yes		0 ya 5 no 5 no 5 ya	95 ) ) 95	95 55 58	no no no yes		70 20 5 100	no no no no
96-1 95-3 96-7 96-7 96-9	មាលលក សាលលក	no no no no no	(A P. 15 (116)	5 nc i nc i0 nc i5 nc i0 nc	) ) )	50 50 150 40	no no no no no		25 0 0 55 0 55	no no no no
9W-1 9W-3 9W-5 9W-7	មាល់ក្នុង	no no no yes	00107	iù no i no i no 5 no	) ) )	0 30 0 40	no no no no		10 0 0 20	no no no no

<u>لت</u> م

-- ·

# APPENDIX VII

Flight Planning Specifications and Procedures

۲

### FLIGHT PLAN SPECIFICATIONS

LOCATION: Northeast part of Mount Rainier National Park, Washington FILM TYPE: Natural Color negatives/prints CAMERA FOCAL LENGTH: 12" (304.8mm) NEGATIVE FORMAT: 9" x 9" (228.6mm x 228.6mm) DESIRED NEGATIVE SCALE: 1:5,000 60% ENDLAP: SIDELAP: 30% SCALE OF BASEMAP: 1:50,000 AVERAGE TERRAIN ELEVATION: 5,850' above sea level FLYING HEIGHT ABOVE TERRAIN: 5,000' FLYING HEIGHT ABOVE SEA LEVEL: 10,850' SIDE TO SIDE COVERAGE PER PHOTO: 1,143m x 1,143m on ground 22.86mm x 22.86mm on map DISTANCE BETWEEN FLIGHT LINES: 800.1m on ground 16.00mm on map NUMBER OF FLIGHT LINES: Seven lines (Two long, Five short) WIDTH OF STUDY AREA (North/South): 4,800.6m on ground 96.012mm on map DISTANCE BETWEEN PHOTO CENTERS: 457.2m on ground 9.144mm on map NUMBER OF PHOTOS ON TWO LONG LINES: 35 Photos NUMBER OF PHOTOS ON FIVE SHORT LINES: 21 Photos LENGTH OF TWO LONG LINES: 15,544.8m on ground 310.90mm on map LENGTH OF FIVE SHORT LINES: 9,144.0m on ground 182.88mm on map ESTIMATE OF TOTAL NUMBER OF PHOTOS: 175 Photos DESIRED FLIGHT DATE(S): Mid-August, 1985

### Flight map computations

Items to be computed in preparing the flight plan are:

Flying height above ground datum: Height = focal length  $\times$  scale denominator, or

 $H = 0.153 \text{ m} \times 25,000 = 3,825 \text{ m}$ 

Flying height above mean sea level:

3,825 + 500 = 4,325 m

Direction of flight lines: North-south, following long dimension of tract.

Number of flight lines: Assuming an average sidelap of 30 percent, the lateral gain from one line to another is 70 percent of the negative format (on the ground) or  $0.70 \times 5.750 = 4.025$  m between lines. The number of intervals between lines is found by division of the tract width (20 km or 20.000 m) by 4.025. The result is 4.97 or 5 intervals and 6 flight lines.

Actual (adjusted) ground distance between flight lines: Tract width  $(20,000 \text{ m}) \div 5$  intervals = 4,000 m between lines.

#### Actual (adjusted) percentage of sidelap:

Sidelap percentage =  $\frac{\text{Negative width (m) - Spacing (m)}}{\text{Negative width (m)}}$  (100) Sidelap percentage =  $\frac{5.750 - 4.000}{5.750}$  (100) = 30.4%

Map distance between flight lines (map scale is 500 m/cm):

 $\frac{1 \text{ cm}}{500 \text{ m}} = \frac{X \text{ cm}}{4,000 \text{ m}}; X = 8.00 \text{ cm} \text{ between lines on map}$ 

Ground distance between exposures on each line: Assuming an average forward overlap of 60 percent, the spacing between successive exposures is 40 percent of the negative format (on the ground), or  $0.40 \times 5,750 = 2,300$  m between exposures.

#### Map distance between exposures on each line:

 $\frac{1 \text{ cm}}{500 \text{ m}} = \frac{X \text{ cm}}{2,300 \text{ m}}$ ; X = 4.60 cm between exposure centers on map

Number of exposures on each line: Number of intervals between exposures is found by division of tract length (30 km or 30,000 m) by 2,300 = 13.04 intervals. This will require 14 exposures inside the area, assuming that the first exposure is centered over one tract boundary. In addition, 2 extra exposures are commonly made at the ends of each flight line; thus, a total of 14 + 2 + 2 = 18 exposures would be taken on each flight line.

