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STATE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
2007 ANNUAL REPORT
National Program Goals

Reflecting the goals of the LWCF Act, the goals of the Land and Water Conservation Fund State Assistance Program are:

1. Meet state and locally identified public outdoor recreation resources needs to strengthen the health and vitality of the American People.

2. Increase the number of protected state and local outdoor recreation resources and ensure their availability for public use in perpetuity.

3. Encourage sound planning and long-term partnerships to expand the quantity and ensure the quality of needed state and local outdoor recreation resources.
I am pleased to share this summary of the Land and Water Conservation Fund’s State Assistance Program for Fiscal Year 2007. The program’s accomplishments in 2007 continue to reflect a high level of performance in providing millions of American families with the opportunity to reconnect with the outdoors.

Children need Nature. I believe we must build a bridge between our families and the outdoors, wherever we can and whenever we can. We need to put a fishing rod in our children’s hands. We need to take them out into dew-covered fields at daybreak or on the still waters of a mountain lake at twilight. We need to instill in them the love of nature that later in life will lift them up. For many Americans, this opportunity to reconnect with the outdoors still lies beyond their grasp.

At Interior, we are striving to assure a future for our children, grandchildren and their children in which they can listen to crickets chirping or see a fox scamper across a field. The Land and Water Conservation Fund is fundamental to achieving this goal by helping to provide these special places where families and children can reconnect with nature and with each other. In 2007 alone, the Fund had a direct impact on more than 260 park sites in 43 states, acquiring parklands for recreation and enhancing outdoor recreation facilities. For more than forty years, the Fund has been working in partnership with States and communities to support, enhance and create public parks for the benefit and use of all.

Dirk Kempthorne
Secretary of the Interior
2007 LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND PARK HIGHLIGHTS

In 2007, 262 park and recreation areas benefitted from acquisition of new park land, or the development of new or rehabilitation of existing outdoor recreation and support facilities. Here are some examples.

We want to provide all children, regardless of handicaps or disabilities, with the chance to round the bases on a baseball field, experience the coolness of water on a hot summer afternoon or participate in some other activity that they will long remember and cherish.

– Governor Bob Riley, Alabama

Santa Cruz County, California:
Henry Cowell Redwoods State Park

Ruth Coleman, Director, California State Parks, Ruskin Hartley, Executive Director of Save the Redwoods League, and Jon Jarvis, Pacific West Regional Director, National Park Service, celebrate a $900,000 LWCF grant supporting purchase of 307 acres of rare biota for Henry Cowell Redwoods State Park. The Save the Redwoods League raised $1.6 million to complete the purchase of this acquisition. Since 1965, LWCF has assisted in the acquisition of over 210,733 acres in California.

Lewiston, Idaho: Modie Wildlife Park

Since 2000, Idaho has received more than $5.9 million in LWCF grants to fund such projects as Modie Park and new campgrounds and camper cabins at Farragut State Park.
When you walk into the playground today, it will be one of the most magical feelings in your life, when you see, perhaps your own child, playing side by side and having fun with all the other children.

– Dave Reynolds, Director of Parks and Recreation, Lake St. Louis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land and Water Conservation Fund 2007 Achievements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Grant Dollars Awarded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Matching Dollars Leveraged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Recreation Areas Funded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. New Acres Permanently Protected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*includes some prior year funds
LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS IN FY 2007

No single perspective adequately tells the whole story of the Land and Water Conservation Fund’s impact, but collectively our performance measures provide a better picture of the program’s wide-ranging impacts:

Direct Community Impact

In FY 2007, 43 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands obligated $38.1 million in LWCF federal grant monies to acquire recreation lands, and to develop new and upgrade outdoor recreation facilities. This much-needed assistance made a direct impact on park and recreation facilities in 255 local communities and helped encourage active participation to strengthen the health and vitality of the citizens of the United States (Public Law 88-578).

New Park Lands Permanently Protected

In FY 2007, a total of 21,140 new acres were made available for recreation use and enjoyment. In many communities, these acres mean brand new parks and recreation facilities. Examples are included in the Project Sites list at the end of this report.

