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**RUBY LEE**

**BASIC INFORMATION**

Claimant: Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann  
874 Beverly Glen Blvd.  
Los Angeles, California 90024

Reason for Examination: General examination of all unpatented claims in National Parks

Subject: Validity of mining claims

Lands Involved: One unpatented mining lode claim and one unpatented millsite known as the Ruby Lee claim and Ruby Lee millsite, comprising a total of approximately 20 acres and 5 acres, respectively.

Location: The lode claim is in Section 2, T. 4 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M. and the millsite is about five airline miles easterly in Section 10, T. 4 S., R. 11 E., S.B.M., all in Joshua Tree National Monument, Twenty-Nine Palms, California.

See Exhibits 1, 2 and 3.

Mining District: Unknown (if any).


Accompanied by: Jerry Moore, Ranger, National Park Service, and Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann

Contacts:  
Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann, Letter 1-30-73  
Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann, Reply 2-5-73  
Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann, Letter 2-9-73  
Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann, Reply 2-12-73
RUBY LEE CLAIM & MILLSITE

SUMMARY

The purpose of this mineral report is to serve as a basis of recommendation for determination of the validity of the Ruby Lee lode claim and the Ruby Lee millsite located in Joshua Tree National Monument, Riverside County, California.

Based upon a field examination and sampling of the vein on the lode claim and mineral economics involved, these claims, in my opinion, are invalid because minerals have not been found within the limits of the lode claim to constitute any valid discovery. The millsite is dependent upon this lode claim. At this time, under known circumstances, it cannot be expected that a profitable mine could be developed on the Ruby Lee Claim, nor would a prudent man pursue it.

It is recommended that a contest be issued. The claimants to be served with the contest are:

Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann
(A. A. & Doris E. L. Dietemann)
874 N. Beverly Glen Blvd.
Los Angeles, Calif. 90024

Paul H. Knowles
Mining Engineer
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INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to determine the validity of the Ruby Lee lode claim and the Ruby Lee millsite in Joshua Tree National Monument, Twenty-Nine Palms, California. This examination was requested by the Superintendent, Joshua Tree National Monument and was accomplished through the Office of Mining and Minerals, National Park Service. The claims are located in the south-central part of Joshua Tree National Monument. Land in this monument was withdrawn on August 10, 1936 by P. L. 2193.

Initial contacts were made by mail with the claimants, which subsequently led to the examination in their presence by writer who was accompanied by a National Park Service Ranger. Samples were taken at locations pointed out by the claimant as key sites. Samples were subsequently assayed by a non-government, independent, competent assayer (see Assay Certificates, Exhibit 4).

INSPECTION OF THE CLAIMS

The Ruby Lee millsite (see Exhibits 1 and 2) was initially visited February 2, 1973 by writer, accompanied by Jerry Moore, N.P.S. Ranger. Following two letters and two
telephone contacts, we met with Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann at the millsite the morning of March 13, 1973, where the writer explained the meaning of a validity examination. We then drove to the Ruby Lee lode claim (see Exhibits 1, 2 and 3), a distance of about 8.5 miles via a poor road to a "no road" in Porcupine Wash; all via four-wheel drive. Claim was finally reached and identified (after some reluctance and indecision by Mr. Dietemann); three monuments were found by writer and Ranger, two of which had old Ruby Lee claim papers in metal cans. Mr. Dietemann said that he had not been to the claim for several years.

The lode claim was examined on March 13, 1973, by writer, accompanied by claimants, Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann and U.S.N.P.S. Ranger Jerry Moore. The millsite was also examined on same date as well as on February 2, 1973. A total of about 12 hours was spent on the total examination. A total of seven representative samples were taken at locations identified by Mr. Dietemann as his Discovery Points as well as the best mineralized areas.
DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF CLAIMS

The claims are composed of the following:

Ruby Lee unpatented millsite claim
This claim has dimensions of 726 feet by 300 feet, aggregating about five acres and is located at the Ruby Water Well (dry) on unsurveyed land in the NE\(^4\) of Section 10, T. 4 S., R. 11 E., S.B.M. The claim is reached via Joshua Tree National Monument Road via the Pinto Basin Road; 31 miles southerly from Twenty-Nine Palms to the dirt access road on the west side of the paved road in Section 1, T. 4 S., R. 11 E., S.B.M.; then westerly on the dirt road for 2.5 miles to the millsite.