Total number of exposures required to cover entire tract: 6 lines  $\times$  18 exposures per line = 108 exposures.

## APPENDIX VIII

Aerial Photography Survey Companies

•

and

Aerial Film Processors

#### Directory of Aerial Photography Service Companies

The initial listing is given below. The directory is for foresters and others who are involved in aerial photography contracting or procurement. The directory should help people know who is out there and what areas they service. The directory hopefully will evolve into something more complete and will include specific equipment and services offered by those on the list. Again, if there are changes, (i.e. additions, deletions, etc.) that need to be made, please let me know.

Bill Steigerwaldt, Chair, Operations Committee

Telephone No.

Company Name	<u>Contact</u>	Street Address	<u>City/State</u>
Abrams Aerial Survey Corporation	Carl Schafer	124 North Larch Street	Lansing, MI 48
Aerial Cartographics of America, Inc.	Charles Woodward	7000 Lake Ellenor Drive	Unlando, FL 37
Aerial Photo Service, Inc.	G. Moody	8100 Westpark Drive	Houston, TX 72
Aero-Metric Engineering, Inc.	Bob Johanning	4708 North 40th Street	Sheboygan, WI
Aero-Science	Fred Ries	8787 East Mountain View	Scottsdale, AZ
Aeroeco, Inc.	Bob Macomber	232 Cardamon Urive	Robemia NY 1
Aerographics Air Photo Tech, Inc.	Kon welebny	2013 Merrill Field Ave.	Anchorage, AK
Air Photographics, Inc.	John Houyoux	Route 4, Box 500	Martinsburg, W
Air Survey & Design, Inc.		460 Spring Park Place	Herndon, VA 22
Air Surveys by Toler, Inc.		P.O. Box 6013	Davtona Beach.
Airborne Systems, Inc.		1891 Betmor Lane	Anaheim, CA 92
American Aerial Surveys, Inc.		564 So. Stewart Drive	Covina, CA 91
American Aerial of No. Calif.	Roland Holmes	6249 Freeport Blvd.	Sacramento, LA
Acidniic Aerial Surveys, Inc. Rucher Willis Patliff	Keith Lassen	609 West North Street	Salina, KS 67
CHM Hill		1525 Court Street	Redding, CA 9
Cartwright Aerial Surveys	Mr. Cartwright	Executive Airport	Sacramento, CA
Charles L. Main, Inc. Chicago Aprial Surveyor Inc		2140 Wolf Road	Des Plaines. 1
Civil Man Service Inc.	Recald Gority	5732-A Industry Lane	Frederick, ND
Col-Fast	Konard Gonrey	Box 347, Harriman Airport	North Adams, MA
Continental Aerial Surveys		P.O. Box 300	Alcoa, TN 3770
Danner Aefial Survey	Charles Danner	2406 E. University Ave.	Urbana, IL 618
Davidson Aerial Surveys	Pete Davidson	256 Pennsylvania Ave.	LOUISVILLE, KT
Dickerson Aerial Surveys, Inc.	Howard Langston	P.O. Box 1138	Clinton, MS 39
G.R.W. Aerial Surveys	Pete Davidson	801 Corporate Drive	Lexington, KY
Geodetic Services, Inc.	Duane C. Brown	1511 Hiverview Drive	Melbourne, FL
Great Basin Aerial Surveys	Maurice Lafferty	255 Glendale Ave.	Sparks, NV 894
Greiner Aerial Surveys Gulf Coast Aerial Manning Co., Inc.	C.R. Foster	4242 Harding Blvd.	Baton Rouge, LA
Hammon, Jensen, Wallen		8407 Edgewater Drive	Oakland, CA 94
Hansa Luftbild German Air Surveys		Elbestr. 5, P.O. Box 3609	D-4400 Munster,
Harris Aerial Surveys, Inc.	Ron Joyce	P.U. BOX 240 5125 W. Broad Street	Columbus OH 4