Building and Upgrading Parks

For most communities, meeting citizen needs for recreation and physical activity is a three-fold challenge: acquiring land for recreation, developing new recreation facilities and improving existing facilities. In FY 2007, 231 local park and recreation areas were enhanced with grants to develop new or rehabilitate existing outdoor recreation and support facilities. Almost 75% of these LWCF-assisted sites (173) benefitted from entirely new recreation facilities, site improvements or enhancements.

Protecting Parks Forever

Finally, beyond direct assistance to acquire, develop and enhance facilities, every assisted site is protected against unauthorized conversion to non-recreation use to ensure the federal and state/local investment remains available, not just for today’s citizens but for all future generations of Americans. In FY 2007 the legacy of the LWCF stewardship protection was expanded by 132 parks and 27,453 acres.

Your assistance made our dream of a new pool come true. With small communities these dreams are accomplished only with strong community support and great programs such as LWCF.

— Margaret A. Sheldon, Hemingford Village Administrator

Sitka, Alaska: Crescent Harbor Park
land and water conservation fund: accomplishments in 2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National Program Goal</th>
<th>Performance Goal</th>
<th>Performance Measure</th>
<th>Success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Meet state and locally identified public outdoor recreation resources needs to strengthen the health and vitality of the American People.</td>
<td>1. Increase the quantity of outdoor recreation resources for public use and enjoyment.</td>
<td>• Number of new parks created for public outdoor recreation use and enjoyment.</td>
<td>19 parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of new acres of land and water made available for public outdoor recreation use and enjoyment.</td>
<td>21,140 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of parks where new outdoor recreation facilities were developed.</td>
<td>173 parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Enhance the quality of outdoor recreation resources for public use and enjoyment.</td>
<td>• Number of parks enhanced through new development or rehabilitation of outdoor recreation and support facilities.</td>
<td>231 parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Ensure close-to-home public outdoor recreation resources.</td>
<td>• Number of local jurisdictions where LWCF grant projects are located.</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increase the number of protected state and local outdoor recreation resources and ensure their availability for public use in perpetuity.</td>
<td>4. Increase the number of acres of protected outdoor recreation resources for public use and enjoyment.</td>
<td>• Number of new acres protected.</td>
<td>27,453 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of new sites protected under the LWCF Program.</td>
<td>132 sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Ensure that outdoor recreation resources funded through the LWCF Program are retained and used for public outdoor recreation in perpetuity.</td>
<td>• Number of LWCF projects inspected and determined to be open for public outdoor recreation use.</td>
<td>4380 projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Percent of inspected acres funded by the LWCF determined to be open for public outdoor recreation use.</td>
<td>100% of inspected acres*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Encourage sound planning and long-term partnerships to expand the quantity and ensure the quality of needed state and local outdoor recreation resources.</td>
<td>6. Stimulate planning initiatives to help states identify outdoor recreation needs and establish the implementation strategies to meet those needs.</td>
<td>• Total number of approved SCORP plans.</td>
<td>53 plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Number of SCORP plans that were updated in 2007.</td>
<td>4 plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7. Leverage local and state matching investments that support outdoor recreation projects.</td>
<td>• Number of projects that exceed the 50% non-federal match.</td>
<td>38 projects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes converted sites that have been replaced or for which replacement property is pending.
# The National Need for Parks and Recreation: $15.6 Billion in 2007

