FINAL REPORT

Community-specific biogeochemical responses to atmospheric nitrogen deposition in subalpine ecosystem of Mount Rainier National Park

TASK AGREEMENT P14AC01577 Mod 03

Prepared For:

National Park Service Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Unit

Prepared By:

Justin Poinsatte and R. Dave Evans

Washington State University School of Biological Sciences and Stable Isotope Core Laboratory

06-01-16



Subalpine ecosystem of Paradise, Mount Rainier National Park

Contents

Acknowledgements
Acronyms and Isotopic Terminology
Executive Summary
Rates and Sources of Snowpack Nitrogen Deposition to Mt. Rainier6
Critical Loads for Subalpine Biogeochemical Cycling10
Impacts of Climate Change on Ecosystem Response to Nitrogen Deposition16
References Cited
Outreach
Products of this Award

Acknowledgements

National Park Service staff provided advice on experimental design, site selection, and logistical assistance that were critical to the implementation of this project, including Gina Rochefort, Tonnie Cummings, Tamara Blett, Barbara Samora, Louis Whiteaker, and Steve Fradkin. Ben Harlow and Debbie Bikfasy at the Washington State University (WSU) Stable Isotope Core Laboratory (SICL) and Jenny Carlson at the Northwest Sustainable Agroecosystem Research Unit helped to complete biogeochemical and stable isotope laboratory analyses. Jennifer Adam and Julian Reyes at WSU and Naomi Tague at University of California, Santa Barbara assisted with ecological modeling. Field work was completed with the assistance of Lauren Kelly.

Acronyms and Isotopic Terminology

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance		
$\delta^{13}C$	Stable isotope composition of carbon		
$\delta^{15}N$	Stable isotope composition of nitrogen		
$\delta^{17}O$	Stable isotope composition of oxygen-17		
$\Delta^{17}O$	Difference between expected and observed $\delta^{17} O$		
$\delta^{18}O$	Stable isotope composition of oxygen-18		
Early	Early snow release vegetation community		
IAEA	International Atomic Energy Agency		
Mid	Mid snow release vegetation community		
MORA	Mt. Rainier National Park		
Late	Late snow release vegetation community		
NADP	National Atmospheric Deposition Program		
NO ₃	Nitrate		
$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	Ammonium		
NPS	National Park Service		
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service		
0	Oxygen		
RCP	Representative Concentration Pathway		
RHESSys	Regional Hydro-Ecologic Simulation System		
SICL	Stable Isotope Core Laboratory		

Executive Summary

Atmospheric nitrogen (N) deposition occurs when N emissions are released into the atmosphere by automobile or industrial emissions or by the volatilization of fertilizer applied to farmland. These emissions deposit out of the atmosphere through precipitation, known as wet deposition, or by attaching to airborne particles of dust and pollen in dry deposition. Chronic N deposition has been to shown decrease vegetation biodiversity, reduce abundance of N sensitive species, alter biogeochemical cycling, and increase acidification and nutrient enrichment of montane watersheds (Aber et al., 1989; Williams and Tonnessen, 2000; Bowman et al. 2014). The deposition rate at which these detrimental changes occur is known as the critical load (Bobbink et al., 2002). Increases in N deposition could exceed these critical loads for Mount Rainier and high-elevation ecosystems throughout the Cascades. We examined the input of N deposition to Mount Rainier National Park (MORA) and evaluated critical loads for subalpine ecosystem N loss under elevated deposition rates and climate change.

Snowpack storage and processing of N deposition has important implications for informing N emission regulations as well as ecosystem response to N deposition. We measured rates and N forms of N deposition in subalpine snowpack at Mount Rainier National Park (MORA). Measured ambient deposition rates at MORA (3.0 to 3.7 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) could exceed rates that are detrimental to lichen and diatom communities and cause montane watershed N enrichment and acidification (Pardo et al., 2015). Ammonium (NH₄⁺) deposition, predominantly produced from agricultural emissions, was the dominant form measured in snowpack. Deposition of NH₄⁺ has higher retention than nitrate (NO₃⁻) in subalpine ecosystems, which may reduce N leaching (Williams and Tonnessen, 2000). However, microbial nitrification in snowpack may convert snow NH₄⁺ to NO₃⁻, increasing N loss to watersheds.

Using manipulated N addition and isotopic tracers, we examined the fate of N deposition in the ecosystem and critical loads of subalpine ecosystem N loss at MORA. Soil was the major fate of the applied deposition while leaching into watersheds was the dominant loss of N from the ecosystem. Leaching losses exceeded plant uptake, indicating that deposition may be bypassing soils and plant uptake to directly enter montane watersheds. Ecosystem N losses due to soil nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions and N leaching were sensitive to increased deposition rates, with significantly higher losses at 3 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ above ambient rates. Thus, N deposition critical loads for soil N₂O emissions and leaching may occur between 3 to 6 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. While soils and vegetation were not significantly impacted by these short-term N deposition treatments, chronic N deposition may saturate these systems (Aber et al., 1989).

We examined the combined impacts of N deposition and climate change on ecosystem response to deposition at MORA using ecological modeling. Elevated temperatures under climate change severely reduced snowpack, lengthening the vegetation growing season significantly (Mote et al., 2010). This snowpack loss shifted the subalpine N cycle, with increased N losses to leaching and soil N₂O emissions under current snow-covered months and increased N retention during the growing season. Elevated N deposition magnified these fluxes, but did not alter N cycling behavior or timing. Thus, climate change may exacerbate ecosystem N losses under increased N deposition, resulting in elevated N leaching and soil N₂O emissions throughout the Cascades.

