# IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES



NATIONAL PARK SERVICE



#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

# THE INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE-IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

In March of 1988, interpreters prepared the <u>IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES</u>, the companion document to <u>THE INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE</u>. <u>THE INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE</u> was previously approved by the NPS Directorate in January of the same year.

THE INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE communicated the essential and enduring elements necessary to deliver quality interpretive programs, services, and media, into the 21st Century. These elements are captured in five challenges:

PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE EVALUATION EDUCATION PROGRAM INTEGRATION MEDIA

not etantites ant? to colfrants for

The <u>IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES</u> presents a five-year plan that identifies 133 achievable, priority actions to meet these challenges.

#### CONTEXT

PERSONAL SERVICES interpretation is the acknowledged primary method of interpreting in parks. Budget reductions have resulted in fewer qualified permanent full-time and seasonal interpreters in parks. This shortage has been mitigated by increasing the usage of volunteers and reducing programs. Today, parks have too few qualified interpretive specialists and too many part-time employees operating without adequate training or supervision.

As for NON-PERSONAL SERVICES, it has been twenty years since many interpretive facilities were constructed. Over the years, these facilities have become outdated and worn. In addition, many new areas have been added to the System without funds for interpretive facilities to be installed. A special effort is required to inventory the capital investment in parks, to assess the condition of these facilities, and to examine what actions are appropriate to ensure desired interpretive MEDIA are well-conceived, well-designed, well-constructed, and well-maintained.

Files required to according the all seconds of the university to be larger at the

suggested for the religititities of the backing of sit and

#### PRIORITIES THUS BECOME

- RECRUIT and EXPAND a CAPABLE CADRE of field interpreters and interpretive leaders
- (2) INSTITUTIONALIZE a RESEARCH and DEVELOPMENT program to serve park interpreters (Institute for Visitor Services and Interpretation -IVSI)
- (3) ESTABLISH management processes and develop a basic personnel and facility DATA BASE from which interpretive managers can effectively and knowledgeably negotiate for adequate interpretive programs and budgets
- (4) OBTAIN a five-year budget initiative to accomplish a major MEDIA MAINTENANCE, rehabilitation and new construction program
- (5) IMPROVE the standards for OPERATIONS EVALUATION
- (6) REVITALIZE ENVIRONMENTAL AND HERITAGE EDUCATION programs
- (7) ESTABLISH an interpretive CYCLIC MAINTENANCE PROGRAM in all regions
- (8) CONDUCT SERVICEWIDE INITIATIVES in Biological Diversity (1989), conduct resource education/interpretation programs with NASA (1990), celebrate the Service's 75th Anniversary (1991), and celebrate the Quincentennial of Columbus' voyage (1992)
- (9) COMPLETE a program of PUBLICATIONS, handbooks and free folders for all parks

#### COST ESTIMATES

It is estimated that it will take FIVE YEARS to achieve, in all parks, the desired level of personal services and facilities with high standards of quality.

In today's dollars, the five-year estimates for each of the five challenges are:

PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE...\$ 47.0 MILLION EVALUATION......\$ 0.2 MILLION EDUCATION.....\$ 2.1 MILLION PROGRAM INTEGRATION.....\$ 2.6 MILLION MEDIA.....\$ 88.8 MILLION FIVE-YEAR TOTAL (1992) \$140.7 MILLION

A large portion of the estimate for PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE (\$45.0 million of the \$47.0 million) is suggested for the UPGRADING of the NPS INTERPRETIVE WORK FORCE, an eventual increase of about 1,150 FTE's. Similarly, a large portion of the estimate for MEDIA (\$68.0 million of the \$88.8 million) is suggested for the rehabilitation of the backlog of HFC media facilities. FTE's required to accomplish all aspects of the <u>IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES</u> beyond the upgrade of the interpretive work force total 82.7.

#### PRIMARY FUND SOURCES (1988-1992)

Individual implementation strategies are best funded from the following sources:

LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION	
BASE ADJUSTMENTS W/IN REGIONS\$	
W/IN PARKS \$	45,306,000
W/IN WASO\$ * W/IN HFC\$	383,000
PARK FEE ENHANCEMENT	
DIRECTOR'S DISCRETIONARY ACCOUNT \$	
REGIONAL CYCLIC MAINTENANCE	
ADVANCE PLANNING	
OTHER\$	
FIVE-YEAR TOTAL \$	140,700,000

\* Does not include base funding for free park folders \$750,000 to HFC in FY 1990

#### IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Recognizing that great sums of new funding may not be available before fiscal year (1990), we have grouped the 31 goals and 133 implementations into seven "phases" or package sets. These packages identify implementations that can be started immediately, those that require additional funding and/or FTE's, and those that require actions dependent upon the completion of other packages.

#### PACKAGE ONE

39 ACTIONS during the first year WITHOUT additional base dollars or FTE

#### PACKAGE TWO

8 ACTIONS during the second year WITHOUT additional base dollars or FTE

#### PACKAGE THREE

13 ACTIONS during the first year requiring \$282,500 and 0 FTE

#### PACKAGE FOUR

2 ACTIONS during the first year requiring \$160,000 and 2.0 FTE

#### PACKAGE FIVE

38 ACTIONS during the second year requiring \$1,134,800 and 0 FTE

#### PACKAGE SIX

21 ACTIONS during the second year requiring \$6,754,000 and 54.7 FTE

#### PACKAGE SEVEN

12 ACTIONS during the third through fifth years requiring \$132,345,900 and 26 FTE (these are often dependent upon the completion of previous packages)

#### IN SUMMARY:

PRIORITY FTE NEEDS are in - PARK interpretation/education - staffing the Harpers Ferry Center - PARK supervisory positions

PRIORITY FUNDING NEEDS are in - PARK publications

- Research and analysis (IVSI)
- PARK cyclic maintenance
  - PARK major media rehabilitation
  - PARK interpretive staffs

#### PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES

# FOR DIRECTION FOR IMPLEMENTATION

PARKS	- 18%	PARKS	- 21%
REGIONS	- 20%	REGIONS	- 33%
WASO	- 40%	WASO	- 23%
HFC/OTHERS	- 22%	HFC/OTHERS	- 23%

Interpretation is everyone's business.

To achieve the goals in these plans, it will take effort by park superintendents and interpreters. It will take Fee Enhancement dollars. It will take the support of Regional Managers, Washington Leaders, Friends, Partnerships and other government agencies.

What follows are detailed explanations of each implementation action. The implementations are presented in priority order within each Challenge. Also included is an appendix that includes summary listings of implementation costs/FTE by packages and by primary responsible parties.

38 ACTIVES don'the second year requiring \$1,134,800 and 0 FTE

Kenneth Raithel, Jr. Assistant Director, Interpretation

#### THE INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE--IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

#### I. INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

"Interpretation is a professional activity requiring individuals who respect park resources and visitors, who possess knowledge from which to interpret, who skillfully communicate, and who contribute to the growth, development, and advancement of their profession. This first interpretive challenge addresses the need to provide adequate research and development regarding interpretation, to maintain interpretive professionalism, to improve interpretive fiscal and personnel management and staffing, and to expand career opportunities in interpretation."

### A. SUBCHALLENGE: ENHANCING INTERPRETIVE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND STAFFING

"Often the success of the National Park Service and its interpretive operations falls largely on the shoulders of the front-line interpreters, many of whom are temporary, seasonal, or volunteer. These employees deserve the best training and supervision possible.

"All employees provide or may be called upon to provide some level of interpretation to park visitors. Similarly, park interpreters are members of the park operations team. As such, they should be skilled in program integration and administration.

"As visitation continues to grow, added duties impinge on the entire park staff. Interpreters have been assigned new responsibilities and other responsibilities have been expanded. There is a need to support these new responsibilities with formal training, developmental experiences, and career opportunities. The interpretive staff needs to be free to effectively and efficiently exercise its primary responsibilities."

- 1. GOAL: To establish and/or maintain interpretive operations that are managed and supervised by qualified interpretive managers in all parks, regional offices, and the Washington office.
  - a. ACTION: Review, evaluate, and comment on all proposed conversions of interpretive staff

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

organizations at all levels and the selection and placement of individuals in interpretive program management positions.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (1): Develop and establish formalized procedures to assure that Regional Chiefs of Interpretation and, where appropriate, WASO Chief of Interpretation, exercise review and evaluation authority on all proposed Park and Regional organizational conversions having potential adverse impact on interpretation program management.

Conversion of interpretive staff organizations at the park level will be reviewed and evaluated by the Regional Chiefs of Interpretation and comments provided to the Superintendent.

Conversion of interpretive staff organizations at the Regional level will be reviewed and evaluated by the Chief of Interpretation, WASO, and comments provided to the Regional Directors through the Assistant Director, Interpretation.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing ONPS (parks) Time Frame: 1st year and ongoing Responsibility: Parks and Region, WASO

b. ACTION: Establish minimum standards of training and experience needed by interpretive managers and supervisors to ensure that applicants possess minimum qualifications to carry out the NPS mission and the individual park's interpretive programs.

 (1) IMPLEMENTATION (2): Review, revise as needed, distribute and highlight application and use of the Servicewide Recommended Minimum Core Training/Experience Requirements for Interpreters.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None

Funding Sources: Existing ONPS Time Frame: 1st and ongoing Responsibility: WASO, regions and Interpretive Training Committee

IMPLEMENTATION (3): Chief of Interpretation-WASO, Regional Chiefs of Interpretation and Park Superintendents will review the knowledge, skills and abilities requirements for all supervisory and managerial positions with interpretive responsibilities, to assure that the KSA's appropriately reference the minimum core training/experience requirements applicable to the subject positions. WASO Chief of Interpretation, Regional Chiefs of Interpretation and Park Superintendents will evaluate applications to assure that applicants adequately illustrate their possession of the Recommended Minimum Core Training/Experience Requirements for the vacancy as stated in the KSA's.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing ONPS Time Frame: 1st year and ongoing Time Frame: 1st year and ongoing Responsibility: WASO, Regions, and Parks

- c. ACTION: Reclassify positions based on redefined work responsibilities using the current personnel system.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (4): Interpretive management personnel participating on Operations Evaluation Teams will conduct informal review and evaluation of the duties versus classification of interpretive positions in the park. Evaluation results/comments will be provided to the park superintendent and Regional Office classification . specialists for professional consideration.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing ONPS (parks) Funding Needed: None (parks) Time Frame: 2nd, 3rd years

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

(2)

Savel hedeld from old yd bette

Responsibility: Parks, Regions and

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (5): Interpretive managers should attend position management/position classification courses and/or those subjects should be included in existing courses and in Core Training.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$15,000 Funding Sources: Existing ONPS Time Frames: 2nd, 3rd years Responsibility: Parks, Regions, WASO

- 2. GOAL: To improve the overall performance of interpreters.
  - a. ACTION: Increase the number of supervisory and operational positions and improve the supervision of increasing numbers of employees and volunteers.
    - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (6): Annual review of all interpretive operational organizations interpretive operational organizations will be conducted by the next higher level interpretive manager to assure that accepted supervisory-to-staff ratios exist.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$40,000 Funding Sources: Existing ONPS Time Frame: 2nd and 4th years Responsibility: Parks, regions, WASO.

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (7): Study and recognize the supervisory and operational impact of increasing staff by assuring appropriate support and supervision of all operations personnel: volunteers, VIP groups, etc.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$12,000 Funding Sources: Regions, and WASO ONPS. Time Frame: 2nd year and ongoing. Responsibility: WASO, Regional Personnel Divisions ONPS.

4

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

b. ACTION: Reconvene the Interpretive Training Committee to analyze, assess, and update the 1985 plan for recommended core competency training programs for interpreters.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (8): Interpretive Training Committee, reconstituted as needed, convenes. Committee reviews current interpretive core curriculum. Recommends changes to WASO Division of Interpretation and Employee Development. Divisions incorporate changes into core training curriculum. Curriculum and course materials are modified to meet recommendations. Training is implemented.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$10,000 Funding Sources: WASO Divisions of Interpretation and Employee Development Time Frame: 1st, 4th years Responsibility: WASO Divisions of Interpretation and Employee Development

c. ACTION: Develop new correspondence courses in cooperation with the Employee Development Division.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (9): Interpretive Training Committee considers and evaluates the range of training topics/subjects, materials and sources appropriate for interpretation, such as planning, design, art, drama, material properties, music, supervision, psychology, sociology, communication, and writing. Investigate sources and availability of correspondence training materials already available in universities and other institutions. WASO Division of Interpretation and Employee Development publish biannual compilations of Interpretation/Resource Management and Park Management course offered via communities and other institutions.

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

Staffing: .5 FTE Funding Needed: \$30,000 Funding Sources: Regional and WASO ONPS Time Frame: 2nd, 3rd, and 4th years Responsibility: WASO Divisions of Interpretation and Employee Development

d. ACTION: Train interpreters to become more knowledgeable and effective in the budget formulation process.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (10): Establish and provide at Employee Development Centers and via Regional Offices. Administration of Interpretive Operations (interpretive budget and program management) should include "specialty" program management such as VIP programs, repair and rehabilitation, line item funding, donation account management, budget justification and "paperwork" management.

Staffing: 1st year = 1 FTE; 2nd year = .5 FTE; 3rd year = none Funding Needed: \$80,000 through 3rd year

Funding Sources: WASO desmooleved acvolutel es Interpretation and Employee Development ONPS, and Mather Employee Development Center Time Frame: 1st, 2nd, and 3rd years Responsibility: WASO Divisions of Interpretation and Employee Development, Mather Employee Development Center, and Regional Offices

- e. ACTION: Produce a film that clearly defines the work of a park ranger to attract and recruit outstanding candidates for seasonal and permanent interpretive positions.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (11): WASO Divisions of remaild fail (\*\* Interpretation, Ranger Activities, and Public Affairs with cooperation of HFC will produce a motion picture film about the NPS ranger and the role of the ranger in heightening public appreciation of the Service contribution to our nation's cultural/natural heritage and the

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

development of the worldwide conservation ethic. Using the "state of the art" film techniques, the film will capture public awareness and interest in the activities of the Service. Production copies will be distributed to WASO offices, regions and urban "gateway" parks. The film will be presented in all forms, public and private. Film Specification: 20-30 minutes in length, color; format - 1/2 inch video; professional/original narration, score and presentation.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$90,000 Funding Sources: Director's Fund and Donations Time Frame: 2nd and 3rd years Responsibility: WASO Divisions of Interpretation and Ranger Activities and Harpers Ferry Center

- f. ACTION: Institute new awards and honors that recognize the outstanding contributions of interpreters, program managers, and interpretive planners and designers.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (12): Perform an inventory of current interpretive awards at all levels (i.e. Service, WASO, Regional, Park, and all other) to determine existing opportunities for recognition.