Ruby Lee unpatented lode claim
This claim has dimensions of approximately 1500 feet by 600 feet, aggregating about 20 acres and is located (see Exhibit 2) about five airline miles N. 80° W. from the Ruby Lee millsite, in the center of Section 2, T. 4 S., R. 10 E., S.B.M.

The countryside is typical arid desert type with cacti, some sagebrush and few trees. There is no water on the lode claim and the well on the millsite is dry; reported to have gone dry after one of the earthquakes of the recent past. Some water is found at a depth of about 185 feet.
in U.S.G.S. identified well #27Q1 at the Cottonwood Well, about eight miles southerly from the Ruby Lee millsite.

These claims are currently claimed and Proofs of Labor are being filed by Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann, 874 N. Beverly Glen Blvd., Los Angeles, California 90024 (phone 213-474-9705).
HISTORY AND PRODUCTION.

These claims were originally filed (see Location Data, Conveyance Data, and Proof of Labor, Exhibits 7 through 13) in 1936 by Ruby Lee Rule and acquired by Mr. & Mrs. A. A. Dietemann in 1948. However, Mr. Dietemann stated that he had been a participant in the claims activities for some years prior to 1948.

There are only two small open cuts on the lode claim, (see details under Workings and Facilities), about 75 tons of selected mineralized rock is piled at the mill-site; however, Mr. A. A. Dietemann stated that only a portion of this material came from the Ruby Lee claim.

There is no record of any production and Mr. A. A. Dietemann states he has no mill, smelter or other type of records of any production. From observation of the open cuts on the lode claim, only a few tons have been removed.
GEOLOGY AND MINERALIZATION

These claims are located in the eastern part of the Hexie Mountains which are composed principally of Pre-Cambrian Pinto (?) gneiss, later intruded by Jurassic granodiorite. The area has predominant east-west faulting.

The oldest rocks are the Pre-Cambrian gneiss composed of regionally-metamorphosed meta-sediments, meta-volcanics and meta-igneous rocks. Banding is prominent and grain size varies from fine to coarse. This gneiss, although not fully identified as the same as the prominent Pre-Cambrian Pinto Gneiss in the western part of Joshua Tree National Monument, is probably closely-related, if not the same formation. Coarse-grained to medium-grained granodiorite intruded the extreme eastern part of the Hexie Mountains in Jurassic time. Some minor amounts of Cretaceous quartz monzonite also occurs. Aplite dikes and some irregular pegmatitic intrusions are noted. East-west faults are predominant features of the area; principally the Blue-Cut Fault extending through Pinto Basin and a lesser shear known as the Porcupine Wash Fault, along with some minor tear faults.

The Ruby Lee lode claim is located in the Pre-Cambrian gneiss about four miles west of the nearest granodiorite-gneiss contact.
A quartz vein was noted within the limits of the claim at two separate open cuts along the strike about 350 feet apart. The vein varies from two to five feet thick, dipping from $35^\circ$ to $45^\circ$ N.E. with a strike of N. $20^\circ$ W. The vein is composed of hard quartz with very little gouge and paralleling a micro-diorite dike and in general parallels the schistosity of the Pinto gneiss in the immediate area.
SAMPLING AND ASSAY RESULTS

Writer and N.P.S. Park Ranger Jerry Moore contacted Mr. and Mrs. Dietemann at the Ruby Lee millsite cabin on March 13, 1973 at which time writer thoroughly explained the meaning of a validity examination. Mr. and Mrs. Dietemann had considerable difficulty in finding the claim; in fact Mr. Dietemann seemed reluctant to show us any mineral occurrences or workings. We arrived at the end of the old road where Mr. Dietemann said that the claim we were then on was not the Ruby Lee. We then doubled back over the rough road for a mile or so at which time Mr. Dietemann appeared to be uncertain where he was and what to do. Writer then very strongly emphasized the importance for him to show us his claim and Discovery Point. He then led us back to the end of the road which we had just left; he then said that this is the Ruby Lee claim and showed us his Discovery Point and another small open end as well as two claim corners which had old Ruby Lee claim notices.