Hogan and Olbyasen. Inc.		2300 W. Eisenhower Blvd.	Loveland, CO 8
Horizons, Inc.		6125 Blue Circle Drive	Minnetonka, MN
Horizons, Inc.		1635 Deadwood Ave.	Rapid City, SD
Hoskins-Western-Sonderegger I.K. Curtis Services Inc	Ivan K Curtis	825 J Street 2907 Empire Ave.	Burbank, CA 91
International Aerial Mapping		8927 International Drive	San Antonio, TX
James W. Sewall Company	Roy Klitch	147 Centre Street	Old Town, ME 0
Kasper Aerial Surveys	Maan-Nam Liu	24 Stony Hill Road	Bethel, Cl Ubb
Kenting Larth Sciences, Ltd.	Al Gardner	P.O. Box 21059	Philadelphia, P
Kucera International, Inc.	John Antalavich	7000 Reynolds Road	Mentor, OH 440
M.J. Harden Associates, Inc.		1019 Admiral Blvd.	Kansas City, MO
MARKHURD Aerial Surveys, Inc.	Marshall Swenson	345 Pennsylvania Ave. S. A201 Dutch Pidge Road	Reaver, PA 150
Michael Baker Corporation North Parific Aprial Surveys, Inc.	Roper Carter	4241 "B" Street	Anchorage, AK
North West Survey Geographic Services		17203-103 Ave.	Edmonton, Alber
Orhan's Reproductions & Photomapping		2616-18th Street, N.E.	Calgary, Albert
Pacific Aerial Surveys	Mike Renslow	444 Pendleton Way	Santa Ana Cá
Park Aerial Surveys Inc.	J.K. Sciberras	P.O. Box 17408	Louisville, KY
Photo Science, Inc.	•••••	7840 Airpark Road	Gaithersburg, M
Photogrammetric Consultant, Inc.	John Kenefick	P.O. Box 3556	Indialantic, FL
Piedmont Aerial Surveys, Inc.	Irving Isaacson	123 S. Walnut Circle 460 Caredean Drive	Horsham, PA 19
R.J. Krawietz	A.U. Quinn	434 Yosemite Drive	San Antonio, TX
R.M. Keddal and Associates, Inc.	Robert Keddal	1900 Sleepy Hollow Road	Library, PA 15
Radman Aerial Surveys	Daniel Radman	6220 24th Street	Sacramento, CA
Rainer Aerial Surveys Deidr Aerial Manning	Rob Reid	P.U. BOX 29 1580 Chester Street	Aurora, CO 800
Remote Sensing Services. Ltd.	DOD KEIN	3804 W. Oak Avenue	McHenry, IL 60
Robinson Aerial Surveys, Inc.	Gary Scocco	43 Sports Avenue	Newton, NJ 078
San-Lo Aerial Surveys	0/11. Variables	4875 Viewridge Avenue	San Diego, CA
Schart and Associates, Inc.	Billy toungplood	622 Business Hwy., 51 S.	Tomahawk, WI 5
Surdex Corporation	Earl Hoffmann	520 Spirit of St. Louis	Chesterfield, H
Swissair Photo and Surveys, Ltd.		P.O. Box 288	CH-8035 Zurich,
TerraFoto S.A.		833 04560 Brooklin	Sao Paulo, Braz
The Stawell Lompany Tobio Surveys Inc		114 Camp St Rox 2101	San Antonio, TX
Tomasko Aerial Surveys, Inc.	Joe Tomasko	3200 Hillside Ave., #15	Birmingham, AL
Trident Arctic Exploration Ltd.		401-9th Avenue S.W.	Calgary, Albert
United Aerial Mapping	Dichard Convill-	5411 Jackson Drive	San Antonio, TX
Variey AIF PROLOS Verbon Graphics Inc	KICHARQ GRAVIIIE	400 Executive Blvd.	Elmsford, NY 1
W.A.C. Corporation	Roy Bristow	520 Conger Street	Eugene, OR 974
Walker and Associates, Inc.	Al Wounker	6264 Stanely Ave., S.	Seattle, WA 98
Walker and Associates, Inc.	Jim Walker	640 Axminister Drive	Fenton, OH 630
Western Aerial Photos Western State Aerial Surveys		P.O. Box 478	Gunnison. CO P
Williams-Stackhouse Inc.		2118 Mannix Drive	San Antonio, T)
loolpert Consultants		2324 Stanley Avenue	Dayton, OH 454