Rates and Forms of Snowpack Nitrogen Deposition at MORA

Context

Global anthropogenic nitrogen (N) fixation now exceeds natural fixation rates, with N emissions being released into the atmosphere from urban and agricultural areas and depositing at elevated rates (Howarth et al., 2002; Matson et al., 2002). In the Northwest, emissions from vehicular and industrial combustion may contribute the majority of inorganic N deposition as nitrate (NO₃⁻) while ammonium (NH₄⁺) deposition, which largely arises from agricultural fertilizer application, may have less of an impact (Laird et al., 1983; Kendall et al., 2007; NPS, 2014). Elevated rates of N deposition can increase greenhouse gases emissions, acidification of watersheds, and eventual deterioration of sensitive vegetation communities (Aber et al., 1989; Fenn et al., 2003). Subalpine and alpine ecosystems are thought to be particularly sensitive to increases in N deposition (Fenn et al., 2003; Williams and Tonnessen, 2000).

Snowpack is often considered a reservoir of atmospheric N deposition, but it is not a static pool. In subalpine ecosystems of the Rockies and Sierra Nevada, snowpack contains 14% to 101% of estimated total atmospheric N inputs and is often the dominant source of N input for snow-covered catchments (Williams and Melack, 1991; Bowman, 1992; Brooks and Williams, 1999). Within the snowpack, melting and refreezing processes have been shown to influence the concentrations and forms of inorganic N with snowpack depth (Bowman, 1992; Brooks and Williams, 1999). Microbial activity in snowpack has been shown to influence N dynamics through N_2 -fixation, nitrification, and denitrification in sites with permanent snowpack with low accumulation (Amoroso et al., 2009; Larose et al., 2013).

Sources and processing of N deposition can be determined through analysis of the δ^{15} N, Δ^{17} O, and δ^{18} O values of NO₃⁻ in snowpack. The δ^{15} N of NO₃⁻ in precipitation and snowpack can differentiate between biogenic and combustion N sources (Pichlmayer et al., 1998; Elliott et al., 2007). Snow NO₃⁻ Δ^{17} O and δ^{18} O can indicate whether the NO₃⁻ was produced by atmospheric or biological sources (Michalski et al., 2003; Elliott et al., 2007). Snow NO₃⁻ δ^{15} N, Δ^{17} O, and δ^{18} O can also provide insight into microbial activity in snowpack (Kendall et al., 1998; Amoroso et al., 2009). Microbial nitrification in snowpack has been shown to increase snow NO₃⁻ δ^{15} N and significantly reduce Δ^{17} O, removing the atmospheric signal (Amoroso et al., 2009).

Objective

We examined forms, rates, and stable isotope composition of snowpack N deposition in subalpine ecosystems at MORA to characterize deposition patterns and inform ecosystem response to N deposition. We hypothesized that NO_3^- would be the dominant form of N deposition, as consistent with N emission projections and measurements from nearby NADP sites (NADP, 2015). Additionally, we hypothesized that $NO_3^- \delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{17}O$ could be used to differentiate different atmospheric N deposition sources.

Approach

The study was located in the subalpine ecosystems of Paradise in MORA at an elevation of 1930 m (N 46°47, W 121°43). Snow sampling was conducted in six plots located randomly over the field site in the winters of 2012-2013 and 2013-2014. Each plot was sampled using a soil

auger at every 25 cm depth increment to 3 m deep into the snowpack. Snow samples were tested for density, total N content, NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ content, the NO₃⁻ δ^{15} N, Δ^{17} O, and δ^{18} O, and the total N δ^{15} N.

Subsamples of NO₃⁻ in the melted snow were converted into N₂O gas using the denitrifier method, which conserves the δ^{15} N, δ^{17} O, and δ^{18} O values of the NO₃⁻ through conversion to N₂O by *Pseudomonas aureofaciens* (Casciotti et al., 2002). The produced N₂O was then analyzed for δ^{15} N, δ^{17} O, and δ^{18} O using a FinniganTM Gas Bench II (Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, MA, USA) coupled to a Delta VTM Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, MA, USA) (Kaiser et al., 2007). Total N analysis was conducted by converting all N forms to NO₃⁻ using a persulfate oxidizing reagent in an autoclave at 121 °C for 45 minutes. These resulting solutions were allowed to cool and then neutralized to 6 to 7 pH (Knapp et al., 2005). The neutralized samples were then converted into N₂O gas using the denitrifier method to analyze the total N δ^{15} N as for the snow NO₃⁻ above (Casciotti et al., 2002).

Results of these measurements were tested for statistical differences between snowpack depths and sampling years using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) design. Interactions between sampling year and snowpack depth were considered. A paired t-test was conducted to determine differences between snow NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations during the same years and at the same depths. Additionally, correlations between snow density, NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations, $NO_3^ \delta^{15}N$, $NO_3^- \Delta^{17}O$, total N $\delta^{15}N$, and residual N $\delta^{15}N$ were analyzed via Spearman and Pearson tests.

Findings

1. Total annual inorganic N deposition in snowpack was 3.74 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2012-2013 and 2.99 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2013-2014 (Figure 1). These rates exceed critical loads for lichen and diatom community changes as well as alpine lake enrichment and acidification in the Rocky Mountains.



Figure 1. Snowpack inorganic N rates were 3.74 and 3.0 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for the 2012-2013 and 2013-2014, respectively.

2. Snowpack NH_4^+ content was significantly higher than NO_3^- , which may indicate that agricultural emissions of ammonia (NH₃) have a larger influence on deposition at MORA than nitrogen oxide emissions (NO_x) from combustion (Table 1).

Table 1. Mean snow N deposition ammonium (NH_4^+) and nitrate (NO_3^-) concentrations and NO_3^- and total N stable isotope composition across all depths for the sampling years 2013 and 2014. N_{residual} is the components of total N that are not NO_3^- . Superscripts *a* and *b* indicate significant difference between years. Numbers in parentheses indicate standard error of the mean

Year	NH4 ⁺ (kg N ha ⁻¹)	NO3 ⁻ (kg N ha ⁻)	NO ₃ ⁻ δ ¹⁵ N (‰)	NO ₃ ⁻ Δ ¹⁷ O (‰)	NO ₃ ⁻ δ ¹⁸ Ο (‰)	Total N δ ¹⁵ N (‰)
2013	$2.1 (0.01)^a$	$1.6 (0.04)^a$	1.4 (0.84)	14.9 (0.97)	24.9 (2.4)	3.2 (0.72)
2014	$2.8(0.09)^b$	$0.2 (0.01)^b$	1.1 (0.74)	15.6 (0.95)	28.1 (2.9)	3.3 (0.56)

3. Snow NO₃⁻ δ^{15} N and Δ^{17} O were significantly negatively correlated, indicating that microbial nitrification may be converting deposited NH₄⁺ to NO₃⁻ in the snowpack (Figure 2). This conversion to NO₃⁻ could promote inorganic N leaching to watersheds as NO₃⁻ is water-soluble and more mobile in ecosystems than NH₄⁺.



correlated (p<0.001; R=0.72).