Staffing: 30-day detail Funding Needed: \$5,000 Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frame: 1st year Responsibility: WASO Division of Interpretation

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (13): After determining existing interpretation awards and other forms of recognition, encourage parity among all regions by creation of new awards as needed to achieve recognition of program excellence: seasonal employees; permanent employees; volunteers; chiefs of interpretation, interpretive divisions, superintendents (interpretive program managers);

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

contribution.

interpretive planners/designers; contractors; and private industry

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$10,000 Funding Sources: Director's Fund, Concessioners, Cooperating Associations, Donations Associations, Donations Time Frame: 2nd year, ongoing Responsibility: WASO and regions

(3) IMPLEMENTATION (14): WASO Division of Interpretation and Regional Offices will establish and maintain procedures of nomination and selection for the awards.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frame: Ongoing Responsibility: WASO and regions

- 3. GOAL: To improve the quality of professionalism and supervisory capabilities of mid-level interpretive managers.
- a. ACTION: Initiate a two-year interpretive development program to prepare interpreters for management positions.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (15): Charge the Interpretive Training Committee to develop an overall plan to guide the interpretive development program based on the old mid-level training program, except that the person stays on the job and devotes no more than 25% of his/her time to the program. The committee will work closely with the Division of Employee Development.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: See IMPLEMENTATION 8 for training committee funding; \$10,000 for training support Funding Sources: WASO Division of Interpretation Time Frame: 2nd, 3rd years Responsibility: WASO Chief of Interpretation and WASO Chief

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

of Employee Development, Superintendents

4. GOAL: To plan, program, and monitor fee income money to meet park interpretive goals.

- a. ACTION: Prepare an annual plan and priorities to achieve servicewide projects.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (16): Regional Chiefs review all park proposals for projects coded in computer submissions for fee enhancement utilization proposals.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frame: Annually, beginning in lst year Responsibility: Regional Chiefs of Interpretation, WASO Division of Interpretation, Superintendents

b. ACTION: Prepare an annual park program to guide expenditure of fee monies for enhanced interpretive media and expanded visitor services.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (17): Park Chiefs of Interpretation, in their ASFI's, should briefly outline proposals for fee enhancement funds for the following fiscal year. Such proposals should reflect consideration of criteria already established for project eligibility by the WASO budget office. Project proposals involving assistance from HFC should be discussed with Regional Chief of Interpretation prior to inclusion in the ASFI.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Park ONPS base Time Frame: Initiate in 1st year, ongoing Responsibility: Park Chiefs of Interpretation and Regional Chiefs, Superintendents, HFC

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (18): The NPS should make every effort to expand its interpretive workforce over the next five years. A 100% increase by 1992 in the seasonal workforce is a reasonable goal, based on increased visitation, new park additions, special initiatives, and increased emphasis on personal interpretive and educational programs called upon in The Interpretive Challenge. Fee enhancement revenues, increased park budgets, and other avenues of funding and prioritysetting need to be explored by Superintendents, Regional and WASO personnel. Likewise, a 25% increase in the permanent interpretive workforce in five years is called upon to handle the increased supervisory requirements of an enlarged seasonal workforce, as well as increasing supervision of volunteer programs and expansions in visitor services. Increases in the ONPS allotments for interpretive services in the NPS need to be gained to support increased budgets for permanent personnel.

Significant increases in staff should begin in 1990 and continue into 1992. Funds needed per year by 1992 to accomplish a 100% increase in the seasonal workforce and a 25% increase in the permanent workforce are approximately \$25.0 million. The process should be phased over a 3-year period beginning in 1990.

781 seasonal FTE's (1987) x \$18,000 = \$14,058,000

368 permanent FTE's (25% of 1987) x \$28,000 = \$10,304,000

Staffing: Existing, to accomplish task (1149 FTE's needed by 1992 to accomplish program) Funding Needed: \$5.0 million in 1990; \$15.0 million in 1991; \$25.0 million in 1992 Funding Sources: Benefitting Accounts--Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks); Park ONPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

Time Frames: 3rd year, ongoing Responsibility: Director; Assistant Director, Interpretation; WASO Division of Interpretation; Regional Directors; Regional Chiefs of Interpretation; Park Superintendents

# B. SUBCHALLENGE: PROVIDING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

"There is a need for a focused and coordinated effort to provide the following:

--research about visitor values, travel patterns, demographics, interests, potentials for learning, and media preferences;

--effective evaluation of interpretive services;

--experimentation with new media and communications techniques;

--advance planning for interpretive problems and concerns, using people who are most knowledgeable."

- GOAL: To analyze information about visitors' needs and activities, convey the implications, and integrate this knowledge into interpretive planning, training, and park operations.
- a. ACTION: Establish an Interpretation and Visitor Services Institute (IVSI), managed by Interpretation, in close cooperation with other operational areas, which will emulate the organizational concepts of cooperative park studies units and will be the focal point for work of many groups and individuals.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (19): The WASO Division of Interpretation will convene a permanent IVSI Advisory Council. The Advisory Council will be charged to prepare a role and function statement for the Institute which will foster a "think tank" atmosphere. As an opportunity for brainstorming among peers, the Institute should strive for qualities expressed in the introduction to this challenge, emphasizing:

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

-program experimentation; -research; -communications; -procedural guidelines; -evaluation.

It will consist of the WASO Chief of Interpretation, a Regional Director, a Regional Chief of Interpretation, a Superintendent, a Chief of Interpretation, a field interpreter, and a recognized professional, non-NPS interpreter. The NPS members will represent different regions on a rotating membership basis. At its first meeting, the Council will:

-produce a Role and Function Statement for the Council; -produce a Role and Function Statement of the IVSI; -recommend a site and structure for the IVSI; -plan to meet twice yearly and report its recommendations to the Assistant Director, Interpretation; -recommend IVSI funding needs and source for years FY 90-94.

Staffing Needed: Existing Funding Needed: \$10,000/yr for Advisory Council Meetings Funding Sources: WASO Operations Base Increase Time Frame: 2nd, 3rd years Responsibility: Chief of Interpretation, WASO

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (20): For FY89, WASO Division of Interpretation receives a minimum of \$150,000 to guide development of the IVSI (leasing = \$15,000; equipment/furniture = \$30,000; salaries = \$90,000; travel = \$20,000).

Staffing Needed: 3 FTE's: 1 GS-12; 1 GS-9; 1 GS-5 Funding Needed: \$150,000 2nd year, \$510,000 total Funding Source: WASO Operations Base Increase

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

Time Frame: 2nd year; ongoing. Responsibility: Associate Director, Operations; Assistant Director, Interpretation

- b. ACTION: Coordinate and optimize the application of the NPS Visitor Services Project as a part of the IVSI.
- IMPLEMENTATION (21): The Director (1) establishes management and funding for the VSP within the WASO Division of Interpretation.

By beginning of FY 89, a GS 9/11 position is established within the WASO Division of Interpretation to be assigned to coordinate the VSP at the University of Idaho during a 1-3 year transition period to operational status.

Once the IVSI is fully established, the VSP becomes part of the IVSI Role and Function and is administered through the IVSI.

Staffing Needed: 1 GS 9/11 FTE Funding Needed: A minimum of \$140,000/year Funding Sources: \$6,000/Region/year \$140,000/year plus \$8,000/park/year participating in the program at 10-15 park projects/year Time Frame: 2nd, 3rd, 4th years Responsibility: WASO Chief of Interpretation, Regional Chiefs of Interpretation, HFC Manager

- ACTION: C. Conduct research regarding visitors' understandings and insights after visits to park areas.
  - IMPLEMENTATION (22): Begin the third (1) phase of the VSP outlined in Challenge 2 (Evaluation), a way to evaluate the effectiveness of a park's interpretive program in relation to managerial, educational, and inspirational components; assure that the educational component examines visitor understanding and insights about their visits to parks.

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

See Challenge 2, IMPLEMENTATIONS 55 and 56 for specific recommendations.

- d. ACTION: Support sociological studies relevant to local population perceptions of the national park system and develop strategies to build bridges between these populations and local park areas.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (23): Such studies should gather baseline information and monitor changes, trends, etc., recognizing that social characteristics are dynamic. A permanent, Servicewide program should be established to provide continuity and stability to the effort. The program should be coordinated from WASO, fostering a systematic approach to data gathering and preparation of recommendations with regional, field, and CPSU sociologists.

Staffing Needed: 1 GS 13/14 FTE Funding Needed: \$50,000 (2nd year) (salary only;) \$100,000 (3rd year) (salary and 5 park projects); \$150,000 (4th year) (salary and 8 park projects/yr) Funding Sources: 2nd year (WASO Base Funding), Park Fee Monies Time Frames: See Above Responsibility: Chief Scientist, WASO, Chief of Interpretation, WASO, IVSI.

- 2. GOAL: To review and evaluate interpretive products and services produced or provided by other public organizations and appropriate private institutions.
  - a. ACTION: Seek and develop innovative interpretive techniques and media.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (24): Charge IVSI Advisory Council to include this action item within the Role and Function of the IVSI.

No support needed beyond recommendations in earlier plans for IVSI.

3. GOAL: To analyze state-of-the-art information processes and services.

- a. ACTION: Increase use of computers and pertinent software in park interpretive operations.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (25): Institute an NPS training course which focuses on ways to best utilize computers in interpretive operations.

Publish one issue of the technical bulletin <u>Interpretation</u> having a theme on the use of computer technology in interpretive operations and visitor services.

Establish an electronic communications system between the WASO Division of Interpretation; the Regional Divisions of Interpretation, and park interpretive offices.

Staffing Needs: Existing Funding Needed: For training course, funded through tuition accounts by making course available to non-NPS participants; no other funds requested Funding Sources: NPS Employee Development Tuition Accounts Time Frames: 2nd-4th years Responsibility: WASO Division of

> Interpretation NPS Division of Employee Development (Mather Employee Development Center)

b. ACTION: Study the feasibility and desirability of interactive computer systems for use by park visitors.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (26): Evaluate effectiveness of existing, interactive programs.

Charge IVSI Advisory Council to include this action item within the Role and Function of the IVSI.

No support needed beyond recommendations in earlier plans for IVSI.

c. ACTION: Study various desktop publishing softwares and determine best method of use for interpretive park operations.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (27): Expand desktop publishing training in Interpretive Skills IV to include instruction in use of various desktop publishing software programs with various computer systems.

Develop computer capability for production of site bulletins through desktop publishing (Harpers Ferry Center) and disseminate information through Interpretive Skills IV (Mather Employee Development Center) and through Technical Bulletins.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: None Time Frame: 2nd year, ongoing Responsibility: Harpers Ferry Center/WASO Division of Interpretation; Mather Employee Development Center

# C. SUBCHALLENGE: ENSURING INTERPRETIVE PROFESSIONALISM

"The conduct of successful, fundamental interpretive operations is dependent upon having an adequate number of highly qualified interpreters, tightly managing collateral duties, and offering development programs for continued professional growth and satisfaction. There needs to be a renewed servicewide effort to fill positions with the most highly qualified candidates who have good communication skills and knowledge about the resources specific to that park. Matching qualified interpreters with duties that relate to their field of expertise and enhancing inhouse communications among interpreters offer greater likelihood of successful interpretation. Efforts to strengthen and maintain quality interpretive operations need to recognize that parks have differing requirements."

1. GOAL: To ensure a better match between the capabilities and interests of the interpretive

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

specialist and the requirements of the work to be performed.

- a. ACTION: Conduct a work-force planning effort to determine attrition rates, project career opportunities, profile a qualified interpreter, design a leadership program for eventual organizational needs, and ensure that recruitment activities reach all populations.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (28): So that recruitment activities reach all populations, insure that Regional Offices send minimum of one copy of each vacancy announcement to each of their parks and to all parks when announcement is Servicewide.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Regional Base ONPS Time Frames: 2nd year, ongoing Responsibility: Regional Offices and parks with recruitment authority

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (29): Insure that parks create a mechanism for distribution and posting so that all vacancy announcements are available to all employees in a timely manner.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Park Base ONPS Time Frames: Ongoing Responsibility: Each park

(3) IMPLEMENTATION (30): In order to ensure that recruitment activities reach all parks in a timely manner, it is recommended that all Regions extend vacancy announcement period for Ranger 025 Servicewide vacancy announcements.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Regional Base ONPS Time Frames: Ongoing Responsibility: Regional Offices and parks with recruitment authority

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

(4) IMPLEMENTATION (31): In order that recruitment activities reach all populations, put the pink vacancy announcement listing on one of the electronic mail systems.

- -

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: WASO Base ONPS Time Frames: Ongoing Responsibility: WASO Personnel Division

(5) IMPLEMENTATION (32): In order to create the profile of a qualified interpreter, it is recommended that regional chiefs and/or WASO interpretive staff develop a basic professional profile for Park Chief Interpreter at GS-9, 11 and 12 levels, and for lower graded field interpreters.

Staffing: .2 FTE Funding Needed: \$5,000 Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 2nd year Responsibility: WASO/Regional Chiefs

(6) IMPLEMENTATION (33): In order to insure that recruitment activities reach all populations, work with Personnel, EO, and PA offices to set up Career Fairs or Job Recruitment days in major population centers to reach all segments of populations with seasonal applications and information on permanent positions.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 2nd year, ongoing Responsibility: Regional EO Offices, Regional Public Affairs Officers, Regional Chiefs of Interpretation, parks as needed, Regional Personnel Offices

- (7) IMPLEMENTATION (34): In order to project eventual organizational needs, tap into and coordinate with WASO Personnel office
- INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

to determine employee attrition rates, project servicewide interpretive position turnover and career opportunities.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 2nd year Responsibility: WASO Division of Interpretation, WASO Personnel Office

2. GOAL: To enhance ability to carry out our domestic mission through professional growth of employees.

- a. ACTION: Establish professional contacts with the National Association for Interpretation and Heritage Interpretation International.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (35): Regional Chiefs should as a matter of course (routinely) send membership information and notices of meetings to field areas on all pertinent professional organizations.
- Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 1st year, ongoing Responsibility: Regional Chiefs of Interpretation, Parks

b. ACTION: Participate fully in professional organizations and provide leadership at professional workshops and conferences.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (36): Encourage field interpreters to become active in local chapters of national organizations and to provide leadership. Such memberships and activities should be encouraged to promote professional development. It should be given consideration for recognition in the performance appraisal process.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 1st year, ongoing Responsibility: Regional Chiefs of

# Interpretation, Field Interpreters

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (37): Encourage field interpreters to increase professional contacts with local recognized institutions and experts.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 1st year, ongoing Responsibility: Regional Chiefs of Interpretation, Field Interpreters

(3) IMPLEMENTATION (38): Explore loosening of restrictions on Attendance at Meetings in order to better facilitate 1. and 2. above.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 1st year, ongoing Responsibility: Regional Directors, Regional Chiefs of Interpretation

- 3. GOAL: To foster an exchange of ideas and interpretive methods.
  - a. ACTION: Publish an interpretive technical bulletin that focuses on timely interpretive topics and provides new techniques and a means for informational exchange.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (39): Publish a technical bulletin entitled <u>Interpretation</u> on a quarterly basis, using teams of Regional Chiefs of Interpretation as editorial boards, the Harpers Ferry Center as technical editing and design/layout, and the WASO Division of Interpretation as coordinator.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$10,000/year Funding Sources: WASO Division of Interpretation base Time Frames: 1st year, ongoing

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

Responsibility: WASO Chief of Interpretation, Manager, HFC, Regional Chiefs of Interpretation

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (40): Encourage parks to subscribe to high quality professional publications. Regional chiefs will develop suggested list of appropriate publications and memberships.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$300/park Funding Sources: Park ONPS and park cooperating associations Time Frames: 1st year, ongoing Responsibility: Regional Chiefs of Interpretation, Superintendents

(3) IMPLEMENTATION (41): As a means of informational exchange, establish regional (Servicewide) computer program disc library for loan and distribution of application programs that would be useful to interpreters and program managers. Create an index of each region's listings and provide it to all other Regional Chiefs.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 1st year, ongoing Responsibility: Regional Chiefs and Park Superintendents

- b. ACTION: Assist and encourage NPS interpreters to prepare and publish professional papers on interpretation.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (42): Establish servicewide or regional awards to recognize writers of important professional papers, and employees who become well-known experts in their field.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$2000 Funding Sources: Cooperative Associations Time Frames: 2nd year, ongoing

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

# Responsibility: Regional Chiefs of Interpretation

IMPLEMENTATION (43): Establish an annual compendium of professional papers. Prior to each Annual Regional Chiefs Meeting, each Regional Chief and the WASO Division Chief should submit at least one title and summary statement, proposing a professional issue to be addressed at the compendium of professional papers. Prior professional issue to be addressed at the Chiefs Meeting. Each Chief should accept responsibility to write or secure the preparation of one of these papers. Each author should attempt to have his/her paper published in a professional journal and/or presented at a conference or workshop. WASO should compile, reproduce and distribute this compendium to the field.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: ONPS Base Time Frames: 2nd year Responsibility: Regional Chiefs and WASO Division Chief WASO Division Chief

- 4. GOAL: To improve training-by-detail assignments for interpreters.
- a. ACTION: Create assignments that allow interpreters to perform outside their routine work areas and thereby learn from other interpretive operations.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (44): Fill vacant park positions with similar lower graded employees detailed as "acting" for 2-3 months. Regional Directors to issue policy statement to encourage this action whenever possible.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 1st year and continuing Responsibility: Regional Directors, Park Managers, Division Chiefs

22

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

(2)

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (45): Encourage more park-based employees to be placed on special study and evaluation teams.

> Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 1st and ongoing Responsibility: Regional Directors, Park Managers

- b. ACTION: Institute servicewide temporary details in regions and Washington to improve and develop management potential.
  - IMPLEMENTATION (46): Encourage Regional Divisions of Interpretation to establish interpretive management trainee positions in regional offices, Division of Interpretation.

Staffing: One FTE per region (or an exchange of FTE or accruing lapsed FTE) Funding Needed: \$30,000 per position Funding Sources: To be determined by the nature of the assignment. Examples worthy of consideration--Albright Fund, current lapses in positions Time Frames: 2nd year and ongoing Responsibility: Regional Chiefs of Interpretation, Parks

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (47): Bring in field employees to the regional office for up to four months as "Acting" to fill regional vacancies.

Staffing: Existing
Funding Needed: \$3000/yr/detail
Funding Sources: Regional Base ONPS
Time Frames: Ongoing, as
 opportunities arise
Responsibility: Regional Chiefs,
 Park Managers

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #1--PROFESSIONAL EXCELLENCE

# IV. INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #4--PROGRAM INTEGRATION

"Program integration and servicewide thrusts are opportunities that must be carefully planned, energized with adequate resources, and backed with appropriate delegation of authority. Coordinated interdisciplinary programs will result."

#### A. SUBCHALLENGE: MAXIMIZING PROGRAM COORDINATION

"Interpreters are often called upon to champion special initiatives. These special initiatives must be integrated with basic, necessary interpretive operations. Coordination at the Washington Office level, with appropriate funding and staffing throughout, is needed. Several very important initiatives are already established or about to begin and need immediate thoughtful attention. Coordination among scientists, resource managers, visitor service rangers, maintenance specialists, designers, planners, and interpreters needs to be enhanced throughout the National Park Service."

- GOAL: To ensure better coordination and cooperation among all professionals involved in park operations and research.
- a. ACTION: Support an interdisciplinary approach in all regions and parks for program integration.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (86): Both regional offices and park interpretive staffs should take the initiative to include appropriate division representatives in training, contracting, committee assignments, etc.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing Time Frames: Ongoing Responsibility: Superintendents, Regional Directors

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (87): Regional Directors and Park Superintendents should assure that interpretation is represented during workload analyses and task directives.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None

#### II. INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #2--EVALUATION

"Interpretation deals with <u>impact</u>, <u>understanding</u>, <u>and goodwill</u> impact of programs on visitor values and enjoyment, impact of visitors on resources, impact of interpreters on park management; understanding of visitors, understanding of resources, understanding of the National Park Service and its goals; and goodwill between people and organizations. The problem is how to measure impact, understanding, and goodwill.

"The park superintendent is the key to any evaluation program. When asked, a superintendent should be able to define the standards of quality interpretation and identify what is needed to meet those standards."

- 1. GOAL: To bring interpretive services throughout the national park system to a consistent level of quality.
- a. ACTION: Ensure essential interpretive services are consistent with NPS "Management Policies" and NPS-6, "Interpretation and Visitor Services Guidelines."
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (48): Issue a directive that emphasizes and reaffirms commitment to using existing Management Policies and NPS-6 in planning, staffing, carrying out and evaluating success in park interpretive operations.

Rationale- The basic purposes of NPS interpretation is to provide public understanding/appreciation of park resources to facilitate safe, nonimpacting use of parks, to promote public understanding and support for Service policies and programs. Service policy is to provide at least a "minimum interpretive operation" of essential visitor services to accomplish the above purposes. A memorandum from the Director to regional directors would document an official concept of what level of interpretive services is the minimum acceptable.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 1st year, ongoing

- d. ACTION: Cooperate with the private sector to provide a traveling exhibit of significant artifacts representing the diversity of resources within the system and conveying the importance of the NPS mission.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (83): Support current task directive for the national traveling exhibit production.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$15,000 Funding Sources: WASO Base ONPS Time Frame: 1st year Responsibility: WASO, HFC

- e. ACTION: Incorporate Friends groups sessions in interpretive training courses.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (84): The advantages of friends groups must be adequately represented and discussed in courses for managers and field interpreters including developing strategies for establishing, supporting, and working cooperatively with Friends groups.

Staffing: Existing
Funding Needed: Continued support
 of training efforts
Funding Sources: Existing
Time Frame: First, second, and
 third year
Responsibility: WASO, Mather
 Employee Development Center,
 regions

- 2. GOAL: To ensure that all people have an equal opportunity to enjoy park resources and participate in their presentation.
  - a. ACTION: Foster NPS cultural resource media and programs that are factual, balanced ethnographic presentations.
    - IMPLEMENTATION (85): Continue to make programs and facilities accessible to all populations. Insure that research is performed for accurate presentation which reflect the appropriate ethnographic diversity of the park area.

Funding Needed: Existing Funding Sources: WASO Base Time Frames: 2nd year Responsibility: Lead should be in WASO. Regional Chiefs to collect information in their respective regional areas. WASO/Region team to produce final standards.

c. ACTION: Incorporate well-articulated standards for interpretive services in the existing "Operations Evaluation Manual," "Annual Statements for Interpretation," and the Maintenance Management System.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (51): Work with WASO coordinator for revisions to the Operations Evaluation Manual to assure that interpretive offices in WASO, regions, and the field have input into the newest standards for interpretation and visitor services. These standards would be developed by interpretive staffs from the 3 levels and submitted to the WASO coordinator.

Likewise, the WASO coordinator for the Maintenance Management System would be approached to work out ways for interpretive standards related to upkeep/repair/rehab of interpretive facilities and media to be included in the Maintenance Management System.

The standards developed for interpretation and visitor services (Operations Evaluation, Maintenance Management System) would also be addressed as appropriate in developing the parks' Statement for Interpretation each year.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: Existing Funding Sources: WASO Base Time Frames: 1st year Responsibility: WASO would coordinate the work to be done to provide interpretive standards for Operations Evaluation and the Maintenance

"Programs that address special populations are also an important extension of interpretive outreach efforts."

- GOAL: To involve interpretive operations in all outreach programs.
- a. ACTION: Use existing training programs to convey the importance of outreach activities in interpretive efforts and solicit selected parks to test and strengthen or establish outreach programs.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (77): Insure outreach topics are included in appropriate skills team training efforts.

Staffing: Existing skills teams Funding Needed: \$15,000 Funding Sources: Interpretive Skills seed money Time Frame: First, second, and third year Responsibility: Mather Employee Development Center and regional skills teams

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (78): Support current NPS efforts with travel/tourism through WASO Travel and Tourism Office.

Staffing: CPSU Funding Needed: \$30,000 Funding Sources: WASO Time Frame: First, second, and third year Responsibility: WASO

b. ACTION: Expand cooperative efforts with the travel/tourism industry to promote year-round travel and travel to cultural and lesser known parks.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (79): Include NPS Travel/Tourism Representative in the first work session for the Regional Chiefs of Interpretation to discuss national goals.

Staffing: Existing Travel/Tourism personnel Funding Needed: \$1000

TROY SOL INGUARTS Staffing: Existing

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (53): In addition to participating in an appropriate capacity in actual operations evaluation, the regional interpretive staff would monitor the results of such evaluations regionwide to identify any consistent deficiencies in interpretive services that might require actions on an expanded scale (e.g. additional training, modifications in media hardware, etc.) A long-term objective would be to develop a method of computerizing these evaluations for general analysis and reference.

> Funding Needed: Existing Funding Sources: Regional ONPS Base Time Frames: 1st year Responsibility: Regional Offices

- 2. GOAL: To develop a system that provides periodic feedback about the quality of personal and nonpersonal interpretive services and other interpretation.
- a. ACTION: Collaborate with professional interpreters inside and outside of the National Park Service to determine parameters and techniques for evaluation.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (54): Develop and utilize a survey form to obtain input from sources like NIA, CPSU's, park interpreters, etc., regarding what should be evaluated and how it should be completed.

Combine and prioritize responses and come up with a workable list that can be utilized to determine parameters (what should be evaluated) and develop standards (how should it be done) for an evaluation system.

From this list, determine final parameters and techniques that will be

Staffing: .3 FTE
Funding Needed: \$90,000
Funding Sources: Director's Fee
 Funds
Time Frame: Second year
Responsibility: WASO

2. GOAL: To introduce urban visitors to the national park system and the national park idea.

a. ACTION: Produce a quality audiovisual program and systemwide publication to describe the national park system to visitors.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (74): Contract with outside production company through HFC on updated audiovisual production.

Staffing: 1 FTE HFC Funding Needed: \$140,000 Funding Sources: HFC operating base Time Frame: Third year Responsibility: HFC, WASO

- 3. GOAL: To complete the Park Heritage Gateway pilot effort and determine if expansion of this program is appropriate.
  - a. ACTION: Participate in special training and information dissemination programs as proposed at the Urban Superintendents Conference.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (75): Establish a twotiered approach to this action item: (1) use a special issue of the <u>Courier</u> for highlighting creative programs from urban parks and discuss unique urban issues. Additional items relative to urban parks could be communicated through the Technical Bulletin; (2) utilize the regional skills teams and Regional Office staff to present workshops on issues relevant to their urban parks.

Staffing: Existing skills teams Funding Needed: \$3,000 per year

c. ACTION: Initiate a quality promotion program throughout the parks to define, encourage, and pass on the best ideas.

IMPLEMENTATION (56): Undertake an (1) experimental project/team to travel to parks to observe, inquire and listen to park staffs and visitors in making a quality assessment of improving the effectiveness, efficiency, and reliability of interpretation. Through these contacts, the team would organize a fund of knowledge about what is working and what is not, and how it might be improved in quality. The team would share information and positively reinforce accomplishments of the park staff. It is anticipated that the knowledge gained would directly benefit the planning and design of interpretive programs.

Rationale- By involving outside talents working with park staffs and visitors, the promotion of quality can best be met.

Staffing: Via cooperative agreements/contracts Funding Needed: \$50,000 (\$30,000 aniquere, media encolaitate at mo. personnel costs; \$20,000 travel and per diem) Funding Sources: Director's Discretionary Fund Time Frames: 2nd and 3rd years Responsibility: WASO, working with HFC, and Regional Offices, will identify the make-up of the team, and the initial route of travel, over a possible 3-month period. The selected Region(s) will be responsible for project coordination with the parks.

- d. ACTION: Analyze the performance characteristics of various media.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (57): Initiate surveys and studies to determine strengths and weaknesses of various interpretive media

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #2--EVALUATION

skills courses and critical resource interpretation.

Staffing: Existing Regional Skills Teams

Funding Needed: \$5,000 per region Funding Sources: Director's fee money

Time Frame: Second and third year Responsibility: WASO

(5) IMPLEMENTATION (70): As Servicewide initiatives are developed, provide funding support for implementation.

Staffing: .5 FTE Funding Needed: \$150,000 per initiative Funding Sources: Director's fee money Time Frame: Annually Responsibility: WASO

2. GOAL: To increase coordination of education programs within local school systems.

- a. ACTION: Encourage park-to-community coordinators, foster teacher workshops, and assist with appropriate program development.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (71): To have a successful school program, the school superintendent must be a full partner. Teams of local teachers and curriculum specialists should be formed to develop the workshops for quality park/community educational programs.

Staffing: Existing Park Staff Funding Needed: \$2,000 for three teachers to put on workshop, including materials for project Funding Sources: Park fee enhancement Time Frame: Second and third year Responsibility: Park and region

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #3--EDUCATION

### III. INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #3--EDUCATION

"Interpretation is partially defined as an educational activity. The <u>Interpretive Challenge</u> gives us the opportunity to align ourselves with educational objectives, both in the formal classroom setting as well as in the park. We need to take advantage of research and learning strategies developed in successful park programs and the academic community. We need to promote more National Park Service educational programs that will truly be effective in schools and communities. Finally, we need to make available the tools and resources interpreters must have to present critical environmental issues, integrate our programs with urban communities, and implement successful community outreach programs."

#### A. SUBCHALLENGE: PROMOTING ENVIRONMENTAL/HERITAGE EDUCATION

"Educational programs based on national park resources and themes can help people understand and appreciate their dependence on and interrelationship with their environment and history. Such education is a logical activity in the unique classrooms found in national park settings. Interpretation, including seminar/field study programs and other in-depth educational opportunities in both history and natural history, provides the medium with which education and learning can bridge gaps among people, parks, and their respective futures."

- 1. GOAL: To strengthen the long-term importance of education to the NPS mission.
  - a. ACTION: Examine the successful NPS education programs and publish an analysis of the reasons for success.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (59): Provide a Servicewide assessment of NPS educational programs.

Staffing: .1 FTE Funding Needed: \$5,000 by contract services Funding Sources: Director's fee monies Time Frame: Second year Responsibility: WASO Interpretation

> (2) IMPLEMENTATION (60): Use local outside professionals (curriculum specialists)

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #3--EDUCATION

## Responsibility: Park, region, WASO Public Affairs Office, Interpreters

- c. ACTION: Continue to support Junior Ranger programs in parks, with emphasis on urban parks.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (64): Utilize the Skills III, Special Programs, training sessions to develop urban Junior Ranger programs. Field test and share with urban parks.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$3,000 for printing per region (\$30,000) Funding Sources: Park and region operating bases, fee enhancement Time Frame: Second and third year Responsibility: Regions and parks

test balat bas based taled jear d. ACTION: Convene a task force to outline a strategy for developing and integrating education programs throughout the national park system.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (65): Using the assessment, develop general criteria for what a successful educational program should include. A listing and general definition should be made available to all parks. An evaluation criteria will be included.