To measure the national need for public outdoor recreation facilities and parkland acquisition at the state and local level, the National Park Service annually requests each state to estimate the total amount of requests for financial assistance that were not funded for the past fiscal year. With all fifty states responding, this chart demonstrates a consistent picture of increasing national demand for recreation facility development and parkland acquisition funding: 84% of the states reported an unmet funding need exceeding 80%. This year’s substantial increase in estimated unmet need from the 2006 report reflects an advance in our ongoing dialogue with states about estimating their true unmet need, not simply the amount of unfunded requests for Land and Water Conservation Funding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>2007 LWCF Apportionment</th>
<th>Unmet Need*</th>
<th>% Unmet Need</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>$440,119</td>
<td>$45,000,000</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>$252,979</td>
<td>$2,636,443</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>$535,156</td>
<td>$8,566,515</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>$349,311</td>
<td>$4,762,175</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>$2,380,448</td>
<td>$1,698,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>$478,347</td>
<td>$440,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>$428,517</td>
<td>$81,000,000</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>$265,469</td>
<td>$15,450,000</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>$1,209,163</td>
<td>$8,263,069,816</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>$673,828</td>
<td>$6,165,000</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>$295,549</td>
<td>$34,972,379</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>$288,915</td>
<td>$7,687,330</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>$982,020</td>
<td>$4,895,700</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>$428,517</td>
<td>$81,000,000</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>$420,485</td>
<td>$28,775,700</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>$420,485</td>
<td>$8,900,144</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>$469,166</td>
<td>$125,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>$275,733</td>
<td>$12,500,000</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>$541,312</td>
<td>$112,196,308</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>$617,517</td>
<td>$23,544,714</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>$781,981</td>
<td>$63,165,896</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>$491,313</td>
<td>$21,713,750</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>$353,733</td>
<td>$9,200,000</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>$525,507</td>
<td>$6,754,275</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>$263,863</td>
<td>$248,138,626</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td>$313,356</td>
<td>$14,890,000</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>$344,916</td>
<td>$8,033,424</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>$282,574</td>
<td>$460,000</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>$756,089</td>
<td>$210,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>$322,708</td>
<td>$15,100,000</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>$1,382,142</td>
<td>$707,347,559</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>$632,846</td>
<td>$1,194,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
<td>$251,523</td>
<td>$3,700,000</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>$873,208</td>
<td>$3,296,445</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>$403,132</td>
<td>$1,197,967</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>$418,280</td>
<td>$123,876</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>$925,319</td>
<td>$43,200,000</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>$285,102</td>
<td>$8,745,879</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>$425,922</td>
<td>$300,000,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>$256,018</td>
<td>$7,176,525</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>$518,680</td>
<td>$1,060,290,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>$1,459,197</td>
<td>$31,200,000</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>$356,984</td>
<td>$126,500,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>$245,935</td>
<td>$15,400,000</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>$615,971</td>
<td>$955,900</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>$568,806</td>
<td>$60,617,189</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>$303,067</td>
<td>$15,765,000</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>$510,695</td>
<td>$28,475,708</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>$245,789</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>$63,947</td>
<td>$47,106,981</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guam</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$2,919,000</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Marianas</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>$417,984</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgin Islands</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$31,200,000</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $27,994,976 $15,640,634,650 99.8%
A CLOSER LOOK AT THE NATIONAL NEED IN 2007

In addition to estimating the unmet need for outdoor recreation facilities and parkland acquisition, the National Park Service asked each State to provide examples of unfunded projects. Here is a sampling of what we learned:

Colorado
In Colorado, where the unmet need was estimated at $440 million, there were more than 40 unfunded projects, including the Gunnison Riverwalk which will establish a safe, new alternative trail and route for children and adults to get from approximately 2.5 miles north of Gunnison to the schools in Gunnison and to the City’s bike paths, sidewalks and public infrastructure. By establishing the trail, pedestrians and bikers will avoid direct travel on the shoulder of State Highway 135, the main thoroughfare from Gunnison to Crested Butte.

Florida
As evidenced by overwhelming support for local ballot measures to create funding for parks throughout the State, Floridians clearly recognize and support parks. But the State’s growing population continues to fuel a huge demand for parks and recreation facilities at the local, county and state level. The basic components of Florida’s $8.3 billion estimate include new facilities 45%, land acquisition 32%, and renovation 23%.

Louisiana
Louisiana’s estimate of $125 million incorporates the unfunded totals for development of New Orleans City Park, Palmetto Island State Park, Tunica Hills State Preservation Area, recovery of recreational facilities still in need of support in the aftermath of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, and refurbishment of deteriorating municipal pools and tennis facilities.

Minnesota
Almost half of Minnesota’s $21.7 million in unmet needs is for state trail acquisition and development, acquisition of state park in-holdings, public water access and fishing piers. Local government acquisition and development needs for natural and scenic areas and regional parks account for the remainder.