Synthesis

Our findings indicate that rates of inorganic N deposition match elevation-adjusted network measurements at low elevation (NADP, 2015). Rates of snowpack inorganic N deposition at MORA (3.7 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in 2012-2013 and 3.0 in 2013-2014) were similar to those measured at nearby high-elevation sites (Agren et al., 2012) but exceeded those measured at a nearby National Atmospheric Deposition site (0.76 to 2.5 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) at lower elevation (424 m) (NADP, 2015). With the PRISM adjustment, NADP interpolations of total inorganic N deposition across the Cascades, which range from 2 to 10 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ were similar to measured snowpack inorganic N deposition rates at MORA (NADP, 2015). Ecosystem management based on network measurements should incorporate elevational effects to avoid underestimation of

deposition rates in these high-elevation ecosystems (Weathers et al., 2000; Latysh and Wetherbee, 2012).

Critical loads, a concept used to quantify the threshold at which ecological impacts of N deposition occur, are often determined using network deposition measurements due to lack of deposition data at high elevations (Bobbink et al., 2002; NPS, 2014). Thresholds set using low elevation network data may underestimate deposition rates in these high-elevation ecosystems, establishing critical loads that are too sensitive (NPS, 2014). The N deposition rates measured in this study would exceed critical loads for sensitive thresholds, including lichen chemistry and biodiversity, lake diatom community change, and N enrichment and acidification of montane watersheds (Pardo et al., 2011). Management for subalpine critical loads should measure N deposition rates at high elevations to properly constrain the thresholds at which biogeochemical and community responses are significantly affected.

Snowpack deposition forms and microbial communities may regulate ecosystem response to N deposition. Snowpack N deposition had a greater proportion of NH_4^+ than NO_3^- , which may indicate that agricultural N emissions have a larger role in deposition than previously expected (Kendall et al., 2007). Ammonium is less mobile than NO_3^- in soil as it is not water soluble and often binds to negatively charged soil particles (Chapin et al., 2002). However, the significant negative correlation between $\delta^{15}N$ and $\Delta^{17}O$ was indicative of the fractionation that occurs in $\delta^{15}N$ with microbial nitrification (Robinson, 2001; Frey et al., 2009). Thus, microbial nitrification may be converting the greater concentrations of snow NH_4^+ into NO_3^- , which can increase its mobility in the ecosystem (Williams and Tonnessen, 2000). Alpine vegetation may have a higher affinity for NH_4^+ than NO_3^- , which can increase plant uptake (Miller and Bowman, 2003). Additionally, NO_3^- has lower retention than NH_4^+ in subalpine and alpine ecosystems due to its water solubility (Williams and Tonnesson, 2000; Miller and Bowman, 2003). Thus, microbial nitrification in snowpack could increase rates of N loss in subalpine ecosystems of MORA even if N deposition rates remain stable.

Critical Loads for Subalpine Biogeochemical Cycling

Context

The critical load concept has been widely utilized to quantify the impacts of N deposition across a variety of ecosystems (Pardo et al., 2015). A critical load is the threshold of a pollutant at which a significant harmful effect begins to occur (Bobbink et al., 2002; Porter et al., 2005). Critical loads within high-elevation ecosystems have been used to quantify impacts of N deposition on lake diatom communities (Baron et al., 2000), vegetation composition (Bowman et al., 2006), soil biogeochemistry (Bowman et al., 2006), and N leaching into watersheds (Williams and Tonnessen, 2000; Sickman et al., 2001).

Application of synthetically-enriched ¹⁵N has been commonly used as a method of tracing biogeochemical fluxes across many ecosystems, including studies of N deposition (Dawson et al., 2002). An enriched ¹⁵N label allows an isotopic signal to be traced as it moves between ecosystem pools and fluxes. This tracer enables research that determines the impact and fate of the applied N (Dawson et al., 2002; Templer et al., 2012).

Objective

We examined ecosystem N storage and loss under manipulated rates of N deposition to determine biogeochemical critical loads in three subalpine vegetation communities of the Cascades. We hypothesized that ecosystem N losses through soil N_2O emissions and inorganic N leaching to watersheds would be more sensitive to increased N deposition than N storage in soils or vegetation. Additionally, we utilized an isotopic tracer recovery study to examine N deposition partitioning in subalpine ecosystems.

Approach

The study site was located the subalpine ecosystems of the Paradise area in MORA at an elevation of 1890 to 1960 m (N 46°47, W 121°43). This study focused on three subalpine meadow communities that are distinguished by their date of snow release (for a full description of these communities, see Henderson, 1973). The Early snow release community, where snow release typically occurs in mid-July, the Mid community, where snow release occurs in late July, and the Late community where snow release occurs in early to mid August.

Five patches, located at least 20 m apart in areas of similar topography and elevation, were selected for each vegetation community, with four plots (4 m²) in each patch for a total of 60 plots. Each plot was separated by a 2 m buffer zone. The four plots within each patch were randomly selected for one of the following N application treatments: control, +3, +5, and +10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. These treatments were applied as an enriched ¹⁵N (10 atom %) ¹⁵NH₄⁺⁻¹⁵NO₃ solution, which was added evenly across the melting snowpack in each appropriate plot (Bilbrough et al., 2000; Inselsbacher et al., 2012). The proportion of enriched ammonium (¹⁵NH₄⁺) and enriched nitrate (¹⁵NO₃⁻) added matched ambient N deposition proportions in snowpack (60% NH₄⁺ and 40% NO₃⁻) (Poinsatte and Evans, *in prep.*).