A total of seven representative samples (see Photographs – Exhibits 5 and 6) were taken by writer in presence of Mr. Dietemann. Following are descriptions of the samples and the Assay Results (also see Exhibits 3 and 4):
R.L. #1
Channel sample across 36" of a pegmatitic quartz lens in the gneiss.

Gold Trace
Silver 0.02 oz./ton

R.L. #2
Channel sample across the five foot width of quartz vein at Discovery Point. Mr. Dietemann said he thought this contained some platinum.

Gold Trace
Silver Trace
Platinum Nil

R.L. #3
Channel sample across the three foot width of vein at Discovery Point, ten feet up dip from R.L. #2.

Gold 0.005 oz./ton
Silver 0.42 oz./ton

R.L. #4
Channel sample across the two feet of quartz vein along the south side of the road near center of claim.

Gold Trace
Silver 0.08 oz./ton
Lead Trace

R.L. #5
Channel sample across two feet of quartz vein up dip three feet from R.L. #6.

Gold 0.01 oz./ton
Silver 0.02 oz./ton
Lead Trace
R.L. #6
Channel sample of eighteen inches of footwall about three feet down dip from R.L. #5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.03 oz./ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R.L. #7
Channel sample of eighteen inches of footwall below vein in altered zone about two feet up dip from R.L. #5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>0.01 oz./ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver</td>
<td>0.02 oz./ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mr. Dietemann said there were no other places on the claim that had been opened up and could be sampled; this was confirmed by writer's observation.

In summary, the samples assayed from a trace to a maximum of 0.01 oz./ton in gold and from a trace to a maximum of 0.42 oz./ton in silver (found only in one sample).

Some selected pieces of rock containing some galena were piled near the open cut from which samples R.L. #4, #5, #6 and #7 were taken, but subsequent assay of the channel samples taken showed only traces of lead. Mr. Dietemann also stated that the vein contained platinum; an assay showed nil on platinum.

On a previous trip on February 2, 1973, N.P.S. Ranger, Jerry Moore, and writer visited the millsite where we
noted a pile of "high-grade?" near the cabin. This was sampled by random grab by writer in twelve different points which assayed gold at 0.28 oz./ton and silver at 1.08 oz./ton. Mr. Dietemann later said that this pile of rock came from various claims and places, including some, but not all from the Ruby Lee lode claim. The pile was estimated to contain about 75 tons of rock.
ASSAY RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>Gold oz./ton</th>
<th>Silver oz./ton</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R.L. 1</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Irregular massive pegmatite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.L. 2</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Channel - 60&quot; vein width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.L. 3</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>Channel - 38&quot; vein width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.L. 4</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Channel - 24&quot; vein width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.L. 5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Channel - 18&quot; vein width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.L. 6</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Channel - 18&quot; footwall (9&quot; vein &amp; 9&quot; wallrock)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.L. 7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RUBBY LEE CLAIM
Sec 2, T 45, R 10E, S.B.M.
Scale 1" = 200'
Paul H. Knowles 1973
WORKINGS AND FACILITIES

At the Ruby Lee lode claim (see Exhibit 3), the Discovery Point (see Exhibit 1) consists of an open cut approximately 12 feet by 6 feet by 6 feet, uncovering the quartz vein. A second open cut approximately 20 feet by 20 feet by 4 feet vertically was noted (see Exhibit 3) on south side of road about 300 feet from end of road. Both of these cuts are shallow, open cuts and no other workings were noted on the claim. A poor dirt road, at times lost in Porcupine Wash, extends westerly from the millsite and terminates at the lode claim. There has been no evidence of recent (several years) improvement of this road (?) between the lode claim and the millsite; in fact, Mr. Dietemann stated that he had not been up to the claim in several years.