Vermont
$8.8 million of Vermont’s $15.4 million estimate is focused on caring for historic features located within the park system. Vermonters value their rich cultural history, and much of the state park system’s historic infrastructure has become a cherished symbol. On the municipal level, Burlington, Essex, Manchester, Milton, Shelburne, South Burlington, Thetford, White River Junction and Windsor identified $5.6 million in a variety of planned and ready-to-go projects, ranging from ballfield upgrades to playground equipment replacement to the creation of new community parks.
What Do Parks Mean to Me?
(Words and images from Oklahoma’s SCORP public outreach)

“Oklahoma parks make me feel like I need to shout ‘WOW!’”

“It’s fun to just lie in the grass and look at the sky trying to spot clouds shaped like things.”

“Do you want to slip back into childhood? Get away from everyday life and have fun or maybe just relax? This is the reason for parks!”

“I get to exercise at Hafer Park. I can skateboard and stretch. I try to do my double kick-flip on the half-pipe. Parks make me feel happy.”
The investment of the Land and Water Conservation Fund in our nation’s outdoor recreation landscape extends far beyond the physical impact and stewardship of more than 40,000 park and recreation projects. A less visible, but no less important, aspect of the LWCF program is the statewide recreation planning provision which guides project development. Since its inception in the 1960s, LWCF’s statewide planning requirement* has played an influential role in the growth of outdoor recreation planning and related research that continues today.

By design, the SCORP approach is comprehensive and flexible, and emphasizes broad-based public participation which has stood the test of time. States and U.S. Territories have used the SCORP process to analyze relevant trends and issues in outdoor recreation that have statewide and national significance. It is no surprise that recent SCORP plans are examining the goal of reconnecting children and their families to outdoor recreation and nature. Here are three current examples.

**Oklahoma**

To better understand opinions about outdoor recreation, the Oklahoma SCORP combined the traditional facts and figures analysis with a statewide essay and drawing contest that asked citizens to answer “What do parks mean to me?” Researchers conducted a qualitative analysis of the huge response from people of all ages and racial/ethnic groups. The responses, especially from Oklahoma’s youth, create a compelling image of the importance of outdoor experiences in building healthy relationships, creating memories and hope for the future, and enhancing personal development and quality of life.

*Outdoor recreation is a cornerstone of the state economy; it contributes to health and overall quality of life for all Coloradans…. Developing recommendations to connect youth to the outdoors will be an important outcome of the Colorado SCORP process.*

— Lieutenant Governor Barbara O’Brien

---

*States are required to produce a Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP) every five years to maintain their eligibility to participate in the Land and Water Conservation Fund.*
Wimberley, Texas: Blue Hole Regional Park

Martha Knies, long-time Village of Wimberley volunteer, points out a rare chatterbox orchid (Epipactis gigantean) on the banks of Cypress Creek. A $1.9 million LWCF grant supported acquisition for the Village of Wimberley’s first public recreation area, in partnership with Hays County Parks, Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas Parks and Wildlife, Trust for Public Land, and many foundations and individuals.

Oregon

Oregon undertook two studies. First, a statewide survey of Oregon youth and their parents to better understand past, current, and expected youth outdoor recreation patterns as well as parental perceptions of safety and access to natural areas, and a research study to explore the opinions and thoughts directly from youth. The State also created a youth steering committee that identified key recommendations for getting Oregon’s youth more involved in the outdoors.

Iowa

Iowa is developing implementation actions and measures that are focused on getting children outdoors, along with their families and others that are based on the State’s “no child left inside” SCORP priority.

Virginia

During Virginia’s SCORP meetings, citizens expressed concern that today’s children are not interacting in nature as they had in the past. Virginia’s new SCORP discusses the growing body of research that links mental, physical and spiritual health directly to an association with nature and suggests that exposure to nature can be beneficial for attention deficit disorders, depression and obesity. The SCORP makes recommendations for state agencies to create more opportunities for personal experiences with natural resources, especially for children.

Visit us at nps.gov/lwcf

The LWCF website provides current program information, including announcements on state apportionments, LWCF project highlights, program contacts, the LWCF grants manual, and all federal forms needed for a LWCF project. In addition, there is a link to a comprehensive listing of all funded projects to date. The list includes summary reports by year and by state as well as listings by state and county.
Parks, trails, fields, and recreation centers define the shape and feel of our neighborhoods, and are the drivers of healthy lifestyles and livable communities. An investment in our parks, open space and environment is one that continues to provide the highest return to our citizens.