Measurements of soil temperature and moisture, soil N, microbial biomass N, plant N uptake, N₂O emissions, and N leaching were conducted throughout the 2014 growing season. Collection occurred at 0, 10, 30, 50, and 70 days after snow release in each community type, respectively.

All of the biomass, gaseous, and resin extract samples were analyzed for their ¹⁵N enrichment and total N content. The percentage of each flux from the applied N deposition was calculated using the relative ¹⁵N of the flux to the 10 atom% of the tracer. Tracer recovery was measured as the proportion of ¹⁵N in each flux or pool divided by the total amount of ¹⁵N added (Inselsbacher et al., 2012; Templer et al., 2012).

Soil cores were collected from 0 to 0.2 m depth. Samples were sieved, dried, and pulverized to a fine powder to prepare for analysis. The prepared soil samples were analyzed for C and N concentration and stable isotopic composition using an elemental analyzer coupled to a continuous flow isotope ratio mass spectrometer at the WSU SICL. Isotope composition was determined by comparing sample ¹⁵N to known international standards (IAEA, 2009).

Microbial biomass N concentrations (g N g⁻¹ soil) and stable isotope composition were determined using the chloroform fumigation method (Jin and Evans, 2007; Djikstra et al., 2013). Two sets of 5 cm depth soil were collected, with one set subjected to chloroform fumigation. Samples were extracted and analyzed for N concentration and stable isotopic composition. Microbial biomass N content and ¹⁵N atom percent was calculated as the difference between the fumigated and unfumigated sets (Jin and Evans, 2007; Djikstra et al., 2013).

Changes in plant C and N content (g m⁻²) and stable isotope composition were measured to determine plant growth and N uptake at each collection date (Billings et al., 2002). The percent canopy coverage of each species in each plot was determined by visual estimation. Aboveground biomass was collected for 0.01 m² of the most dominant species in each plot. Samples were prepared and analyzed for C and N concentration and stable isotope composition as for soils.

Soil nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions were measured at each collection date using a static chamber approach (Billings et al., 2002). Gas samples were collected from the chambers with sampling intervals at 0, 15, and 30 minutes to determine N₂O flux rates. Additional gas samples were sent to the University of California Davis Stable Isotope Facility to analyze N₂O ¹⁵N.

Soil inorganic leaching rates (g N m⁻² yr⁻¹) were determined using the soil resin core method (Billings et al., 2002). Polyvinylchloride columns were installed into the soil, with resin bags at the top and bottom to capture NH_4^+ and NO_3^- ions throughout the year. Resin extracts were analyzed for NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations. The ¹⁵N atom percent of the total N in the extracts were measured through persulfate digestion and the denitrifier method (Casciotti et al., 2002).

The experiment utilized a mixed effect complete block design, where vegetation patches were treated as blocks for all statistical analyses. Results of these measurements were analyzed for significant differences between community types, treatment, and collection dates using a repeated measures ANOVA design. Interaction effects between the community, treatment, and collection date were considered. Additionally, correlations between soil conditions and C and N fluxes were analyzed.

Findings

1. Soil N storage was not significantly impacted by elevated N deposition treatments due to the relative size of the N deposition inputs to the large total soil N pools in MORA subalpine soils (Figure 3). Microbial biomass was not significantly affected by deposition, indicating that it may not buffer against ecosystem N loss (Figure 4).



Figure 3. Average total soil nitrogen (N) concentrations (A) were not significantly different between the Early, Mid, and Late subalpine vegetation communities or between the ambient, +3, +5, and +10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ treatments. However, the average percentage of soil N that originated from the applied N deposition treatment (B), as determined by the soil ¹⁵N, was significantly higher in the +10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ than all other treatments in each community. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.



Figure 4. Average microbial N biomass (A) was not significantly different between the Early, Mid, and Late subalpine vegetation communities or between the ambient, +3, +5, and $+10 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ treatments. The average percentage of microbial N biomass from the treatment (B) was significantly different higher in the $+10 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ than all other treatments in each community. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.

2. Deposition replaced rather than supplement vegetation N sources, with no significant increases in plant biomass or N content but plant ¹⁵N indicating that uptake of deposition did occur (Figure 5). All vegetation species studied were able to utilize the applied N deposition, with *Carex nigricans* and *C. spectabilis* having significantly higher uptake than all other species (Figure 6).



Figure 5. Average vegetation nitrogen (N) concentrations (A) were not significantly different between the ambient, +3, +5, and +10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ treatments. However, the vegetation was capable of utilizing N deposition inputs as the percentage of vegetation N from deposition increased significantly with the deposition treatment strength (B). Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.



Figure 6. Vegetation nitrogen (N) concentrations (A) were not significantly different between the ambient, +3, +5, and $+10 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ treatments for any species. However, the vegetation ¹⁵N did indicate that *Carex nigricans* and *C. spectabilis* had significantly higher uptake of N deposition than did all other studied species. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.

3. Ecosystem N losses through soil N₂O emissions (Figure 7) and N leaching (Figure 8) were highly sensitive to increases in N deposition, with significant increases at +3 to +10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Thus, a critical load for subalpine ecosystems at MORA may occur between 3 to 6 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, based on the ambient and applied deposition rates.



Figure 7. Average soil nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions (A) were significantly impacted by the N deposition treatments, with increased N_2O at low N rates. The Early snow release community had the highest N_2O emissions, but the lowest percentage of the N_2O directly from the applied N (B). Instead, the Late community had significantly greater proportions of N_2O produced using the applied N. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.



Figure 8. Average inorganic N leaching (A) significantly increased with the N deposition treatments in all communities. The Early snow release community had the highest percentage of the N leaching directly from the applied N (B), potentially due to reduced vegetation uptake in this community after snow release. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.