Staffing: .1 FTE, CPSU Funding Needed: \$35,000 Funding Sources: Director's fee money Time Frame: Section Responsibility: WASO Time Frame: second year Interpretation

e. ACTION: Develop training seminars on instituting educational programs in natural, cultural, and recreational areas.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (66): Utilizing a Cooperative Park Study Unit (CPSU), develop a visitor profile of our parks, age, values, financial resources, match what parks can offer to these visitors.

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #3--EDUCATION

# Responsibility: Park, region, WASO Public Affairs Office, Interpreters

- parks.

c. ACTION: Continue to support Junior Ranger programs in parks, with emphasis on urban

 (1) IMPLEMENTATION (64): Utilize the Skills III, Special Programs, training sessions to develop urban Junior Ranger programs. Field test and share with urban parks.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$3,000 for printing per region (\$30,000) Funding Sources: Park and region operating bases, fee enhancement Time Frame: Second and third year Responsibility: Regions and parks

d. ACTION: Convene a task force to outline a strategy for developing and integrating education programs throughout the national park system.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (65): Using the assessment, develop general criteria for what a successful educational program should include. A listing and general definition should be made available to all parks. An evaluation criteria will be included.

Staffing: .1 FTE, CPSU Funding Needed: \$35,000 Funding Sources: Director's fee money Time Frame: Sec WASO Responsibility: WASO Time Frame: second year Interpretation

e. ACTION: Develop training seminars on instituting educational programs in natural, cultural, and recreational areas.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (66): Utilizing a Cooperative Park Study Unit (CPSU), develop a visitor profile of our parks, age, values, financial resources, match what parks can offer to these visitors.

# III. INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #3--EDUCATION

"Interpretation is partially defined as an educational activity. The <u>Interpretive Challenge</u> gives us the opportunity to align ourselves with educational objectives, both in the formal classroom setting as well as in the park. We need to take advantage of research and learning strategies developed in successful park programs and the academic community. We need to promote more National Park Service educational programs that will truly be effective in schools and communities. Finally, we need to make available the tools and resources interpreters must have to present critical environmental issues, integrate our programs with urban communities, and implement successful community outreach programs."

#### A. SUBCHALLENGE: PROMOTING ENVIRONMENTAL/HERITAGE EDUCATION

"Educational programs based on national park resources and themes can help people understand and appreciate their dependence on and interrelationship with their environment and history. Such education is a logical activity in the unique classrooms found in national park settings. Interpretation, including seminar/field study programs and other in-depth educational opportunities in both history and natural history, provides the medium with which education and learning can bridge gaps among people, parks, and their respective futures."

- 1. GOAL: To strengthen the long-term importance of education to the NPS mission.
  - a. ACTION: Examine the successful NPS education programs and publish an analysis of the reasons for success.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (59): Provide a Servicewide assessment of NPS educational programs.

Staffing: .1 FTE Funding Needed: \$5,000 by contract services Funding Sources: Director's fee monies Time Frame: Second year Responsibility: WASO Interpretation

> (2) IMPLEMENTATION (60): Use local outside professionals (curriculum specialists)

skills courses and critical resource interpretation.

Staffing: Existing Regional Skills Teams

Funding Needed: \$5,000 per region Funding Sources: Director's fee money

Time Frame: Second and third year Responsibility: WASO

(5) IMPLEMENTATION (70): As Servicewide initiatives are developed, provide funding support for implementation.

Staffing: .5 FTE Funding Needed: \$150,000 per initiative Funding Sources: Director's fee money Time Frame: Annually Responsibility: WASO

2. GOAL: To increase coordination of education programs within local school systems.

- a. ACTION: Encourage park-to-community coordinators, foster teacher workshops, and assist with appropriate program development.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (71): To have a successful school program, the school superintendent must be a full partner. Teams of local teachers and curriculum specialists should be formed to develop the workshops for quality park/community educational programs.

Staffing: Existing Park Staff Funding Needed: \$2,000 for three teachers to put on workshop, including materials for project Funding Sources: Park fee enhancement Time Frame: Second and third year Responsibility: Park and region

shiwading domailant for farvicewide

THE REPORT OF A REAL PROPERTY OF A

c. ACTION: Initiate a quality promotion program throughout the parks to define, encourage, and pass on the best ideas.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (56): Undertake an experimental project/team to travel to parks to observe, inquire and listen to park staffs and visitors in making a quality assessment of improving the effectiveness, efficiency, and reliability of interpretation. Through these contacts, the team would organize a fund of knowledge about what is working and what is not, and how it might be improved in quality. The team would share information and positively reinforce accomplishments of the park staff. It is anticipated that the knowledge gained would directly benefit the planning and design of interpretive programs.

Rationale- By involving outside talents working with park staffs and visitors, the promotion of quality can best be met.

Staffing: Via cooperative agreements/contracts Funding Needed: \$50,000 (\$30,000 personnel costs; \$20,000 travel and per diem) Funding Sources: Director's Discretionary Fund Time Frames: 2nd and 3rd years Responsibility: WASO, working with HFC, and Regional Offices, will identify the make-up of the team, and the initial route of travel, over a possible 3-month period. The selected Region(s) will be responsible for project coordination with the parks.

- d. ACTION: Analyze the performance characteristics of various media.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (57): Initiate surveys and studies to determine strengths and weaknesses of various interpretive media

Staffing: .3 FTE
Funding Needed: \$90,000
Funding Sources: Director's Fee
 Funds
Time Frame: Second year
Responsibility: WASO

2. GOAL: To introduce urban visitors to the national park system and the national park idea.

- a. ACTION: Produce a quality audiovisual program and systemwide publication to describe the national park system to visitors.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (74): Contract with outside production company through HFC on updated audiovisual production.

Staffing: 1 FTE HFC Funding Needed: \$140,000 Funding Sources: HFC operating base Time Frame: Third year Responsibility: HFC, WASO

- GOAL: To complete the Park Heritage Gateway pilot effort and determine if expansion of this program is appropriate.
- a. ACTION: Participate in special training and information dissemination programs as proposed at the Urban Superintendents Conference.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (75): Establish a twotiered approach to this action item: (1) use a special issue of the <u>Courier</u> for highlighting creative programs from urban parks and discuss unique urban issues. Additional items relative to urban parks could be communicated through the Technical Bulletin; (2) utilize the regional skills teams and Regional Office staff to present workshops on issues relevant to their urban parks.

Staffing: Existing skills teams Funding Needed: \$3,000 per year

3.

Staffing: Existing

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (53): In addition to participating in an appropriate capacity in actual operations evaluation, the regional interpretive staff would monitor the results of such evaluations regionwide to identify any consistent deficiencies in interpretive services that might require actions on an expanded scale (e.g. additional training, modifications in media hardware, etc.) A long-term objective would be to develop a method of computerizing these evaluations for general analysis and reference.

> Funding Needed: Existing Funding Sources: Regional ONPS Base Time Frames: 1st year Responsibility: Regional Offices

- 2. GOAL: To develop a system that provides periodic feedback about the quality of personal and nonpersonal interpretive services and other interpretation.
- a. ACTION: Collaborate with professional interpreters inside and outside of the National Park Service to determine parameters and techniques for evaluation.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (54): Develop and utilize a survey form to obtain input from sources like NIA, CPSU's, park interpreters, etc., regarding what should be evaluated and how it should be completed.

Combine and prioritize responses and come up with a workable list that can be utilized to determine parameters (what should be evaluated) and develop standards (how should it be done) for an evaluation system.

From this list, determine final parameters and techniques that will be used.

"Programs that address special populations are also an important extension of interpretive outreach efforts."

- GOAL: To involve interpretive operations in all outreach programs.
- a. ACTION: Use existing training programs to convey the importance of outreach activities in interpretive efforts and solicit selected parks to test and strengthen or establish outreach programs.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (77): Insure outreach topics are included in appropriate skills team training efforts.

Staffing: Existing skills teams Funding Needed: \$15,000 Funding Sources: Interpretive Skills seed money Time Frame: First, second, and third year Responsibility: Mather Employee Development Center and regional skills teams

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (78): Support current NPS efforts with travel/tourism through WASO Travel and Tourism Office.

Staffing: CPSU Funding Needed: \$30,000 Funding Sources: WASO Time Frame: First, second, and third year Responsibility: WASO

b. ACTION: Expand cooperative efforts with the travel/tourism industry to promote year-round travel and travel to cultural and lesser known parks.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (79): Include NPS Travel/Tourism Representative in the first work session for the Regional Chiefs of Interpretation to discuss national goals.

Staffing: Existing Travel/Tourism personnel Funding Needed: \$1000

Funding Needed: Existing Funding Sources: WASO Base Time Frames: 2nd year Responsibility: Lead should be in WASO. Regional Chiefs to collect information in their respective regional areas. WASO/Region team to produce final standards.

c. ACTION: Incorporate well-articulated standards for interpretive services in the existing "Operations Evaluation Manual," "Annual Statements for Interpretation," and the Maintenance Management System.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (51): Work with WASO coordinator for revisions to the Operations Evaluation Manual to assure that interpretive offices in WASO, regions, and the field have input into the newest standards for interpretation and visitor services. These standards would be developed by interpretive staffs from the 3 levels and submitted to the WASO coordinator.

Likewise, the WASO coordinator for the Maintenance Management System would be approached to work out ways for interpretive standards related to upkeep/repair/rehab of interpretive facilities and media to be included in the Maintenance Management System.

The standards developed for interpretation and visitor services (Operations Evaluation, Maintenance Management System) would also be addressed as appropriate in developing the parks' Statement for Interpretation each year.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: Existing Funding Sources: WASO Base Time Frames: 1st year Responsibility: WASO would coordinate the work to be done to provide interpretive standards for Operations Evaluation and the Maintenance

- d. ACTION: Cooperate with the private sector to provide a traveling exhibit of significant artifacts representing the diversity of resources within the system and conveying the importance of the NPS mission.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (83): Support current task directive for the national traveling exhibit production.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$15,000 Funding Sources: WASO Base ONPS Time Frame: 1st year Responsibility: WASO, HFC

- e. ACTION: Incorporate Friends groups sessions in interpretive training courses.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (84): The advantages of friends groups must be adequately represented and discussed in courses for managers and field interpreters including developing strategies for establishing, supporting, and working cooperatively with Friends groups.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: Continued support of training efforts Funding Sources: Existing Time Frame: First, second, and third year Responsibility: WASO, Mather Employee Development Center, regions

- 2. GOAL: To ensure that all people have an equal opportunity to enjoy park resources and participate in their presentation.
  - a. ACTION: Foster NPS cultural resource media and programs that are factual, balanced ethnographic presentations.
    - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (85): Continue to make programs and facilities accessible to all populations. Insure that research is performed for accurate presentation which reflect the appropriate ethnographic diversity of the park area.

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #3--EDUCATION

) INFLATION (65): Encourage mare park-issed suployees to be placed on special study and evaluation terms.

Funding Headed: None Funding Sources: Less CHFE Time Fremes: let and anguing Responsibility: Neglocal Directors, Park Managers

details in regions and Washington to improve and develop sunspenses potential.

(1) INPLORENTATION (+5): Encourage Regional Divisions of Intempretation to establish interpretive management traines positions in regional effices, Division of Interpretation.

Atailing: One FTN per region (or an anchange of FTE or acquing inpend FTN) Funding Meeted: 610,000 per position by the nature of the assignment. Examples vorthy of assignment. Examples vorthy of consideration-Albright Fend, fine Frener Ind year and engoing futeroretation. Parks

i) INTEREMENTATION (47): Nring in field amployees to the regional office for up to four sonths as "Acting" to fill regional vecancian.

Thaffing Entering Funding Rested: 11000/yr/Stail Funding Sources: Englose: Inse 0375 Une Fromes: Orgoing, as Opportunities arise Rest Hansoers

#### IV. INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #4--PROGRAM INTEGRATION

"Program integration and servicewide thrusts are opportunities that must be carefully planned, energized with adequate resources, and backed with appropriate delegation of authority. Coordinated interdisciplinary programs will result."

#### A. SUBCHALLENGE: MAXIMIZING PROGRAM COORDINATION

"Interpreters are often called upon to champion special initiatives. These special initiatives must be integrated with basic, necessary interpretive operations. Coordination at the Washington Office level, with appropriate funding and staffing throughout, is needed. Several very important initiatives are already established or about to begin and need immediate thoughtful attention. Coordination among scientists, resource managers, visitor service rangers, maintenance specialists, designers, planners, and interpreters needs to be enhanced throughout the National Park Service."

- GOAL: To ensure better coordination and cooperation among all professionals involved in park operations and research.
- a. ACTION: Support an interdisciplinary approach in all regions and parks for program integration.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (86): Both regional offices and park interpretive staffs should take the initiative to include appropriate division representatives in training, contracting, committee assignments, etc.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing Time Frames: Ongoing Responsibility: Superintendents, Regional Directors

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (87): Regional Directors and Park Superintendents should assure that interpretation is represented during workload analyses and task directives.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None

Responsibility: WASO with regional follow-up and coordination

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (49): The Director, regional directors and superintendents all have an element in their performance standards to assure parks are providing at least a minimum interpretive operation, an analysis of needs, and a plan to meet those needs.

<u>Rationale</u>- Accountability and documented responsibility is a way of assuring that the goal is addressed.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Base ONPS Time Frames: 2nd year Responsibility: WASO to develop item for Director's performance standards; regions to see that similar items are included in regional directors' and superintendents' standards.

b. ACTION: Identify the criteria that contribute to high-quality interpretive services.

 (1) IMPLEMENTATION (50): Conduct review of existing literature and other materials and compile list of suggested criteria.

Meet with, or conduct survey of, professional interpreters, both inside and outside of NPS, to gain suggestions on critical criteria.

Prepare final list of criteria that will then be base for developing standards that can be utilized in IMPLEMENTATION 51.

Rationale- Standards for use in measuring performance and/or quality of interpretive services must be based upon solid criteria as defined by professional interpreters and scholars.

Staffing: Existing; project would require approximately 2 workweeks

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing Time Frames: Ongoing, beginning for Interpretation. While will implement 2nd year Responsibility: WASO Interpretation

(3) IMPLEMENTATION (91): Implement the recommendations of the Wolf Education Task Force.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$100,000 in first Bunding Meededs Hone year; \$50,000 in second year. Funding Sources: Sources: private sector, National Park Foundation, and Director's Office Time Frames: Assemble and

distribute a curriculum packet and an art exhibit in first year; produce audiovisuals, posters, and other media in second year

Responsibility: Regional Directors, WASO, HFC

(4) IMPLEMENTATION (92): Implement the recommendations of the Biological Diversity Interpretive Initiative Plan.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$50,000 in first year; \$50,000 in second year year; \$50,000 in second year; \$25,000 per year thereafter. Funding Sources: WASO project funding; WASO, Division of Interpretation; WASO, Natural Resources base funding; cooperating associations; and NPS Servicewide Fund Time Frames: Ongoing Responsibility: WASO, Division of Interpretation and Division of

Natural Resources, and Biodiversity Task Group

d. ACTION: Establish a priority system that integrates special interpretive initiatives (such as air quality, genetic diversity, and Columbus Quincentennial) with existing

Harpers Ferry Center (HFC) program priorities.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (93): Assistant Director for Interpretation, WASO, will implement priorities with specific program suggestions and funding. Field is responsible for adoption. HFC is responsible for developing appropriate media. Regional offices are responsible for funding distribution and monitoring implementation.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing WASO Base Time Frames: Ongoing, beginning 2nd year Responsibility: WASO

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (94): Establish a interdisciplinary strategic planning team that will create a proactive plan to formulate and fund field-initiated Servicewide programs.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$40,000 per year beginning in 2nd year (\$10,000/yr) Funding Sources: WASO base funding Time Frames: Implement in 2nd year; ongoing Responsibility: WASO

(3) IMPLEMENTATION (95): Allocate funds to each regional office to seed or support park-specific projects generated by special initiatives and to take advantage of interpretive opportunities as they arise. Regional Directors will have discretionary authority to distribute funds.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$50,000 (\$5,000/Region) Funding Sources: To be funded from an increase in the regional base

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #4--PROGRAM INTEGRATION

Time Frames: Ongoing, beginning 2nd year Responsibility: Regional Directors

e. ACTION: Sponsor Servicewide interregional, interdisciplinary workshops to achieve better communications among scientists, visitor service rangers, resource managers, interpreters, planners, and designers.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (96): WASO Division of Interpretation will establish themes; delegate coordination to Regional Chief(s).