– Eleanor Warmack, Executive Director, Florida Recreation and Park Association
The Land and Water Conservation Fund is a visionary program established by Congress in 1965 to preserve, develop and assure accessibility to quality outdoor recreation resources for active participation in recreation and “to strengthen the health and vitality of the citizens of the United States (Public Law 88-578).”

Projects are listed by county with congressional districts in parentheses. Images featured in this section highlight current and recently completed LWCF projects. A State may not be represented in this park list – an occurrence due most frequently to a decision to combine its apportionment from successive years in order to have sufficient funds for a desired project(s). States have three years to obligate funds to new projects once made available to them.

**Alabama**

- **Baldwin**
  - Fairhope Municipal Park (1)
- **Cherokee**
  - Leesburg Town Park (3)
- **Chilton**
  - Clanton City Park (6)
- **Coffee**
  - Heflin Smith Sports Complex (2)
- **Cullman**
  - Vivian B. Allen Park (4)
- **Dale**
  - Clayhatchee Recreational Park (2)
- **Dekalb**
  - Union Park (4)
- **Fayette**
  - Belk Community Park (4)
- **Franklin**
  - Red Bay City Park (4)
- **Lauderdale**
  - Killen Park (5)
- **Lee**
  - Smiths Station Sports Complex (3)
  - W.J. Calhoun Tennis Center (3)
- **Morgan**
  - Delano Park (5)
  - Veteran’s Park (4)
- **Randolph**
  - Woodland Sports Complex (3)

**St. Joseph, Louisiana, will now have a pavilion for outside events for all citizens of this area of the Delta with high unemployment and high poverty. Small communities in the Delta are often overlooked for this type of project. We could not afford to have this facility on our own but LWCF helped us make it possible.**

  – Mayor Edward L. Brown, St. Joseph, Louisiana

- **Saint Clair**
  - Lakeside Park (6)
- **Pinal**
  - Lost Dutchman State Park (6)
- **Alaska**
  - Anchorage
    - Chugach State Park
  - Fairbanks North Star
    - Tanana Lakes Recreation Area
  - Nome
    - Nome Outdoor Sports Complex
  - **Sitka**
    - Turnaround Park
- **Arkansas**
  - Bradley
    - Warren Prairie Natural Area (4)
  - Garland
    - Children’s Discovery Garden (4)
  - Miller
    - Sandhills Natural Area (4)
  - Polk
    - Recreational & Cultural Complex (4)
- **Arizona**
  - Mohave
    - Rotary Park (2)
  - Navajo
    - Mountain Meadow Recreation Complex (1)
  - **Fresno**
    - Al Radka Park (21)
    - Calwa Park (20)
    - Millerton Lake State Recreation Area (19)
    - Pioneer Park (21)
  - **Imperial**
    - Jake James Municipal Sports Park (51)
  - **Kern**
    - McCray Park (22)
  - **Los Angeles**
    - Golden Shore Marine Biological Reserve Park (46)
    - Maywood Park (34)
    - Sycamore Canyon Park (42)
  - **Merced**
    - Walnut Sports Complex (18)
  - **Orange**
    - Prentice Park (48)

Projects listed by county; number in parentheses refers to congressional district.
### Arkansas

Arkansas depends on LWCF to help meet the State’s basic recreation needs, particularly in rural areas. In addition, the program’s 6f provision protects most of Arkansas’ state and local parks from development and conversion of use for outdoor recreation purposes.

— Bryan Kellar, Arkansas Department of Parks

Many great birding locations—refuges, parks and forests—owe their very existence to the LWCF. The Birding Community E-Bulletin September 2007