4. Soil was the dominant fate of N deposition in the ecosystem, with leaching as the most significant fate of ecosystem N loss (Figure 9). These results indicate that significant proportions of N deposition may be bypassing N storage in soils and vegetation, directly entering watersheds after release from snowpack.



Figure 9. Average ecosystem ¹⁵N recovery for the Early, Mid, and Late snow release communities under +3, +5, and $+10 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ treatments indicates the fate of the applied N deposition. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean.

Synthesis

We observed significant increases in soil N₂O emission and inorganic N leaching between the ambient and +3 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ treatments, indicating that a critical load for subalpine ecosystem N loss at MORA may occur within this range. Biogeochemical critical loads are often defined as the N deposition threshold at which there are significant shifts in N cycling from organic N to inorganic N systems, accompanied by elevated rates of N loss through N emissions and leaching (Fenn et al., 2003; Bowman et al., 2014; Pardo et al., 2015). Critical loads of ecosystem N loss varied between subalpine vegetation communities. Compared to leaching under the Ambient treatment, the Mid and Late communities had significantly greater N leaching at +3 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Soil N₂O emissions were significantly greater than the ambient control at +3 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in the Early and Late communities, but were only significantly greater at +10 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in the Mid community. Critical loads for ecosystem N loss may occur between total inorganic N deposition rates of 3 to 6 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, as defined by the combined inputs of ambient deposition (3.0 to 3.7 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and +3 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ treatment.

The critical load for ecosystem N storage was not attained in this study. Ecosystem N storage pools were much less sensitive to elevated N deposition rates, with no consistent response in the soil or vegetation N pools. Thus, inputs from N deposition may bypass storage in the bulk soil, microbial, and vegetation pools to be directly lost from the ecosystem as N_2O emissions or leaching. However, chronic deposition within these elevated rates may attain N storage critical loads once the ecosystem becomes N saturated (Aber et al., 1989).

There were several indicators for susceptibility to N deposition that may inform management of N deposition critical loads. Generally, soils with lower C:N ratios were more susceptible to soil N₂O emissions and N leaching under ambient and elevated deposition rates (Dise et al., 1998). Soil moisture was positively correlated with vegetation N uptake and soil N₂O emissions, which indicates that it may be critical to drive subalpine ecosystem N cycling (Campbell et al., 2000; Williams and Tonnessen, 2000; Filippa et al., 2009). Communities with high soil moisture throughout the growing season, such as the Late snow release community, may be susceptible to N loss under elevated deposition rates. For species-specific critical loads, *Carex nigricans* and *C. spectabilis* had the only significant responses in uptake of N deposition. Although neither the biomass nor N concentration of these species changed with deposition, this may have due to the short-term nature of this study (Bowman et al., 2014). These species in particular should be investigated for susceptibility to chronic elevated N deposition rates.

Impacts of Climate Change on Ecosystem Response to Nitrogen Deposition

Context

Snow regime influences ecosystem N cycling in high-elevation ecosystems. Concurrently, the western US is estimated to warm between 2 to 5 °C over the next century, consistent with rising concentrations of greenhouse gases, with the Cascade Range projected to experience winter warming between 0.2 to 0.6 °C per decade (Cubasch et al., 2001). With this warming, snowpack losses are projected to accelerate, reducing high-elevation snowpack to less than half of current amounts by 2050 (Leung et al., 2004; Mote et al., 2010). Timing of snow release has been shown to determine vegetation community composition in the Cascades (Douglas and Bliss, 1979; Evans and Fonda, 1990). Additionally, snowpack is the major source of soil moisture throughout the growing season in these subalpine ecosystems (Douglas and Bliss, 1979). With loss of snowpack under climate change, species composition and the biogeochemical cycling of these communities may shift.

Objective

Through its influence on vegetation community composition and soil abiotic factors, snow regime has a major influence on the N cycle of subalpine ecosystems. In order to understand ecosystem response to N deposition, it is necessary to understand the interaction of snow regime and the N cycle. We evaluated the combined impacts of N deposition and snowpack loss under climate change on subalpine ecosystem N cycling through modeled simulations in the Regional Hydro-Ecologic Simulation System (RHESSys) model.

Approach

Regional Hydro-Ecologic Simulation System (RHESSys) is a process-based hydro-ecological model that simulates C, N, and water cycling (see Tague and Band, 2004 for model description). The RHESSys model (version 5.18.r3-NGAS2) was parameterized for subalpine ecosystems at MORA using geographic information system (GIS) maps and field measurements. Digital elevation models, watershed maps, and subalpine vegetation community maps were downloaded from the National Map Viewer and the National Park Service (Pacific Meridian Resources, 1992; Dollison, 2010). Field measurements, including soil depth and bulk density, vegetation phenology, rooting depth, C:N ratios of foliage and roots, and allometric relationships, were added as parameters into the RHESSys soil and vegetation library.

Daily records of precipitation and minimum and maximum temperature were collected from the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) Paradise ranger station (GHCND:USS0021C35S) between January, 1980 to December, 2013. The model was simulated at the patch scale for 300 years using these repeated meteorological measurements to initialize subalpine soil and vegetation C and N pools. Comparisons of the spun-up modeled site to observed field measurements of soil and vegetation. Climate change simulations for 2050 in the RCP2.6 and RCP8.5 scenarios under the CMIP5 framework were performed using warming of 1.0 and 2.0 °C without any significant change in precipitation, which was applied uniformly across 30 years of historic meteorological data (Tague et al., 2008). Simulations of elevated N deposition were performed in

conjunction with the climate change scenarios by adding an additional 5 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ to the RHESSys atmospheric deposition parameter. These comparisons were conducted using the same initial model state where the climate and deposition scenarios were run for 2008-2013. Snowpack (m), plant N uptake (g N m⁻² day⁻¹), soil N₂O emissions (ng N m⁻² day⁻¹), and inorganic N leaching (g N m⁻² yr⁻¹) output are shown as daily values averaged across 2008-2013.