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$70,000 per year (\$35,000 each)

- (\$35,000 each) Funding Sources: Funding from benefitting accounts, cooperating associations, and WASO Divisions of Interpretation and Natural or Cultural Resources.
- Time Frames: Conduct 2 per year (1 natural, 1 cultural) beginning 2nd year Responsibility: Regions

2. GOAL: To maximize the opportunities for interdisciplinary planning and design teams.

a. ACTION: Integrate HFC and Denver Service Center (DSC) work programs to ensure that park planning and development projects meet park management objectives and enhance interpretation.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (97): Continue HFC/DSC meetings and regularly scheduled regional reviews.

> Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Benefitting accounts

Time Frames: Minimally once a year beginning in the first year Responsibility: Regional Offices, Harpers Ferry Center, Denver Service Center

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #4--PROGRAM INTEGRATION

b. ACTION: Include interpretive representation on planning and design teams as appropriate.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (98): Team captains should anticipate the need for interpretive representation (including field interpreters) on planning teams. Regional offices will review task directives and assure compliance.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Project accounts Time Frames: 1st year, ongoing Responsibility: HFC, DSC, Superintendents

- 3. GOAL: To raise sensitivity and awareness of the influence of the facilities, signs, and quality of maintenance on visitors' perceptions.
- a. ACTION: Conduct a study on visual quality and use the findings in training, planning, operations evaluations, and developing servicewide standards.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (99): Conduct the study through an existing CPSU agreement and present results at concessions conferences and other professional staff meetings.

Staffing: CPSU Funding Needed: \$25,000 Funding Sources: WASO Planning and Development, and WASO, Operations Time Frames: Implement study in first year; complete study in second year; implement findings in third year Responsibility: WASO, DSC, HFC

# B. SUBCHALLENGE: Enhancing Partnerships in Interpretive Services

"NPS areas have successfully provided field seminar programs, which offer courses of several days and deal with numerous subject areas. They are often sponsored by nonprofit institutes

or by cooperating associations and usually require a fee from participants. Currently, almost all deal with natural areas.

"Similarly, many NPS concessioners provide recreational and other services to park visitors that the National Park Service itself cannot offer. Opportunities exist in these activities to interpret to park visitors."

- 1. GOAL: To foster interagency cooperation in developing interpretive programs and facilities.
- a. ACTION: Institute formal contact with appropriate agencies to promote joint interpretive publications, facilities, and programs.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (100): Schedule meetings on regional and park levels. Institute agreements as appropriate.

Staffing: Unknown Funding Needed: Variable and indeterminate Funding Sources: Fee Enhancement Time Frames: Ongoing beginning in first year Responsibility: Parks, Regions

- b. ACTION: Conduct a national workshop of NASA scientists and NPS interpreters, scientists, and resource managers to explore opportunities for joint research and interpretive projects and for sharing technology.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (101): The existing steering committee will organize and coordinate the workshops with assistance from WASO Division of Interpretation and Natural Resources.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$50,000 Funding Sources: Director's Fee Fund or benefitting accounts if necessary Time Frames: 2nd year Responsibility: Steering Committee

c. ACTION: Expand interagency training programs and establish personnel exchange programs with other agencies.

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #4--PROGRAM INTEGRATION

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (102): Formulate agreements as appropriate.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing Time Frames: Ongoing beginning and indeterminate in first year Responsibility: WASO Interpretation and Employee Development

2. GOAL: To increase interpretive activities through cooperating association and concessioner programs throughout the National Park System.

- a. ACTION: Involve interpreters in advance planning and require that new concession contracts include appropriate interpretive services.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (103): Establish appropriate WASO, regional office, and field area liaisons with concessions management offices. Interpretation should be involved in reviews of concessions contracts. Alalianticate . anoiorgradal BHH

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing Time Frames: Ongoing 2nd year Responsibility: WASO, Regions

b. ACTION: Provide interpretive training to appropriate cooperating association and concessioner employees.

 (1) IMPLEMENTATION (104): Park Chief of Interpretation and concessions management staff (where appropriate) are responsible for implementation.

Staffing: 10.0 FTE (1.0/Region) Funding Needed: \$500,000 Funding Sources: Park Fee Enhancement Time Frames: Ongoing 2nd year Responsibility: Regions, Parks and the second stands

c. ACTION: Use visitor data collected by concessioners to improve interpretive programs and market national parks.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (105): Park Chief of Interpretation, in concert with concessions management staff, will analyze data and incorporate pertinent information into the ASFI and other relevant documents.

> Staffing: CPSU Funding Needed: \$20,000 Funding Sources: Concessions, Cooperating Associations Time Frames: Ongoing, 2nd year Responsibility: WASO

3. GOAL: To increase field seminar and similar study programs.

- a. ACTION: Establish new NPS field seminar programs, especially ones dealing with cultural resources.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (106): WASO, Division of Interpretation will conduct a Servicewide inventory of existing programs. A steering committee will analyze the results.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$5,000 Funding Sources: WASO Base ONPS Time Frames: Complete in the first

b. ACTION: Develop a nationwide strategy to promote the programs.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (107): Based on analysis of the results, the steering committee will develop a nationwide strategy to promote the programs and assist in curriculum design and accreditation.

Funding Needed: \$10,000 Funding Sources: WASO Base ONPS Time Frames: 2nd year

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #4--PROGRAM INTEGRATION

year Responsibility: WASO

Staffing: Existing

# Responsibility: WASO Interpretation

c. ACTION: Assist in curriculum design, administrative support, and accreditation of the programs.

No support needed beyond recommendations under IMPLEMENTATION 107.

# C. SUBCHALLENGE: PROMOTING LEADERSHIP AT NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL LEVELS

"There are many undiscovered opportunities for cooperative ventures in interpretation between the National Park Service and states, federal agencies, and countries. An alliance of these governmental entities would be helpful to promote interpretive efforts."

- GOAL: To identify and offer learning experiences, provide information, and promote a conservation/preservation ethic in this country and abroad.
  - a. ACTION: Take a leadership role in the exchange of information and ideas within the Park Service and with organizations external to the Park Service.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (108): Devise a clearinghouse system that taps into existing methodologies and communications networks such as NAI, NAPR, National Science Teachers Association, NPCA, and other groups.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$25,000 Funding Sources: WASO Base ONPS Time Frames: Ongoing, 2nd year Responsibility: WASO Division of Interpretation, IVSI

- b. ACTION: Seek a leadership role in planning and celebrating the 75th anniversary of the National Park Service.
  - (1) IMPLEMENTATION (109): Establish a steering committee to plan and

coordinate special programs to commemorate the 75th anniversary.

Staffing: Detail assignments Funding Needed: \$30,000 Funding Sources: Director's Fee Fund Time Frames: Implement planning in 2nd year; complete in 3rd and 4th years 4th years Responsibility: WASO Interpretation

c. ACTION: Identify visitor facility projects with other federal agencies that are suitable for joint media interpretation.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (110): Hold regularly scheduled meetings with other federal agencies to identify suitable projects; plan proper cooperation as needed.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing ONPS Time Frames: 3rd year, ongoing Responsibility: Assistant Director for Interpretation, DSC, HFC

2. GOAL: To participate in, sponsor, and co-sponsor national and international events and programs that involve or lead to protecting the world's natural and cultural heritage.

a. ACTION: Send an NPS delegation to the Second World Congress for Heritage Interpretation and Presentation.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (111): WASO Division of Interpretation, in collaboration with WASO, International Affairs, will select the delegation.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$12,000 Funding Sources: WASO base funding Time Frames: 2nd year Responsibility: WASO

b. ACTION: Work with other countries to identify issues, propose actions, and implement strategies that require

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #4--PROGRAM INTEGRATION

cooperation to interpret global natural and cultural resources.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (112): Review recommendations made by the international affairs task force to evaluate and implement interpretationrelated items.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$3,000 Funding Sources: WASO Interpretation or International Affairs Time Frames: 2nd year Responsibility: WASO Steering Committee

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (113): Work closely with the International Affairs Office to implement strategies through existing international organizations.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$10,000 in 2nd year, \$20,000 in 3rd year Funding Sources: Director's Fee Fund Time Frames: Study in first year, implement recommendations in second year Responsibility: WASO

(3) IMPLEMENTATION (114): Facilitate exchanges and international assignments to promote intercultural understanding.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: \$10,000/yr Funding Sources: Park Fee Enhancement Time Frames: Ongoing, 1st year Responsibility: Park Superintendents, WASO Division of Interpretation and International Affairs

(4) IMPLEMENTATION (115): Designate NPS representatives to participate in two conservation-exchange programs, one dealing with biological diversity and the other focusing on the celebration of

the Columbus quincentennial in the Americas. Coordinate with WASO International Affairs Office, Man in the Biosphere Coordinator, and WASO Division of Interpretation.

Staffing: Existing
Funding Needed: \$10,000 in 2nd
 year for Columbus
 quincentennial; \$10,000
 annually for biological
 diversity
Funding Sources: Director's Fee
 Fund; fee enhancement funds

Time Frames: Columbus Centennial to be accomplished by 1991; ongoing for biological diversity Responsibility: WASO, Parks

- c. ACTION: Sponsor with other appropriate agencies a North American workshop on interpretation.
  - IMPLEMENTATION (116): Appoint a steering committee comprising WASO Division of Interpretation and International Affairs, and other appropriate organizations.

Staffing: Details and CPSU Funding Needed: \$50,000 Funding Sources: Director's Cooperating Association Funds Time Frames: Complete by 1991 Responsibility: WASO, HFC the Columbus quincentential in the Americas. Continete with Wido International Affairs Office, Man in the Blognate Coordinator, and Wido Division of Interpretation.

Staffing: Erleting Funding Mandod: 810,000 in Ind year for Columbus quincestannial; 510,000 diversity Funding Sources: Cirector's Fes Funding Sources: Cirector's Fes fine France: Columbus Contennis Co be accompliabed by 1201; diversity diversity Mangungsibility: W100, Ferm

ACTION: Sponsor with schur appropriate agencies a North American workshop on Interpretation.

 Intelexitation (116): Appoint a stouring consittee comprising wito Division of Interpretation and Treasmotional Affairs, and other economylate organizations.

Staffing: Setalle and CMSU Runding Meaded: \$50,000 Funding Sources: Director's Cooperating Association Funds Fine France: Cospiete by 1991 Responsibility: WARD, 200

#### V. INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #5--MEDIA

"The Park Service has traditionally relied on interpretive media, films, exhibits, publications, and museums to complement the personal services activities of interpretation. The commitment to interpretation has resulted in a multimillion dollar capital investment. The maintenance and rehabilitation of these facilities is absolutely essential to meeting the public's right to quality. In fact, now that the park visitor is paying an entrance fee, it becomes doubly necessary that interpretive media be maintained at the highest standard. It also means that new media must be designed for longer life and heavier use and that publications must be up-to-date. People responsible for design and maintenance of media need to receive training specific to new media technology.

"Today, many park visitors encounter deteriorated facilities and outdated interpretive media. NPS records of interpretive media projects suggest that it will take more than \$60 million to reach quality standards. Entrance fee legislation is designed to provide the necessary funding. The Park Service needs a plan that will ensure the wise expenditure of these funds.

"Changes in the ethnic makeup of the population and recent regulations dealing with accessibility for the impaired have highlighted the need to upgrade media and facilities and create new media formats."

- GOAL: To staff and operate the HFC as necessary to meet the increased demand for media planning, design, and production.
  - a. ACTION: Rehabilitate or replace unsafe and inadequate HFC preservation training and conservation facilities.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (117): Congressional add-on in FY 1988 made funds available to acquire the Shipley School building presently owned by the Jefferson County, WV, School Board and leased through the General Services Administration to the Harpers Ferry Center. The Shipley School is an inadequate building for conservation laboratories and housing irreplaceable NPS artifacts. Congressional add-on in FY 1988 made funds available to plan for replacement buildings to house the conservation labs and other activities and also to move the Williamsport Preservation Training Center to Harpers Ferry.

Planning and design is currently underway for the new facilities in anticipation that funds for construction will become available through the line item construction program. subdit in moltatlilidaday

Staff time: 1.0 FTE Funding required: \$5 to \$8 million Funding source: Line item construction Time Frame: 1st. year planning 2nd-4th year constr Responsibility: HFC, DSC 2nd-4th year construction

ACTION: Establish a post-installation review of HFC projects to determine effectiveness of media.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (118): To be most effective, post-installation evaluation should be conducted within a one year period after installation, preferably after one season of visitor use. The purpose of the reviews should be to strengthen the planning, design and production process, avoid repetitive mistakes and replicate good ideas in media. Any reviews of projects executed in conjunction with the Denver Service Center should involve DSC staff. Others conducting the reviews should be HFC design and production staff, regional interpretive staff and park staff. A report on each review will be prepared and distributed to the Superintendent, project planner, designer, project manner, project file, and to the appropriate staff in Denver. Copies must also be distributed to the park and region. stal Services Administration to the

Staffing: 1.0 Funding required: \$2,500 per project for HFC; Funding for the post construction evaluations should be a part of the project costs Funding sources: Line item construction, ONPS

b.

Time frame: Within 1st year after designed to provide basic information. project Responsibilities: HFC, DSC

- c. ACTION: Provide HFC with adequate computer capability for planning, design, word-processing, and data-base management.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (119): The HFC five-year plan for automatic data processing contains components for ADP equipment for administration, planning, computer aided design and contracting and production management. Equipment to be acquired includes portable laptop computers, desk top machines and equipment suitable for developing interactive systems for visitor use.

Staffing: 1.0 FTE Funding required: \$175,000 per year for three years Source of funding: HFC-ONPS Time Frame: 2nd, 3rd, 4th years Responsibility: HFC

- d. ACTION: Increase the number of contractors available for planning, design, and exhibit production and rehabilitation.
- (1) IMPLEMENTATION (120): Assign staff and funds to seek out and investigate the capabilities of contractors who are or have the capability to do design and production acceptable to the quality expected by the Harpers Ferry Center. Assign additional administrative staff to work with the increased contract load.