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County</th>
<th>Parks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Riverside</td>
<td>Reid Park (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Bernardino</td>
<td>Sunset Ridge Park (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Raintree Park (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Obispo</td>
<td>Beachcomber Drive Park (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Mateo</td>
<td>Laurelwood Park (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Barbara</td>
<td>Santa Maria River Park (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Henry Cowell Redwoods State Park (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanislaus</td>
<td>River Bluff Regional Park (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutter</td>
<td>Date Street Park (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>New Haven Silver Sands State Park (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>New Castle Bellevue State Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>Bryan Ft. McAllister State Historic Park (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tattnall Gordonia Alatamaha State Park (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wheeler Little Ocmulgee State Park (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawaii</td>
<td>Honolulu Geiger Community Park (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kauai Kekaha Gardens Park (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>Ada Veterans Memorial Park (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>Henderson Henderson Creek Park (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>Dubois Central Park (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>Adams Lake Icaria (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>Miami Hillsdale State Park (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>Adair Arnold’s Landing (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects listed by county; number in parentheses refers to congressional district.
Anderson County Park (6)
Christian Trail of Tears Park (1)
Gordon Park (1)

Clinton Mountain View Park (1)

Fulton Magnolia Bluff (1)

Letcher Jenkins Park (5)

Lyon Kuttawa City Park (1)

Madison Lake Reba Recreational Complex (6)

Nicholas East End Park (4)

Powell Natural Bridge State Park (6)

Robertson Lions Club Park (4)

Shelby Clear Creek Park (2)
Shelby County Skate Park (2)

Trigg County Recreation Complex (1)

Washington Bogalusa Town Square / Walking Trail (1)
Fredericktown Community Park (2)

Whitley Corbin Sports Park (5)

Corbin Sports Park (5)

Louisiana
Acadia Gossen Memorial Park (7)
Assumption Belle River Recreational Complex (3)
East Baton Rouge LSU Lakeshore Pedestrian & Bike Trail (6)
Saint Mary Bayou Vista Central Park (3)
Tangipahoa North Tangipahoa Recreation Area (1)
Tensas St. Joseph Amphitheater & Recreation Park (5)

Washington Parish Recreational Park (1)

Maine
Aroostook Fort Fairfield Swimming Pool (2)
Cumberland Willard School Park (1)
Penobscot Children’s Pond Park (2)
Dakin Pool (2)
Piscataquis Katahdin Iron Works (2)

Washington Danforth Recreation Area (2)
York Clifford Park (1)

Maryland
Baltimore Rolling Mill Farm (2)

Wicomico Ruff Property (1)

Massachusetts
Middlesex Willard Brook State Forest (1)

Worcester Purgatory Chasm State Reservation (2)

Sagadahoc Russell Hill Town Forest (1)

Michigan
Berrien Eaton Park (6)

Cheboygan Depot Park (1)

Clinton Kibbee Street Park (8)

South Carolina: Myrtle Beach State Park
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Park/Recreation Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>Crow Wing</td>
<td>Mississippi River Overlook (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>Adair</td>
<td>North Park Complex (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atchison</td>
<td>Tarkio Outdoor Recreation Facility (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Barry</td>
<td>Rocky Edmundson Park (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caldwell</td>
<td>Kingston Outdoor Recreation Facility (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>Oak Grove Park (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daviess</td>
<td>Jamesport Playground (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greene</td>
<td>Howard Smith Community Park (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Howard</td>
<td>New Franklin Community Park (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasper</td>
<td></td>
<td>Oronogo City Park (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td></td>
<td>Northwest Sports Complex (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flower City Park (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newton</td>
<td></td>
<td>Riverview Park (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Charles</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cottleville City Park (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saint Louis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Heman Park (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Laverne Collins Park (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taney</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wilhoit Park (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td></td>
<td>West Side Park (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>Cascade</td>
<td>Giant Springs State Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer Lodge</td>
<td></td>
<td>Washoe Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jefferson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Whitehall Water Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison</td>
<td></td>
<td>Madison County Fairgrounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td>Glasgow School Playground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cherry</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ecology Park (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holt</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stuart City Park (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jefferson</td>
<td></td>
<td>City Park (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merrick</td>
<td></td>
<td>South Park (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillsborough</td>
<td></td>
<td>Senior’s Riverfront Park (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Temple Mountain (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dayton Valley, Nevada: Events Center
Projects listed by county; number in parentheses refers to congressional district.