Findings

1. Modeled snowpack was severely reduced under elevated temperatures in the climate change simulations, decreasing by 91% in the RCP8.5 simulation (Figure 10).



Figure 10. The RCP2.6 and 8.5 scenarios, which have +1.0 and +2.0 °C warmer air temperatures, respectively, decreased modeled snowpack by 91% compared to the Ambient simulation.

2. Reduced snowpack under climate change had the largest impact on ecosystem N cycling, lengthening the vegetation growing season and shifting the timing and magnitude of ecosystem N losses (Figure 11). This resulted in higher N loss from soil N₂O emissions and N leaching during winter months and greater N retention from increased plant N uptake and reduced N loss during the growing season.



Figure 11. RHESSys simulations of mean vegetation N uptake, soil N₂O emissions, and soil inorganic N leaching for the Early, Mid, and Late community types under Ambient, RCP2.6 and 8.5 scenarios with ambient and elevated N deposition. The ± 1.0 and ± 2.0 °C warmer air temperatures of the RCP2.6 and 8.5 simulations more strongly affected the magnitude and timing of all simulated responses than the elevated N deposition.

Synthesis

Simulations of ecosystem response to N deposition under climate change simulations indicated that winter snowpack severely decreased, with modeled snow release occurring 40 days earlier than observed in 2013. These projections match those seen in other studies of snowpack in the Cascades, which project 50 to 80% snowpack loss by 2050 under warming scenarios (Leung et al., 2004; Mote et al., 2010). This reduction in snowpack had important implications for ecosystem N cycling, with shifted timing and magnitude of N retention and loss.

Snowpack reduction lengthened vegetation growing seasons at MORA. Plant communities began their growing season up to 40 days earlier, which increased the vegetation N uptake and biomass. This is consistent with modeled studies of vegetation communities under climate change, which have indicated that plant phenology shifts to earlier in the year, lengthening the growing season and increasing productivity (Cayan et al., 2001; Christensen et al., 2008). However, this earlier release of snowpack may expose subalpine vegetation to spring frost damage, which can inhibit growth and N retention (Bannister et al., 2005). These changes in vegetation community response may be exacerbated with the expansion of lower elevation herbaceous and coniferous species into subalpine ecosystems, which has already been evident in

the Cascades (Franklin et al., 1971; Rochefort and Peterson, 1996). Thus, climate change may rapidly alter subalpine vegetation community growth and species composition at MORA.

The earlier snowmelt also induced changes in soil biogeochemistry, driving increased N_2O emissions and leaching under current snow-covered periods but reduced emissions and leaching during snow-free periods. Melting snow increased soil moisture, which is directly utilized in the model algorithms of N_2O emissions and leaching, and thus increased these losses as well (Dingman et al., 1994; Brooks and Williams, 1999). However, as snowpack provides the largest reservoir for soil moisture in these subalpine ecosystems, the earlier melting of the snowpack resulted in decreased soil moisture by peak growing season. These results are consistent with other projections of changing snow regimes in alpine ecosystems, where consistency of snow cover has been shown to regulate soil N_2O emission rates (Brooks et al., 1997). Additionally, inorganic N leaching has been shown to be highest during snowmelt, as snowpack is often considered a reservoir of N deposition (Brooks et al., 1995; Brooks and Williams, 1999; Bilbrough et al., 2000). Thus, loss of snowpack may exacerbate ecosystem N losses during winter months while increasing N retention during the growing season.

References Cited

- Aber, J.D, K.J. Nadelhoffer, P. Steudler, and J.M. Melillo. 1989. Nitrogen saturation in northern forest ecosystems. Bioscience 39:377-386.
- Agren, J., N. Beebe, and A.M. Johansen. 2012. Precipitation chemistry at Mount Rainier (Paradise Ranger Station) collection site: Final report for data collected between June 1, 2011 and May 30, 2012. Central Washington University, Ellensburg, WA.
- Amoroso, A., F. Domine, G. Esposito, S. Morin, J. Savarino, M. Nardino, M. Montagnoli, J.M. Bonneville, J.C. Clement, A. Ianniello, and H.J. Beine. 2009. Microorganisms in dry polar snow are involved in the exchanges of reactive nitrogen species with the atmosphere. *Environmental Science and Technology* 44: 714-719.
- Bannister, P., T. Maegli, K.J. Dickinson, S.R. Halloy, A. Knight, J.M. Lord, A.F. Mark, and K.L. Spencer. 2005. Will loss of snow cover during climatic warming expose New Zealand alpine plants to increased frost damage?. Oecologia 144: 245-256.
- Baron, J.S., H.M. Rueth, A.M. Wolfe, et al. 2000. Ecosystem responses to nitrogen deposition in the Colorado Front Range. Ecosystems 3:352-368.
- Bilbrough, C.T., J.M. Welker, and W.D. Bowman. 2000. Early spring nitrogen uptake by snow-covered plants: a comparison of arctic and alpine plant function under the snowpack. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 32:4:404-411.
- Billings, S.A., S.M. Schaeffer, and R.D. Evans. 2002. Trace N gas losses and N mineralization in Mojave Desert soils exposed to elevated CO2. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34:1777-1784.
- Billings, S.A., S.M. Schaeffer, S. Zitzer, T. Charlet, S.D. Smith, and R.D. Evans. 2002. Alterations of nitrogen dynamics under elevated carbon dioxide in an intact Mojave Desert ecosystem: evidence from nitrogen-15 natural abundance. Oecologia 131:463-467.
- Bobbink, R., M. Ashmore, S. Braun, W. Flückiger, and I. J.J. van den Wyngaert. Empirical nitrogen critical loads for natural and semi-natural ecosystems: 2002 update. *Empirical critical loads for nitrogen* 43-170.
- Bowman, W.D., J. Murgel, T. Blett, and E. Porter. 2012. Nitrogen critical loads for alpine vegetation and soils in Rocky Mountain National Park. *Journal of Environmental Management* 103: 165-171.
- Bowman, W.D., D.R. Nemergut, D.M. McKnight, M.P. Miller, and M.W. Williams. 2014. A slide down a slippery slope alpine ecosystem responses to nitrogen deposition. *Plant Ecology and Diversity* 1-12.
- Bowman, W.D. 1992. Input and storage of nitrogen in winter snowpack in an alpine ecosystem. Arctic and Alpine Research 24:211-215.