Staffing: 1 professional 1 clerical 1 contracting specialist Funding needed: \$105,000 Funding source: HFC-ONPS Time Frame: 1st, 2nd years Time Frame: 1st, 2 Responsibility: HFC

e. ACTION: Increase the capability of HFC to produce new publications and print greater quantities of publications.

athen will require

one total institutes

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (121): Park folders are designed to provide basic information, maps and special messages necessary for park visitors. The National Park Service objective is to provide one folder for each 10 visitors. Increasing the number of new folders provided each year by 10% per year for three years will require additional staff to plan, design, research and provide administrative support for contracting.

The National Park Service objective is to provide a handbook for each major unit of the system which will be available through sales outlets. Increasing the number of handbooks produced each year to twenty will create a program that will require approximately ten years to complete. Additional staff will be necessary to develop the handbooks and provide administrative support.

The publications program will require new automatic data processing equipment for word processing and publications design.

Increasing the number of folders printed each year to meet the numbers requested by the parks will require approximately \$750,000 to \$1,000,000 added to the printing budget. Total staff required to increase the publication program to meet a schedule of increasing the folders and handbooks will be approximately 6 FTE's.

Staffing increase: 6 FTE's Funding required: Personnel cost - \$300,000 Handbook development - \$50,000 each = \$500,000Handbook printing - \$30,000 each = \$300,000Additional folders - \$750,000 to \$1,000,000 Sources of funding: ONPS base, HFC and parks, Enhancement (fees) Donations

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #5--MEDIA

Additional space required to house staff increases = 1,500 square feet Time Frame: 2nd, 3rd years Responsibility: HFC and WASO

f. ACTION: Increase HFC capabilities for conservation of exhibit artifacts.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (122): The National Park Service is the custodian of approximately 20 million artifacts of which 500,000 are suitable for or are on exhibit in one of over 400 visitor centers and over 200 structures that have been furnished to some historic period. Currently the HFC has a conservation staff of 14 preparing artifacts at the rate of 800 per year for new or rehabilitated exhibits as well as conserving other critical artifacts. The HFC has programmed for the construction of a new building to house conservation and other staff. It is impossible to provide enough NPS staff or space to accomplish all of the artifact conservation work required in the NPS. Fortunately there are many private conservators with which the NPS can contract. HFC needs to dedicate positions to recruit new conservators, at least one for each of the eight disciplines to keep a viable staff continuity and replace with trained conservators those which are rapidly becoming eligible for retirement. Concurrently HFC needs to develop a contracting capability for private conservators to do work for the center and for parks on an as needed basis. Contracting should be accomplished using a staff position that is not necessarily a conservator.

The registrar for the center receives and notes condition for each of over 4000 objects that pass through the center each year. The registry also keeps in perpetuity the complete treatment files for each object. One additional FTE is needed to assist the registrar on a permanent basis. Staffing: 10 FTE's (8 Conservators 1 Contract specialist 1 assistant to the registrar) Funding required: Staff cost -\$450,000 Contracts - \$250,000 Funding sources: Line item construction ONPS major rehabilitation Cyclic Maintenance Time Frame: 4th year, ongoing Responsibilities: HFC, WASO

g. ACTION: Complete the <u>National Park Service</u> <u>Atlas</u>, for publication in 1991, as an anniversary project.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (123): The National Park System Atlas will be a major publication containing maps of the system and maps and descriptive information about individual parks. Historic themes will be geographically presented including general maps of campaigns for the revolutionary and civil wars and maps of westward expansion and scenic and historic trails. The atlas will be a major sales item complementary to the park handbooks. Much of the information for developing the atlas exists from the work done to develop folders and handbooks. To complete the atlas by 1991 will require major effort. The project will require developing the remainder of the maps for the system, developing editorial copy, developing art, securing photographs and developing layouts. This will require four full time staff for a period of three years and \$1,000,000.

Staffing: 4 FTE's Funding required: \$990,000 Funding sources: WASO ONPS, Donations Time frame: 2nd, 3rd, 4th years Responsibility: WASO, HFC h. ACTION: Study and prepare a development program to upgrade park entrance facilities and major interpretive structures in parks.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (124): There is a tadamentacioni (11 atal bad significant capital investment in entrance stations, overlooks and visitor centers at prime visitor contact sites. Many of these physical facilities are in need of maintenance and/or rehabilitation. However, park visitors may have added expectations and may be experiencing parks and their interpretive programs and facilities in different ways than in the past. It is necessary to evaluate the roles and functions of existing park entrance facilities and major interpretive facilities at these prime locations. The results of this evaluation will be used to help parks confirm or dismiss the continued need for the facilities or for rehabilitation of new media development projects. Resulting decisions will influence the make up of the servicewide media backlog program or servicewide development program.

An evaluation team of NPS and other agency interpreters, social scientists and university professionals will examine the relationships of prime contact sites and the current known visitor expectations and preferences against the media facilities in five test parks. The results of this work will be presented and published for use by program managers and interpretive planners.

Staffing: CPSU Funding required: \$75,000 Funding sources: Line item advanced planning ONPS Time frame: 2nd, 3rd years Responsibility: HFC, DSC, WASO

i. ACTION: Establish a servicewide system, monitored by HFC, that promotes understanding of and accessibility to all interpretive media.

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #5--MEDIA

(1) trive structures in paris.

IMPLEMENTATION (125): Recent regulations issued by the Department of the Interior have made it mandatory that handicapped accessibility be incorporated into all Departmental activities. Media must now be developed considering the accessibility needs of the handicapped. The Harpers Ferry Center has established under the regulations, a consumer group consisting of advisors some of whom are handicapped to begin to look into all aspects of media and recommend changes and new techniques to deal with mobility, hearing and visual impairments. Current activities include methods for open and closed captioning of films, videos and slide shows, audio descriptions for visually impaired, design of printed materials for visually impaired and removing all impediments to mobility. HFC has established an inhouse task force to work with the committee and develop preliminary quidelines to send to the field. Future actions will be to test and revise the guidelines, continue to develop new techniques to provide accessible media and field test the ideas. This will be a continuing activity. HFC will serve as a central clearing house for all ideas developed by HFC, Regions and Parks. HFC will provide to the field information from the clearing house.

Staffing: Existing HFC staff Funding Required: None Funding Sources: Line item construction Major rehabilitation Cyclic maintenance Donations Time frame: Ongoing Responsibilities: WASO, HFC

j. ACTION: Establish in all regions, cyclic maintenance programs for interpretive facilities and media.

. 20 Jeve Thouse the new york of the

(1) INTERPRETATION (126): As interpretive media reaches the end of its useful life span, it may be scheduled for major rehabilitation. Concurrent with the media rehab, modifications to the structure housing the media may be needed. This might include new floor covering, changing walls, changing heating and cooling systems, changing electrical systems and other modifications. Where this is necessary, cyclic maintenance funds should be used and programed along with the major rehabilitation.

Staffing: Existing regional interpretive staff Funding required: \$150,000/Region Funding source: Cyclic maintenance base Time frame: 3rd year, ongoing Responsibility: Regions, Parks

k. ACTION: Study the advisability of establishing and operating a western field office for media planning and design.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (127): Develop a task directive and scope out a study methodology. Conduct the study and present the results to the WASO Directorate. Support to task force will be funding for travel, per diem, and clerical support.

> Staffing: Existing Funding required: \$12,000 Funding sources: WASO base interpretation ONPS Time frame: 2nd year; complete project Responsibility: WASO, HFC

2. GOAL: To achieve quality interpretive facilities and media in all parks.

a. ACTION: Publish a servicewide assessment of NPS interpretive facilities and media and integrate it into the Maintenance Management System.

100 parks will require 1 day each

park/project.

2 weeks clerical support Update the assessment using the

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (128): Using the existing rehabilitation backlog inventory as the basis, validate, complete and publish a servicewide inventory of interpretive media. Evaluate the items on the listing and recommend a five-year rehab program.

240 parks will require 1 hour each Total - 120 days (3 months)

3 months analyst time 1 month clerical support

Develop cost estimates for each

75 parks will require 1 day each 100 parks will require 1 hour each

2 months professional staff time 1 month clerical support

Develop the criteria and methodology to gather additional information through the NPS Maintenance Management system.

1 month professional staff time

maintenance management information on an annual basis. Assign one full time employee for one year to manage and implement the above.

Staffing: Existing Funding: \$10,000 per year to update Time frame: 1st year with annual updates Source of funding: HFC-ONPS Responsibility: HFC .

b. ACTION: Design and produce packaged training materials for park personnel to improve preventive maintenance/operations of interpretive media.

> (1) IMPLEMENTATION (129): For all new and major rehabilitation media projects,

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #5--MEDIA

contractors.

Subject Initiative

packaged training materials including maintenance manuals will be completed with funds included as part of the project. These training materials will provide all information necessary for the park staff to maintain all aspects of a project including artifacts where they are part of an exhibit. The manuals will address A/V, Historic Furnishings, Waysides and other media. Basic input for the packaged training materials will be developed by HFC for use by

Staffing: Existing (time per major project: 1 week professional, 1 week clerical) Funding Needed: \$1,500 - \$2,500 per project Sources of funding: line item construction major rehabilitation funds cyclic maintenance Responsibility: HFC

c. ACTION: Plan, schedule, and fund media rehabilitation programs in packages that complete the entire needs of a park.

(1) IMPLEMENTATION (130): Outline a system for packaging complete media needs for a park and test with pilot parks.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Sources: Existing, ONPS Time Frames: 3rd year, ongoing Responsibility: HFC Manager

- 3. GOAL: To re-define the role of HFC.
  - ACTION: Establish a management advisory a. group of park and regional officials to provide feedback to HFC regarding how well park interpretive needs are being met.
    - IMPLEMENTATION (131): Convene a task (1) force of park, regional, WASO and HFC officials to assess general park interpretive professional media services, whether or not the needs are being met and how they should be met.

INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE #5--MEDIA

Conduct surveys of parks based upon the assessment the task force should examine the HFC role in providing service to help meet the parks interpretive media needs. HFC cannot accomplish all park interpretive media needs with foreseeable staffing and space. Clear definitions of HFC roles will allow HFC to concentrate upon its strengths and will cause an examination of methods through which some interpretive media needs can be met while still maintaining NPS media quality standards through non-NPS sources.

> Staffing: Available HFC, WASO, Regional and Park staff Funding required: None Funding Sources: Existing Time frame: 1st year, ongoing Responsibility: WASO, HFC

(2) IMPLEMENTATION (132): Reexamine the role of the Interpretive Prospectus in prescribing media and personal services.

Staffing: Existing Funding Needed: None Funding Source: Existing Time Frame: Second year Responsibility: Manager, HFC

> (3) IMPLEMENTATION (133): Perform major rehabilitation of the backlog of HFC media facilities.

Staffing: 15.0 FTE Funding Needed: \$68 million Funding Sources: Budget Initiative Time Frames: 3rd year, ongoing Responsibility: HFC, Regional Chiefs of Interpretation

THE INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE-IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

APPENDIX

. .

. .

. -

÷

### INPLEMENTATION/ACTION PLAN FOR INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE

THE FOLLOWING ARE ACTIONS THAT CAN BE TAKEN IN THE FIRST YEAR (1988) BITHOUT ADDITIONAL FTE'S OR DOLLARS (PACEAGE \$1)

	************									
	INFLEXEN-									
GOAL	TATION	INPLEMENTATION/ACTION TITLE	OFFICE WITH PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY	PRIMARY FUNDING SOURCE						
	1									
- Andrews										

### CHALLENCE HUNDER: 1

	1	Review Management Positions (Develop Procedures)	Park Divisions of Interpretation.
1		Servicewide Core T/E Requirements.	WASO Division of Interpretation.
1	3	Review Vacancy Applications (Interpreters)	Regional Divisions of Interpretation
1	4	Classification of Field Positions (Ops Evaluation)	Park Divisions of Interpretation.
2	14	Maintain Award Procedures	Assistant Director, Interpretation.
4	16	Plan and Monitor Fee Expenditures	Regional Divisions of Interpretation
	17	Program Fee Expenditures for Media Rehab.	Park Superintendents.
1	27	Training - Desktop Publishing.	Nather Employee Development Center.
	29	Post Vecancy Announcements	Park Divisions of Interpretation.
	30	Extend Announcement Period.	Regional Personnel Offices.
1	31	Use Electronic Hail for Announcements.	WASO Personnel Division.
9	35	Publicize Professional Meetings/Training.	Regional Divisions of Interpretation
9	36	Encourage Participation in Professional Societies.	Regional Divisions of Interpretation
9	37	Increase Contacts with Local Institutions.	Regional Divisions of Interpretation
	38	Allow Greater Attendance at Neetings.	Assistant Director, Interpretation.
11	44	Use Details for Vacant Park Positions.	Park Superintendents.
11	45	Involve Fark Interpreters in Task Forces.	Regional Directors.

### CRALLENGE BUNBER: 2

### 12 41 Utilize Existing Policies and Guidelines. 12 51 Improve Operations Evaluation Hannal. 12 52 Improve Operations Evaluation Process/Analysis. 12 53 Follow-up on Operations Evaluation.

## CHALLENCE NUNBER: 3

14	63	Publication of Environmental Education Programs.
19	82	Publish Professional Articles.
19	84	Develop Strategies to Nork with Friends Groups.

### CHALLENCE MUNBER: 4

21	86	Involve Interpreters in Consittee Work.
21	87	Include Interpretation in Project Workload analysis & T.D.'s
21		Include Interpreters in Resource Management Planning.
21	90	Training - Use of Mass Hedia.
21	91	Implement Wolf Education Program.
21	93	Astablish Priority System for Interpretive Initiatives.
22	97	Continue BFC/DSC Regional Reviews.

erpretation. etation. pretation. erpretation. at Center. station. erpretation. erpretation. erpretation. pretation.

Regional Divisions of Interpretation. Waso Division of Interpretation. Regional Divisions of Interpretation. Regional Directors.

Park Superintendents. Field Interpreters. MASO Division of Interpretation.

Director. Park Superintendents. Park Superintendents. Nather Employee Development Center. Regional Directors. Assistant Director, Interpretation. Barpers Ferry Center.

Park OMPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. **BASO OHPS** - Interpretation Regional OHPS - Interpretation Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. WASO Base, Asst. Director, Interpretation. **REGIONAL Base Increase**, Division of Interpretation Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Mather Employee Development Center. Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Regional ONPS - Interpretation MASO Personnel Division. Regional OHPS - Interpretation Regional ONPS - Interpretation Regional OHPS - Interpretation Regional ONPS - Interpretation Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Park OMPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts.

Regional OHPS - Interpretation Operations Evaluation Accounts, WASO Park OBPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Benefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks).

Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. **MASO OHPS - Interpretation** 

Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Park OMPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Hos-HPS Tuition Accounts. Director's Cooperating Association Fund. WASO Base, Asst. Director, Interpretation. HFC Hajor Rehab Line Item Base.

22

24 100

24 102

25 103

28 115

29

30 128

38 131

98

CHALLENGE HUNDER: 5 125

Include Interpreters on Planning and Design teams.

Study Joint Agency Publications/Programs Options.

Do Personnel Exchanges and Interagency Training.

Participate in Conservation-exchange Programs.

Develop New Techniques for Accessibility.

Publish Inventory of Media Rehab. Needs.