•

C.

nsing, MI 48901 hando, FL 32809 isa, OK 74103 iston, TX 77063 istogan, WI 53081 ottsdale, AZ 85258 jewater, MD 21037 iemia, NY 11716 ibocana AK 99501 517/372-8100 305/851-7880 918/736-4011 414/457-3631 602/948-6634 301/261-8448 516/589-6045 temia, NY 11716 :horage, AK 99501 tinsburg, WV 25401 rndon, VA 22070 teral Wells, TX 76067 tona Beach, FL 32022 theim, CA 92805-6701 rina, CA 91723 rramento, CA 95822 tisville, AL 35801 tisville, AL 35801 304/263-6976 703/437-6060 714/634-3002 813/331-5304 916/422-0770 ramento, CA 93622 tisville, AL 35801 ina, KS 67401 ding, CA 96001 ramento, CA 95822 ribtte, NC 28224 Plaines, IL 60018 206/536-6616
913/421-3465 916/243-5831 916/421-3465 312/298-1480 i Plaines, IL 60018 derick, MD 21701 th Adams, MA 01247 oa, TN 37701 ama, IL 61801 isville, KY 40206 ayette, IN 47901 nton, MS 39056 ington, KY 40503 bourne, FL 32901 rks, NY 89431 remento. CA 95822 301/695-6270 413/664-6769 217/328-3434 502/897-0593 317/742-5092 601/924-6930 305/724-6831 bourne, FL 32901 305/724-6831 rks, NV 89431 702/359-7242 ramento, CA 95822 916/391-1651 ion Rouge, LA 70807 504/355-9722 liand, CA 94521 415/638-6122 1400 Munster, Fed. Repub. Germany Iway, AR 72651 501-481-5151 imbus, OH 43228 614/878-3925 reland, CO 80537 netonka, NH 55343 612/931-9869 old City, SD 57709 605/343-0280 rolon, NE 68501 402/758-7212 thank, CA 91504 818/842-5127 i Antonio, TX 78216 512/827-8456 hel, CT 66801 203/748-2523 i Towm, ME 04468 207/827-4456 hel, CT 66801 203/748-2523 liadelphia, PA 19114 215/677-3119 tor, OH 44060 216/255-4700 sas City, NH 5426 612/545-2583 iver, PA 15009 thorage, AK 99503 907/563-3038 702/359-7242 ver, rA 15009 horage, AK 99503 907/563-3038 ionton, Alberta T5S 1J4 Canada gary, Alberta T2P 1L3 Canada land, CA 94621 land, CA 94621 ta Ana, CA 92707 isville, KY 40217 thersburg, MD 20879 ialantic, FL 32903 ensboro, MC 27409 sham, PA 19044 714/545-3497 502/366-4571 305/725-2715 803/294-6025 215/674-0545 512/494-5156 412/563-1744 
 Binding
 <t 
 Ata WK, WI
 340/3
 3/433232

 Ata WK, WI
 340/53232
 3427

 8035
 Zurich, Switzerland
 9Aulo, Brazil

 t
 thicago, IL
 60185

 Antonio, TX
 78297

 mingham, AL
 35205
 205/323-5955

 gary, Alberta
 127.935
 303/262-4499

 Antonio, TX
 78238
 512/684-2147

 dwell, ID
 83606
 208/454-1344

 sford, NY
 10523
 108/95-8600

 tte, WA
 98108
 206/763-1497

 ton, OH
 63026
 314/343-6111

 wood City, CA
 9403
 415/369-5273

 nison, CO
 81230
 303/641-2996

 Antonio, TX
 78217
 104, 044

 ton, OH
 45404
 513/461-5660
 on, 0H 45404 513/461-5660

Compiled from the August 1986 Directory Issue of Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, from subsequent ASPRS issues and advertising, from correspondence with the U.S.G.S. Washington, DC. No endorsement of any company is intended.

Directory of Aerial Film Processors Black and White, Color or Color Infrared Films

Has Images Inc. 136 North St. Clair Street Suite 300 Dayton, OH 45402 Contact: Mr. Harry A. Stiller Phone: (513) 222-3856

<u>а</u>

Photo Science, Inc. 7840 Airpark Road Gaithersburg, MD 20879 Contact: Mr. Richard Crouse, Jr. Phone: (301) 948-8550

Rocky Mountain Film Laboratory 145 Madison Street Denver, CO 80206 Contact: Unknown at present.

11

I.K. Curtis Services, Inc. 2907 Empire Avenue Burbank, CA 91504 Contact: Mr. Tony Black Phone: (818) 842-5127

W.A.C. Corporation 520 Conger Avenue Eugene, OR 97402 Contact: Mr. Gordon Wilkinson Phone: (503) 342-5169 Precision Photo Laboratories, Inc. 5758 North Webster Street P.O. Box 14595 Dayton, OH 45414 Contact: Mr. Bob Rolfe Phone: (513) 898-7450

¢

. 1

Criterion Photoworks 119 East 4th P.O. Box 292 Minden, NE 68959 Phone: (308) 832-2100

These laboratories also possess KODAK VERSAMAT Film Processors for developing KODAK Black and White Aerial Films. Therefore, each facility can meet all your aerial film processing needs--black and white or color in all format sizes from 35mm to 9-1/2 inch widths. Contact the persons listed above for specific information on costs, delivery, etc.

Note that Eastman Kodak Company does not offer Process EA-5, the Aero-Neg Color Process, or black and white processing for Kodak Aerial Films. Also, Process E-4 was discontinued by Kodak Processing Lab in May 1985. For a list of commercial laboratories offering Process E-4, write to: Photo Information Dept., Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY 14650.



Environmental Remote Sensing Applications Laboratory Oregon State University Corvallis, OR 97331-6703