Merrimack
- Watson Park (1)

Rockingham
- Harborside Park (1)

Strafford
- Jackson’s Landing Park (1)

Sullivan
- Riverside Park (2)

New York

Nassau
- Jones Beach State Park (4)

Nevada

Lyon
- Dayton Valley Events Center (2)
- Santa Maria Ranch (2)

North Dakota

Mercer
- Beulah Bay Recreation Area

Ohio

Auglaize
- Waynesfield Community Park (4)

Cuyahoga
- Forest Ridge Preserve (10)

Geauga
- South Russell Park (14)

Hocking
- Wiseman Property Park (4)

Mercer
- Franklin Township Nature Park (8)

Miami
- Newton Township Park (8)

Putnam
- New Park (5)

Stark
- Fasnacht Park (16)

Vinton
- Rema Forest (6)

Oklahoma

Caddo
- Kiwanis Sports Complex (3)

Comanche
- Fletcher Community Park (4)

Grant
- Koch Park (3)

Okfuskee
- Boley Historical Park (2)

Oregon

Marion
- Porter-Boone Park (5)

Morrow
- Irrigon City Park (2)

Multnomah
- Pier Park (3)

Washington
- L.L. Stub Stewart State Park (1)

Pennsylvania

York
- Days Mill Road Park (19)

Rhode Island

Bristol
- Lincoln Avenue Tennis Facility (1)

Washington
- Salty Brine Beach (1)

South Carolina

Charleston
- Memorial Waterfront Park (1)
- Palmetto Islands County Park (1)
- Pickett Bridge Recreation Area (1)

Florence
- Woods Bay State Natural Area (6)

South Dakota

Deuel
- Lake Cochrane Recreation Area

Lincoln
- Newton Hills State Park

McCook
- Lake Vermillion Recreation Area

Minnehaha
- Big Sioux Recreation Area
- Palisades State Park
Day
Pickerel Lake Recreation Area
Gregory
Buryanek Recreation Area
Hand
Lake Louise Recreation Area
Lake
Lake Herman State Park
Lawrence
Spearfish Canyon Nature Area

**Tennessee**
Anderson
Town Springs Park (3)
Marshall
Henry Horton State Park (6)
Roane
Caney Creek Park (3)
Sumner
Triple Creek Park (6)

**Texas**
Archer
City Park (13)
Collin
South Lake Park (4)
Dawson
North 22nd Street Park (11)
Fayette
Muehlbrad-Albers City Park (25)
Fort Bend
Fort Bend County Regional Park (14)
Gregg
Weldon Bumblebee Park (1)
Harris
Sims Woods Park (9)
Hays
San Marcos Springs Conservation Park (21)
Jackson
Martin Luther King Jr. Park (14)
McCulloch
Richards Park (11)
Milam
Sumuel Park (11)
Montgomery
Spring Creek Greenway (2)
Nueces
City Park (27)

Tacoma, Washington: Jack Hyde Park
Image: Kristina Hipner

Rockwall
Sports Complex (4)
Tarrant
City Park (6)
Taylor
Community Park (17)
Terry
Kiddie Park (19)
Van Zandt
City Park (5)
Wilbarger
Allingham Park (13)
Williamson
Benbrook Ranch Park (31)
East Williamson County Park (31)

Washington
Natural Playground, Thatcher Brook School
U-32 High School Community Tennis Courts

**Virginia**
Albemarle
Mint Springs Valley Park (5)
Scott
Grogan Park (9)
Warren
Warren County Skate Park (10)

**Vermont**
Caledonia
Waterford Elementary School Playground
Chittenden
Sand Hill Park Sprayground

**West Virginia**
Cabell
Ritter Park (3)
Marshall
Grand Vue Park (1)
Ohio
Oglebay Park (1)
Putnam
Putnam County Park (2)
Raleigh
Thornhill Courts Recreation Park (3)
Wood
Southwood Park (1)

**Wisconsin**
Chippewa
Lake Wissota State Park (7)
Kenosha
Lake Elizabeth (1)

**District Of Columbia**
Shaw Park

**Guam**
Guam Sports Complex

**Puerto Rico**
Boqueron Vacational Center
Maricao State Forest Vacational Center

**Virgin Islands**
Alvin McBean Recreational Complex

A state may not be represented in the above park list – an occurrence due most frequently to a decision to combine its apportionment from successive years in order to have sufficient funds for a desired project(s). States have three years to obligate funds to new projects once made available to them.

*Projects listed by county; number in parentheses refers to congressional district.*
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