Contractor of the second of the second

Establish Management Advisory Group to HFC.

Require New Concession Contracts to Include Interpretation.

Barpers Ferry Center.

Park Superintendents.

Park Superintendents.

Barpers Ferry Center.

Barpers Ferry Center.

Harpers Ferry Center.

WASO Division of Employee Development.

Associate Director, Operations.

**HFC** Line-Iten Construction. Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts. Concessions. **Birector's Fee Enhancement Fund.** 

HFC Najor Rehab Line Item Base. BFC Base Increase (OMPS). NFC Base Increase (ONPS).

# INPLEMENTATION/ACTION PLAN FOR INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE

THE POLLOWING ARE ACTIONS THAT CAN BE TAKEN IN THE SECOND YEAR (1989) WITHOUT ADDITIONAL PTE'S OR BOLLARS (PACEAGE 02)

	****								
	INPLEMEN-								
GOAL	TATION	INPLEMENTATION/ACTION TITLE	OFFICE WITH PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY	PRIMARY FUNDING SOURCE					

# CHALLENGE BUMBER: 1

1	25	Training - Use of Computers in Field.	WASO Division of Employee Development.	Hon-HPS Twition Accounts.
	28	Conduct Workforce Planning/Recruitment	Regional BO Offices.	Regional ONPS - Interpretation
1	33	Use Career Fairs to Becruit	Regional BO Offices.	Regional OHPS - Interpretation
	34	Determine Attrition Bates.	WASO Personnel Division.	WASO Personnel Division.
10	41	Establish Disk Library.	Regional Divisions of Interpretation.	Benefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks).
10	43	Develop a Compendium of Professional Papers.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	WASO OHPS - Interpretation

# CHALLENCE NUMBER: 2

 12
 49
 Include Interp. in Performance Stds. of Supto/RD's.
 Director.
 NASO

 12
 50
 Literature Review and Survey on Interpretation.
 NASO Division of Interpretation.
 NASO

ASO OMPS - Interpretation

MASO Personnel Division. MASO OMPS - Interpretation

•		

	The surgery of period states

# INPLEMENTATION/ACTION PLAN FOR INTERPRETIVE CHALLENCE

# THE FOLLOWING ARE ACTIONS THAT CAN BE TAKEN IN THE FIRST THAN (1988) THAT WILL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL FUNDS. (PACHAGE \$3)

COAL	INPLEMES- TATION	INPLEMENTATION/ACTION TITLE	OFFICE WITH PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY		FUNDS	PRIMARY FUNDING SOURCE
1	1				EQUIRED	
ALLES	IG <mark>B HUNDER</mark> :	1				
2		Reconvene Interp. Training Connittee.	UASO Division of Employee Development.	5	5,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Employee Development.
2	12	Evaluate Awards Program	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$	5,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
5	19	Establish IVSI Advisory Council.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$	10,000.	WASO Base, Asst. Director, Interpretation.
10	39	Publish Technical Bulletin.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	1	10,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
10	40	Incourage Subscriptions to Publications.	Park Superintendents.	\$		Park OMPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts.
ALLER	IGE BUWBER:	1				
19	11	Select Parks for Enhanced Outreach Programs.	Regional Interpretive Shills Teams.	\$	5,000.	Director's Cooperating Association Fund.
19	78	Support Travel/Tourism Programs.	Assistant Director, Interpretation.	\$	10,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
19	83	Seek Private Sector Funding for National Traveling Exhibit.	Barpers Ferry Center.	\$	15,000.	WASO Base, Asst. Director, Interpretation.
ALLES	GE BUNDER:	4				
21	96	Sponsor Interregional Workshops - Establish Thenes.	Regional Divisions of Interpretation.		10,000.	CRM/NRM Accounts, WASO
23	99	Study Relationship of Visual Quality to Interpretation.	Assistant Director, Interpretation.		25,000.	Non-Construction Related Advanced Planning.
24	101	Conduct Hational Workshop with HASA on Research/Interp.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	5	Netrese .	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
26	106	Inventory Field Seminar Programs.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$	5,800.	MASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
28	116	Conduct a North American Interpreters Norkshop.	WASO Division of Interpretation.		5,000.	Director's Cooperating Association Fund.

# analisista de l'Anni alta de Rechaldon i derenira :

# ing and the set of the

patienter ins

### Contraction of the local division of the

	a field of programming the second second	
	A state bioarted at participation	
		the first branch building at branges burdingsail.

### CONTRACT AUTOMICS

### CONTRACT PROPERTY AND

	teriet a pers parter restarter problem		
	the function restores - property areas		

# INPLEMENTATION/ACTION PLAN FOR INTERPRETIVE CRALLENGE

# THE FOLLOWING ARE ACTIONS THAT CAN BE TAKEN IN THE FIRST YEAR (1984) THAT WILL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL STAFFING AND FUNDS. (PACEAGE \$4)

and the second s	APLENEN- PATION	INPLEMENTATION/ACTION TITLE	 OFFICE UITH PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY	PTE'S REQUIRED	ALT. STAFFING	PUNDS Reguired	PRIMART FUNDING SOURCE
CHALLENG	E BUNDER:	1					
2	10	Training - Interpretive Management	Wist Division of Employee Development.	1.5		\$ 10,000.	MASO Base Increase, Division of Employee Development.
CHALLENG	E BUNSER:	1					
14	70	Support for Servicewide Initiatives.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	0.5	each year	\$ 150,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Pund.

٠.

	10

# INPLEMENTATION/ACTION PLAN FOR INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE

# THE POLLOWING ARE ACTIONS THAT CAN BE TAKEN IN THE SECOND YEAR (1989) THAT WILL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL FUNDS. (PACKAGE \$5)

	LENEN-				
COAL TA	TION	INPLEMENTATION/ACTION TITLE	OFFICE WITH PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY	FUNDS	PRIMARY FUNDING SOURCE
	•			REQUIRED	

# CHALLENGE HUNDER: 1

1	5	Training - Position Classification	WASO Division of Employee Development.	\$	7,500.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Employee Development.
2	6	Annual Review - Supervisor to Staff Ratio	Park Superintendents.		20,000.	Park ORPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts.
2	7	Supervision of VIP's & Seasonals	WASO Division of Employee Development.	\$	12,000.	WASO, Division of Social Sciences (Proposed).
2	11	Film - Role of the MPS Ranger	Assistant Director, Interpretation.	\$	90,000.	Director's Cooperating Association Fund.
2	13	Institute New Awards	Assistant Director, Interpretation.	1 1	5,000.	Donations/Rational Park Foundation.
3	15	Training - Interpretive Development Program	WASO Division of Employee Development.	\$	5,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Employee Development.
10	42	Award Professional Writing.	Regional Divisions of Interpretation.	\$	2,000.	Cooperating Association Donations.
11	47	Use "Actings" in Regional Vacancies (Field).	Regional Divisions of Interpretation.	1	3,000.	REGIONAL Base Increase, Division of Interpretation

### CHALLENCE HUMBER: 2

13	54	Survey and Propose New Standards for Evaluation.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$ 10,000.	<b>BASO Base Increase</b> , Division of Interpretation
13	55	Study the Impact of Interpretation.	Regional Divisions of Interpretation.	\$ 10,000.	HASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
13	56	Quality Promotion Program - Mobile Unit.	Assistant Director, Interpretation.	 58,800.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
13	58	Study Prime Sites for Interpretive Facilities.	Barpers Ferry Ceater.	\$ 20,000.	MFC Major Rehab Line Item Base.

# CHALLENGE BUNDER: 3

14	60	Develop Education Curriculums.	Park Superintendents.	\$	15,000.	Benefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks).
14	64	Support Junior Ranger Programs.	Park Superintendents.	\$	30,000.	Benefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks).
14	69	Increase Funds to Interpretive Skills Courses.	Regional Divisions of Interpretation.	1	5,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
15	11	Coordinate Education Programs with Local Schools.	Park Superintendents.		2,000.	Benefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks).
16	72	Urban Chief Interpreters Conference.	Regional Interpretive Skills Teams.	\$	30,000.	Director's Cooperating Association Fund.
18	75	Special Training and Information Distribution.	Regional Interpretive Skills Teans.	1	30,000.	Director's Cooperating Association Fund.
18	76	Study Interpretive Potential for Wrban Open Space.	Assistant Director, Interpretation.	1	30,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
19	79	Involve Tourian Rep. in Regional Chiefs Workshop.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$	1,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
19	80	Use Mass Hedia for Local Marketing.	Park Superintendents.	\$	20,000.	Benefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks).
19	81	Plan Mass Media Projects (Mationwide).	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$	15,000.	Director's fee Enhancement Fund.
20	85	Nake Facilities Accessible and Appropriately Diverse.	IVSI	\$	10,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.

# CHALLENGE NUMBER: 4

21	89	Develop Visitor Safety and Resource Interpretation.	Park Superintendents.	\$ 60,000.	Benefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks).
21	92	Implement Bio-Diversity Program.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$ 50,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
21	94	Develop Plan to Fund Field-initiated Programs.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$ 10,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
21	95	Establish Descretionary Funds for Park Projects.	Regional Directors.	\$ 50,000.	REGIONAL Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
25	105	Analyze Visitor Data with Concessioners.	WASO Division of Social Sciences (Proposed).	\$ 10,000.	Concessions.
26	107	Develop Strategy to Promote Seminar Programs.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$ 10,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
27	108	Devise Clearinghouse of Methodologies with Others.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$ 15,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
27	109	Conmittee to Plan 75th Celebration of Service.	Assistant Director, Interpretation.	\$ 10,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.

### the restance of the loss of the second state o

# LAND AND ADDRESS ADDRESS AND ADDRESS A

der erten sternen som		

kunity Manufay wardadan. Manufa Manufay wardadan. Manufay Manufay Manufayan. Manufay Manufay na Manufaya. Manufay Manufay na Manufaya.	And Spectrometers Perform Theorem of Secondarian Performed Secondarian Secondari Secondarian Secondarian Secondarian Secondarian Secondarian	

Park Superintendents.

Barpers Ferry Center.

Barpers Ferry Center.

Barpers Ferry Center.

28 111

28 112

28 113

28 114

29 124

29 127

30 129

Pacilitate Exchanges to Promote Intercultural Understandings

Study Need to Upgrade Entrance Facilities & Visitor Centers.

Study Advisability of Establishing Besters Field Office.

Provide Packaged Training for Media Maintenance.

CRALLENCE HUMBER: 5

Select Delegation to 2nd World Congress.	Assistant Director, Interpretation.	\$ 12,008.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
Interpret Norld Natural and Cultural Resources.	MASO International Affairs.	\$ 3,008.	Wiso Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
Implement Strategies of International Task Force.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	\$ 10,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.

\$ 10,000.

\$ 55,000.

\$ 12,008.

\$ 20,000.

Benefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks).

Hon-Construction Related Advanced Planning.

MFC Base Increase (OMPS).

BFC Najor Rehab Line Iten Base.

# INPLEMENTATION/ACTION PLAN FOR INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE

THE POLLOWING ARE ACTIONS THAT CAN BE TAKEN IN THE SECOND YEAR (1989) THAT WILL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL STAFFING AND PUNDS. (PACEAGE \$6)

10000	EPLENEN-						
COAL 1	ROITAT	INPLEMENTATION/ACTION TITLE	OFFICE WITH PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY	FTE'S	MLT.	FUNDS	PRIMARY FUNDING SOURCE
	•		·	and the second second second	STAFFING	REQUIRED	
ALLEBCE	UVNDER:	1					
2		Publish List of Available Courses.	MASO Division of Employee Development.	0.5		\$ 10,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Employee Development
5	20	Operate IVSI	MASO Division of Interpretation.	3.0		\$ 150,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
5	21	Operate Visitor Services Project.	Park Superintendents.	1.0		\$ 140,000.	Park OHPS Funds/Benefitting Accounts.
5	23	Conduct Sociological Study	Assistant Director, Interpretation.	1.0		\$ 50,000.	Senefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Parks
1	32	Profile Qualified Interpreters.	Waso Division of Interpretation.	0.2		\$ 5,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
11	46	Astablish Interpretive Management Traince Positions.	Regional Directora.	10.0		\$ 60,000.	<b>REGIONAL Base Increase, Division of Interpretation</b>
ALLEBCE	NUMBER:	1					
13	57	Study Media Techniques & Materials.	Marpers Ferry Center.	1.0		\$ 10,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
ALLERCE	UWBER:	3					
14	59	Analyze past Environmental Education Programs.	MASO Division of Interpretation.	0.1	Contract.	\$ 5,000.	Director's fee Enhencement Fund.
14	61	Coordinate Education Programs with States.	Regional Directors.	10.0		\$ 48,000.	REGIONAL Base Increase, Division of Interpretation
14	65	Task Force - Hational Strategy for Environmental Educ.	WASO Division of Interpretation.	0.1	CPSU	\$ 35,000.	Director's Fee Rabancement Fund.
14	66	Develop Park Visitor Profile.	WASO Division of Social Sciences (Proposed).		CPSU	\$ 30,000.	Director's fee Enhancement Fund.
14	67	Interpret Mistoric Structures.	Barpers Ferry Center.	1.0		\$ 20,000.	HFC Base Increase (OHPS).
14	68	Develop Servicewide These-related Training.	WASO Division of Employee Development.	0.3		\$ 27,000.	WASO Base Increase, Division of Employee Developme
16	13	lasue Paper on Warketing Parks.	1981	0.3	CPSU	\$ 90,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
ALLEBCE	NUMBER:	•					
25	104	Provide Training to Coop. Assoc. & Concessioners Staff.	Regional Divisions of Interpretation.	10.0		\$ 500,000.	Benefitting Accounts - Fee Enhancement Funds (Park
ALLENCE	BUNDER:	5					
29	117	Construct New Facilities NFC/WFTC	Denver Service Center.	1.0		\$3,000,000.	BFC Line-Item Construction.
29	118	Establish Post-installation Review of Media Projects.	Barpers Ferry Center.	1.0		\$ 25,000.	HFC Major Rehab Line Iten Base.
29	119	Provide Computer Capability for Design of Media.	Barpers Ferry Center.	1.0		\$ 175,000.	HFC Base Increase (OMPS).
29	120	Increase Design and Production Contractors.	Barpers Ferry Center.	3.0		\$ 52,000.	HFC Base Increase (OUPS).
29	121	Provide Free Park Folders and Mandouts.	Barpers Ferry Center.	6.0		\$2,000,000.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
29	123	Publish MPS Atlas.	Barpers Ferry Center.	4.0		\$ 330,000.	Donations/Hational Park Foundation.

## section in the section of the sectio

# Anty any distance resistance consists the basis:

	,			
Class Res 4	Promit States in Lines			

# Conversion in case of the second s

	Jugara Durg Securi		
	under Streichen als Bergenen bergenenen. Reis Streichen als Bergenenenen. Reisenen Bergenen, Bergenenenen. Reisenen Bergenen.		