- Bowman, W.D., Gartner, J.R., Holland, K., and M. Wiedermann. 2006. Nitrogen critical loads for alpine vegetation and terrestrial ecosytsems: are we there yet? Ecological Applications 16:3:1183-1193.
- Brooks, P.D., M.W. Williams, M.W., and S.K. Schmidt. 1995. Microbial activity under alpine snowpacks, Niwot Ridge, Colorado. Biogeochemistry 32:9:93-113.
- Brooks, P.D., M.W., Williams, M.W., and S.K. Schmidt. 1998. Inorganic nitrogen and microbial biomass dynamics before and during spring snowmelt. Biogeochemistry 43:1:1-15.
- Brooks, P.D., and M.W. Williams. 1999. Snowpack controls on nitrogen cycling and export in seasonally snow-covered catchments. Hydrol. Process. 13:2177-2190.
- Campbell, D.H., Baron, J.S., Tonnessen, K.A., Brooks, P.D., and P.F. Schuster. 2000. Controls on nitrogen flux in alpine/subalpine watersheds of Colorado. Water Resources Research 36: 37-47.
- Casciotti, K.L., D.M. Sigman, M. Galanter, et al. 2007. Measurement of the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate in seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method. Anal. Chem. 74:4905-4912.
- Cayan, D.R., M.D. Dettinger, S.A. Kammerdiener, J.M. Caprio, and D.H. Peterson. 2001. Changes in the onset of spring in the western United States. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society 82: 399-415.
- Christensen, L., C.L. Tague, and J.S. Baron. 2008. Spatial patterns of transpiration response to climate variability in a snow dominated mountain ecosystem. Hydrol. Process. 22:3576-3588.
- Cubasch, U., G.A. Meehl, G.J. Boer, et al. 2001. Projections of future climate change. In: Houghton, J.T., Y. Ding, D.G. Griggs, et al. (eds) Climate change 2001: the scientific basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, New York, pg 525-582.
- Dingman, S.L. 1994. Physical Hydrology. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York.
- Dise, N.B., J.J. Rothwell, V. Gauci, C. van der Salm, and W. de Vries. 2009. Predicting dissolved inorganic nitrogen leaching in European forests using two independent databases. *Science of the Total Environment* 4078: 1798-1808.
- Dise, N.B., E. Matzner, and M. Forsius. 1998. Evaluation of organic C:N ratio as an indicator of nitrate leaching in conifer forests across Europe. Environmental Pollution 102:453-456.
- Dijkstra, P., Ishizu, A., Doucett, R., et al. 2006. 13C and 15N natural abundance of the soil microbial biomass. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 38: 3257-3266.

- Douglas, G.W., and L.C. Bliss. 1977. Alpine and high subalpine plant communities of the North Cascades Range, Washington and British Columbia. Ecological Monographs 47:113-150.
- Elliott, E.M., Kendall, C., and D.A. Burns. 2007. Nitrate isotopes in precipitation to distinguish NOx sources, atmospheric processes, and source areas in the United States. Transactions of the American Geophysical Union 87:36.
- Evans, R.D., and R.W. Fonda. 1990. The influence of snow on subalpine meadow community pattern, North Cascades, Washington. Can. J. Bot. 68:212-220.
- Fenn, M.E., J.S. Baron, E.B. Allen, H.M. Rueth, K.R. Nydick, L. Geiser, W.D. Bowman, J.O. Sickman, T. Meixner, D.W. Johnson, and P. Neitlich. 2003. Ecological effects of nitrogen deposition in the western United States. Bioscience 53:4:404-420.
- Filippa, G., M. Freppaz, M.W. Williams, D. Helmig, D. Liptzin, B. Seok, B. Hall, and K. Chowanski. 2009. Winter and summer nitrous oxide and nitrogen oxides fluxes from a seasonally snow-covered subalpine meadow at Niwot Ridge, Colorado. Biogeochemistry 95:131-149.
- Frey, M.M., J. Savarino, S. Morin, J. Erbland, and J.M.F. Martins. 2009. Photolysis imprint in the nitrate stable isotope signal in snow and atmosphere of East Antarctica and implications for reactive nitrogen cycling. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 22:8681-8696.
- Henderson, J.A. 1973. Composition, distribution, and succession of subalpine meadows in Mount Rainier National Park. Ph.D. Dissertation, Oregon State University, Corvallis.
- Howarth, R.W., E.W. Boyer, W.J. Paich, and J.N. Galloway. 2002. Nitrogen use in the United States from 1961-2000 and potential future trends.
- Kendall, C., Elliott, E.M. and S.D. Wankel. 2007. Tracing anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen to ecosystems in Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science 375-449.
- Laird, L.B., Taylor, H.E. and V.C. Kennedy. 1986. Snow chemistry of the Cascade-Sierra Nevada mountains. Environmental science & technology 20: 275-290.
- Larose, C., Dommergue, A. and T.M. Vogel. 2013. Microbial nitrogen cycling in Arctic snowpacks. Environmental Research Letters, 8: 035004.
- Latysh, N.E. and G.A. Wetherbee. 2012. Improved mapping of National Atmospheric Deposition Program wet-deposition in complex terrain using PRISM-gridded data sets. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 184: 913-928.
- Leung, L.R., Y. Qian, X. Bian, W. Washington, J. Han, and J.O. Roads. 2004. Mid-century ensemble regional climate change scenarios for the western United States. Climatic Change 62:75-113.