### CALCULATION OF TAXABLE

Annual property on ranks					

### ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.

# IMPLEMENTATION/ACTION PLAN FOR INTERPRETIVE CHALLENGE

## THE FOLLOWING ARE ACTIONS THAT ARE GENERALLY DEPENDENT ON THE COMPLETION OF OTHER ACTIVITIES IN PRIOR YEARS (PACEAGE \$7)

	INPLEMEN-						
1		INFLUMENTATION/ACTION TITLE	OFFICE WITH PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY	PTE'S REQUIRED	ALT. STAFFING	PORDS REQUIRED	PRIMARY FONDING SOURCE
BALLES	ICE BOWBER	:1					
	18	Increase UPS Interpretive Workforce.	Birector.			\$45,000,000.	Benefitting Accounts - Pos Enhancement Funds (Parks
5	22	Refer to Implementation \$55-56 (Evaluation)	Regional Divisions of Interpretation.			\$ 8.	
6	26	Develop Innovative Interp Techniques and Media (IVSI)	WASO Division of Interpretation.			1 1.	Director's fee Enhancement Fund.
1	26	Evaluate Interactive Computer system (1981)	WASO Division of Interpretation.			\$ 0.	Director's Fee Enhancement Fund.
ALLES	IGE NUMBER	: 3					
14	62	Cooperative Interpretive Projects with Other Agencies.	Park Superintendents.			\$ 300,000.	Benefitting Accounts - Fee Babancement Funds (Parks
17	74	Fila on National Park System.	Barpers Ferry Cester.	1.0		\$ 140,000.	BFC Base Increase (OBPS).
BALLES	ICE BUNBER:	4					
27	110	Coordinate Regular Heetings with Other Agencies.	WASO Division of Interpretation.			\$ 0.	
IALLED	ICE BUILDER:	5					
29	122	Increase Capability to Conserve Artifacts for Exhibits.	Barpers Ferry Center.	10.0		\$ 1,400,000.	BPC Major Rebab Line Iten Base.
29	126	Provide Cyclic Maintenance Funds for Interp. Structures.	Director.			\$ 4,500,000.	Regional Cyclic Maintenance Funds.
30	130	System for Packaging Hodia Reeds For Parks.	Barpers Ferry Center.			1 0.	HFC Base Increase (OHFS).
31	132	Examine the Bole of the Interpretive Prospectus.	Barpers Perry Center.			\$ 0.	HFC Base Increase (OHPS).
31	133	Major Lehab of MFC Backlog.	Barpers Ferry Center.	15.0		\$68,000,000.	Budget Initiative.

## the state of the second state and the second state and the second state

### to tanto all'accordo del antes la tanto diferencia de lasta antes la servecció de las sectores de las del contestentes de las de

	and a local division and an and the		

### Contractory of Contractory in

### Controlled Spinster 4

in the foreign to the star broken the foreign of the star broken of th

### CONTRACT DATA OF

			The same party that the same same

	FUNDING		LIMENTATION OF INT LISTED BY YEAR	ERPRETIVE CHALLENG	E	
	PY1988	FY1989	FY1990	FY1991	FY1992	TOTALS
HALLENGE	NUMBER: 1					
	47,500.	664,800.	5,548,300.	15,518,300.	25,193,300.	46,972,200
BALLENGE	NUNBER: 2					
	0.	100,000.	65,000.	0.	0.	165,000
HALLENGE	NUMBER: 3					
	180,000.	600,000.	680,000.	353,000.	306,000.	2,119,000
HALLENGE	NUNBER: 4					
	195,000.	845,000.	670,000.	500,000.	365,000.	2,575,000
HALLENGE	NUNBER: 5					
	20,000.	5,679,000.	32,132,000.	26,760,000.	24,255,000.	88,846,000
OTALS	442,500.	7,888,800.	39,095,300.	43,131,300.	50,119,300.	140,677,200

. .

.m.ta		

```
IMPLEMENTATION ITEMS FOR WHICH WASO HAS THE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY
 CHALLENGE NUMBER: 1
  Package Number: 1
       # 2 - Servicewide Core T/E Requirements.
       # 14 - Maintain Award Procedures
       # 31 - Use Electronic Mail for Announcements.
    # 38 - Allow Greater Attendance at Meetings.
     Package Number: 2
       # 25 - Training - Use of Computers in Field.
       # 34 - Determine Attrition Rates.
       # 43 - Develop a Compendium of Professional Papers.
     Package Number: 3
       # 8 - Reconvene Interp. Training Committee.
       # 12 - Evaluate Awards Program
       # 19 - Establish IVSI Advisory Council.
       # 39 - Publish Technical Bulletin.
     Package Number: 4
       # 10 - Training - Interpretive Management
     Package Number: 5
                                      018 - 07 0
    # 5 - Training - Position Classification
       # 7 - Supervision of VIP's & Seasonals
       # 11 - Film - Role of the NPS Ranger
       # 13 - Institute New Awards
       # 15 - Training - Interpretive Development Program
Package Number: 6
       # 9 - Publish List of Available Courses.
       # 20 - Operate IVSI
       # 23 - Conduct Sociological Study
       # 32 - Profile Qualified Interpreters.
     Package Number: 7
       # 18 - Increase NPS Interpretive Workforce.
     # 24 - Develop Innovative Interp Techniques and Media (IVSI)
    # 26 - Evaluate Interactive Computer system (IVSI)
```

The state

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 2

Package Number: 1

# 51 - Improve Operations Evaluation Manual.

Package Number: 2

# 49 - Include Interp. in Performance Stds. of Supts/RD's. # 50 - Literature Review and Survey on Interpretation.

Package Number: 5

# 54 - Survey and Propose New Standards for Evaluation. # 56 - Quality Promotion Program - Mobile Unit.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 3

Package Number: 1

# 84 - Develop Strategies to Work with Friends Groups.

Package Number: 3

# 78 - Support Travel/Tourism Programs.

Package Number: 4

# 70 - Support for Servicewide Initiatives.

Package Number: 5

# 76 - Study Interpretive Potential for Urban Open Space. # 79 - Involve Tourism Rep. in Regional Chiefs Workshop. # 81 - Plan Mass Media Projects (Nationwide).

Package Number: 6

# 59 - Analyze past Environmental Education Programs. # 65 - Task Force - National Strategy for Environmental Educ. # 66 - Develop Park Visitor Profile. # 68 - Develop Servicewide Theme-related Training.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 4

Package Number: 1

# 86 - Involve Interpreters in Committee Work. # 93 - Establish Priority System for Interpretive Initiatives. #102 - Do Personnel Exchanges and Interagency Training. #103 - Require New Concession Contracts to Include Interpretation.
Package Number: 3
# 99 - Study Relationship of Visual Quality to Interpretation.
#101 - Conduct National Workshop with NASA on Research/Interp.
#106 - Inventory Field Seminar Programs.
#116 - Conduct a North American Interpreters Workshop.
Package Number: 5
# 92 - Implement Bio-Diversity Program.
# 94 - Develop Plan to Fund Field-initiated Programs.

#105 - Analyze Visitor Data with Concessioners.

#107 - Develop Strategy to Promote Seminar Programs.

#108 - Devise Clearinghouse of Methodologies with Others.

#109 - Committee to Plan 75th Celebration of Service.

#111 - Select Delegation to 2nd World Congress.

#112 - Interpret World Natural and Cultural Resources.

#113 - Implement Strategies of International Task Force.

Package Number: 7

#110 - Coordinate Regular Meetings with Other Agencies.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 5

- Cardina -

Package Number: 7

#126 - Provide Cyclic Maintenance Funds for Interp. Structures.

```
6 8 1 0
```

```
1013 - Inquire Her Concession Contracts to Include Interpretation
2019 - Inquire Her Concession Contracts to Interpretation
2010 - Conduct Hational Morhing with HATA on Research/Interpretation
2010 - Conduct Hational Morhing with HATA on Research/Interpretation
2010 - Conduct Hational Morhing with HATA on Research/Interpretation
2010 - Conduct Hational Morhing With HATA on Research/Interpretation
2010 - Conduct Hational Morhing With HATA on Research/Interpretation
2010 - Conduct Hational Morhing With HATA on Research/Interpretation
2010 - Conduct A Forth American Interpretated Programs
2010 - Laster Vision Deta Mind Field-Infatiated Programs
2010 - Laster Classing of Research Concessioners
2010 - Laster Classing of Mathematic Research
2010 - Laster Deta Mathematic Research
2010 - Laster Classing of Mathematic Research
2010 - Laster Classing of Mathematic Research
2010 - Laster Classing of Mathematic Research
2010 - Laster Partial Assured of American Concession of Secondaria
2010 - Laster Partial Assured of Torgenetics of Concession of Secondaria
2010 - Laster Partial Assured of Torgenetics
2010 - Laster Partial Assured of Torgenetics
2010 - Laster Partial of Concession of Torgenetics
```

: today's spaxos

will - Courdinate Hegular Meetings with Other Agencias.

Package Spanis

1136 - Provide Cvelle Maintenance Funds for Interp. Structures

IMPLEMENTATION ITEMS FOR WHICH REGIONS HAVE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY CHALLENGE NUMBER: 1 Package Number: 1 # 3 - Review Vacancy Applications (Interpreters) # 16 - Plan and Monitor Fee Expenditures # 30 - Extend Announcement Period. # 35 - Publicize Professional Meetings/Training. # 36 - Encourage Participation in Professional Societies. # 37 - Increase Contacts with Local Institutions. # 45 - Involve Park Interpreters in Task Forces. . Package Number: 2 # 28 - Conduct Workforce Planning/Recruitment # 33 - Use Career Fairs to Recruit # 41 - Establish Disk Library. Package Number: 5 # 42 - Award Professional Writing. # 47 - Use "Actings" in Regional Vacancies (Field). Package Number: 6 # 46 - Establish Interpretive Management Trainee Positions. Package Number: 7 # 22 - Refer to Implementation #55-56 (Evaluation) CHALLENGE NUMBER: 2 Package Number: 1 # 48 - Utilize Existing Policies and Guidelines. # 52 - Improve Operations Evaluation Process/Analysis. # 53 - Follow-up on Operations Evaluation. Package Number: 5 # 55 - Study the Impact of Interpretation.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 3

and a second

```
Package Number: 3
# 77 - Select Parks for Enhanced Outreach Programs.
     Package Number: 5
       # 69 - Increase Funds to Interpretive Skills Courses.
       # 72 - Urban Chief Interpreters Conference.
       # 75 - Special Training and Information Distribution.
     Package Number: 6
       # 61 - Coordinate Education Programs with States.
 CHALLENGE NUMBER: 4
     Package Number: 1
       # 91 - Implement Wolf Education Program.
     Package Number: 3
       # 96 - Sponsor Interregional Workshops - Establish Themes.
     Package Number: 5
       # 95 - Establish Descretionary Funds for Park Projects.
     Package Number: 6
       #104 - Provide Training to Coop. Assoc. & Concessioners Staff.
```

CHALLENGE STREET

-----

IMPLEMENTATION ITEMS FOR WHICH PARKS HAVE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY

\_\_\_\_\_

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 1

a rate -

Package Number: 1

# 1 - Review Management Positions (Develop Procedures) 4 - Classification of Field Positions (Ops Evaluation) # # 17 - Program Fee Expenditures for Media Rehab. # 29 - Post Vacancy Announcements # 44 - Use Details for Vacant Park Positions. Package Number: 3 # 40 - Encourage Subscriptions to Publications. Package Number: 5 # 6 - Annual Review - Supervisor to Staff Ratio Package Number: 6 # 21 - Operate Visitor Services Project. CHALLENGE NUMBER: 3 Package Number: 1 # 63 - Publication of Environmental Education Programs. Package Number: 5 # 60 - Develop Education Curriculums. # 64 - Support Junior Ranger Programs. # 71 - Coordinate Education Programs with Local Schools. # 80. - Use Mass Media for Local Marketing. Package Number: 7 # 62 - Cooperative Interpretive Projects with Other Agencies.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 4

Package Number: 1

# 87 - Include Interpretation in Project Workload analysis & T.D.

```
# 88 - Include Interpreters in Resource Management Planning.
     #100 - Study Joint Agency Publications/Programs Options.
     #115 - Participate in Conservation-exchange Programs.
   Package Number:
                    5
     # 89 - Develop Visitor Safety and Resource Interpretation.
     #114 - Facilitate Exchanges to Promote Intercultural Understanding
s fe . Coordinate Education Programs with Loosl' Schools.
              a so - Dee Hans Media for Local Marketing.
```

Same 2

IMPLEMENTATION ITEMS FOR WHICH HFC HAS PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 2

+ 51 F 2 +

Package Number: 5

# 58 - Study Prime Sites for Interpretive Facilities.

Package Number: 6

# 57 - Study Media Techniques & Materials.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 3

Package Number: 3

# 83 - Seek Private Sector Funding for National Traveling Exhibit.

Package Number: 6

# 67 - Interpret Historic Structures.

Package Number: 7

# 74 - Film on National Park System.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 4

Package Number: 1

# 97 - Continue HFC/DSC Regional Reviews. # 98 - Include Interpreters on Planning and Design teams.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 5

Package Number: 1

#125 - Develop New Techniques for Accessibility. #128 - Publish Inventory of Media Rehab. Needs. #131 - Establish Management Advisory Group to HFC.

Package Number: 5

#124 - Study Need to Upgrade Entrance Facilities & Visitor Center: #127 - Study Advisability of Establishing Western Field Office. #129 - Provide Packaged Training for Media Maintenance.

Package Number: 6

#118 - Establish Post-installation Review of Media Projects. #119 - Provide Computer Capability for Design of Media. #120 - Increase Design and Production Contractors. #121 - Provide Free Park Folders and Handouts. #123 - Publish NPS Atlas.

Package Number: 7

#122 - Increase Capability to Conserve Artifacts for Exhibits. #130 - System for Packaging Media Needs For Parks. #132 - Examine the Role of the Interpretive Prospectus. #133 - Major Rehab of HFC Backlog.

1 47 - Interpret Eleveric Scructures

A residence writes and

320

Tackage Bundler:

a 55 - Include Interpreters on Finnelno and Design tense.

CILLINGS HUMBERS

Fackage Bunbert 1

1121 - Develop New Yachaiques for Accessibility. 1124 - Publish Inventory of Media Schab. Media.

C - Themau A position

\$131 - Buidy Mand to Upgrade Entrance Facilities a state of Calce.

IMPLEMENTATION ITEMS FOR WHICH OTHERS HAVE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY

. .

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 1

a Ho175"

Package Number: 1

# 27 - Training - Desktop Publishing.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 3

Package Number: 1

# 82 - Publish Professional Articles.

Package Number: 5

# 85 - Make Facilities Accessible and Appropriately Diverse.

Package Number: 6

# 73 - Issue Paper on Marketing Parks.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 4

Package Number: 1

# 90 - Training - Use of Mass Media.

CHALLENGE NUMBER: 5

Package Number: 6

#117 - Construct New Facilities HFC/WPTC

```
- Andre
```

TALITATENCOLAT TRANSPORT AVAR DESERT OFFICE ADA PRETT DOTTATENTATEN

```
CHACLENDE MURRER: 1
```

CHALLARING TOWNERS - 1

```
and the second second second second
```

```
Package Sumber: 5
```

```
4 85 - Hais Faultities Accessible and Appropriately Diverse.
```

```
4 71 - Itana Paper on Barketing Parks.
```

```
CHALLENDE FURSELLAND
```

```
Package Number: 1
```

a for - Training - Das of Hars Hedis.

```
2 LEADON SOMALARS
```

```
Package Fashers 5
```

WILLS - Construct New Facilities HRC/HPTC