- Matson, P., K.A. Lohse, and S.J. Hall. 2002. The globalization of nitrogen deposition: consequences for terrestrial ecosystems. Ambio 31:113-119.
- Michalski, G. 2010. Purification procedure for $\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$ and $\Delta^{17}O$ analysis of nitrate. *International Journal of Environmental Analytical Chemistry* 90: 586-590.
- Miller, A.E. and W.D. Bowman. 2003. Alpine plants show species-level differences in the uptake of organic and inorganic nitrogen. Plant and Soil 250: 283-292.
- Mote, P.W. E.P. Salathe. 2010. Future climate in the Pacific Northwest. Climatic change 102:1-2:29-50.
- Mote, P.W., A.F. Hamlet, M.P. Clark, and D.P. Lettenmaier. 2005. Declining mountain snowpack in western North America. Bulletin of the American Metorological Society 86:39-49.
- National Atmospheric Deposition Program (NRSP-3). 2015. NADP Program Office, Illinois State Water Survey, 2204 Griffith Dr., Champaign, IL 61820.
- Pacific Meridian Resources. 1992. Vegetation species in Mount Rainier National Park Image-based vegetation classification and mapping. <nps_mrnp_mrnp_species.html>
- Pardo, L.H., Fenn, M.E., Goodale, C.L., Geiser, L.H., Driscoll, C.T., Allen, E.B., Baron, J.S., Bobbink, R., Bowman, W.D., Clark, C.M. and B. Emmett. 2011. Effects of nitrogen deposition and empirical nitrogen critical loads for ecoregions of the United States. Ecological Applications 21: 3049-3082.
- Pardo, L.H., Robin-Abbott, M.J., Fenn, M.E., Goodale, C.L., Geiser, L.H., Driscoll, C.T., Allen, E.B., Baron, J.S., Bobbink, R., Bowman, W.D. and C.M. Clark. 2015. Effects and empirical critical loads of nitrogen for ecoregions of the United States. In *Critical Loads and Dynamic Risk Assessments* (pp. 129-169). Springer Netherlands.
- Pichlmayer, F., Schöner, W., Seibert, P., Stichler, W. and D. Wagenbach. 1998. Stable isotope analysis for characterization of pollutants at high elevation alpine sites. Atmospheric Environment, 32: 4075-4085.
- Porter, E., Blett, T., Potter, D.U. and Huber, C., 2005. Protecting resources on federal lands: implications of critical loads for atmospheric deposition of nitrogen and sulfur. *BioScience* 55: 603-612.
- Rochefort, R.M. and D.L. Peterson. 1996. Temporal and spatial distribution of trees in subalpine meadows of Mount Rainier National Park, Washington, USA. Arctic and Alpine Research 52-59.

- Tague, C.L., and L.E. Band. 2004. RHESSys: Regional Hydro-Ecologic Simulation System An object-oriented approach to spatially distributed modeling of carbon, water, and nutrient cycling. Earth Interactions 8:19:1-42.
- Tague, C.L., G., Grant, M. Farrell, J. Choate, and A. Jefferson. 2008. Deep groundwater mediates streamflow response to climate warming in the Oregon Cascades. Climatic Change 86:189-210.
- Templer, P.H., Mack, M.C., Chapin III, F.S., et al. 2012. Sinks for nitrogen inputs in terrestrial ecosystems: a meta-analysis of 15N tracer field studies. Ecology 93:8:1816-1829.
- Weathers, K.C. G.M. Lovett, G.E. Likens, and R. Lathrop. 2000. The effect of landscape features on deposition to Hunter Mountain, Catskill Mountains, New York. *Ecological Applications* 10: 528-540.
- Williams, M.W. and J.M. Melack. 1991. Precipitation chemistry in and ionic loading to an Alpine Basin, Sierra Nevada. Water Resources Research 27: 1563-1574.
- Williams, M.W. and K.A. Tonnessen. 2000. Critical loads for inorganic nitrogen deposition in the Colorado Front Range, USA. Ecological Applications 10:164-1655.
- Williams, M.W., Brooks, P.D., Mosier, A., K.A. Tonnessen. 1996. Mineral nitrogen transformations in and under seasonal snow in a high-elevation catchment in the Rocky Mountains, United States. Water Resources Research 32: 3161-3171.

Outreach

As a product of this research, undergraduate students at the WSU Murrow College of Communication joined the primary investigator to profile N deposition research and impacts in MORA through the Backpack Environmental Program. These students filmed segments of the field campaign and laboratory analyses as well as conducted interviews with researchers at WSU and National Park Service staff. Products from this activity included print publications and media, which have been hosted on the WSU Center for Environmental Outreach and Education (CEREO) website and the Murrow College of Communication website.

Products of this Award

- Poinsatte, J., Rochefort, R., and R.D. Evans. 2015. Using isotopic tracers to examine biogeochemical responses to nitrogen deposition in subalpine ecosystems. Oral presentation. Ecological Society of America Annual Meeting, Baltimore, MD.
- Nergui, T., Anderson, S.M., Poinsatte, J., Reyes, J.J., Miller, C.C., Chung, S.H., Evans, R.D., Harrison, J.A., Lamb, B.K., and J.C. Adam. 2015. Understanding excess reactive nitrogen in the environment. Poster presentation. USDA-NIFA AFRI Climate Change Annual Project Director Meeting, Washington, D.C.
- Poinsatte, J., Anderson, S.M., Harlow, B., and R.D. Evans. 2015. Snowpack as a reservoir of nitrogen deposition in subalpine ecosystems of the Cascades. Poster presentation: 1st place student poster competition. 86th Annual Meeting of the Northwest Scientific Association, Pasco, WA.
- Poinsatte, J., Rochefort, R., and R.D. Evans. 2014. Community-specific biogeochemical responses to atmospheric nitrogen deposition in subalpine meadow ecosystems of the Cascade Range. Oral presentation. American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA.
- Poinsatte, J., Reyes, J.J., Tague, C.L., and R.D. Evans. 2014. Evaluating the impacts of climate change on ecosystem response to atmospheric nitrogen deposition in subalpine meadows of the Cascades. Poster presentation. 5th Annual Pacific Northwest Climate Science Conference, Seattle, WA.