At the Ruby Lee millsite (see Photograph, Exhibit 6), is a well-kept "desert winter cabin" on a fairly well-kept road extending about 1.5 miles to the Pinto Basin paved highway. The cabin is a two or three room wood structure with two covered storage sheds appended. In the yard is a pile of selected rock (high-grade ?) approximately 75 tons which Mr. Dietemann stated came from various sources, including some from his claim. A picturesque well, estimated to be about 35 feet deep is also in the area; however, it is dry.
DISCUSSION

This property is typical of mineral occurrences of many encountered in the early days by wide-ranging prospectors leading to subsequent hopes and later disappointments. The hopes had been based upon often fairly well-defined quartz veins, but having only sporadic amounts of valuable metals. This property was originally filed upon in 1936 and to date there is no semblence of any mine being developed or any reason for prospecting that a prudent man would follow.

A quartz vein does occur on this property, cropping out at two and possibly more locations showing a fairly uniform dip of 35° to 45° N.E. and a uniform strike of N. 18-20° W. Representative samples, assayed by standard fire assay procedures by a reputable assayer, showed only traces to 0.01 oz./ton of gold and trace to 0.42 oz./ton of silver. Lead showed only a trace and nil on platinum (which Mr. Dietemann claimed was present).

The vein showed little gouge and the emplacement of the vein appeared to be of one period rather than several or subsequent emplacement. Values are low and sporadic and in no way approach any commercial aspects.

In addition, there is no active custom gold mill within a
radius of 150 miles; this being the inactive Vanderbilt Gold Corporation near Ivanpah, California and only potential.

It is estimated that values would have to average over $60.00/ton on a regular tonnage of about 25 tons per day to approach any commercial possibilities.

The Ruby Lee millsite has good access of 1.5 miles to the Pinto Basin Highway, but there is no water on the millsite.

The pile of "select high-grade" at the millsite is not representative of material from the Ruby Lee lode claim, as noted by inspection as well as the statement of Mr. Dietemann that it came from various sources. This rock is not considered as having any significance in this examination.
CONCLUSIONS

Based on the field examination and analysis of the assay data from the Ruby Lee unpatented lode claim, it is the opinion of the writer that this claim and the associated Ruby Lee millsite are invalid because minerals have not been found within the limits of the claim in sufficient quantity and quality to constitute a valid discovery.

At this time, under known circumstances, it cannot be expected that a profitable mine could be developed on the Ruby Lee claim, nor that a prudent man would pursue this property.
# REPORT OF ASSAY

Submitted by U. S. Department of the Interior
National Park Service
Office of Mining & Minerals
450 Golden Gate Avenue, Room 14061
San Francisco, California 94102

Date: April 10, 1973
Sample of Minerals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MARK</th>
<th>GOLD, PER TON OF 2,000 LBS.</th>
<th>SILVER, PER TON OF 2,000 LBS.</th>
<th>Platinum Oz/Ton %</th>
<th>Lead %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TROY OUNCES</td>
<td>VALUE</td>
<td>TROY OUNCES</td>
<td>VALUE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL #1</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EXHIBIT 4**

**ASSAY CERTIFICATE**

METALLURGICAL LABORATORIES, INC.
EXHIBIT 5A
LOOKING NORTH FROM END OF ROAD

EXHIBIT 5B
DISCOVERY POINT - RUBY LEE CLAIM (SAMPLES R.L. 2 AND 3)

PHOTOGRAPHS - EXHIBIT 5
EXHIBIT 6A
OPEN CUT - SOUTH SIDE OF ROAD

EXHIBIT 6B
CABIN AND MILLSITE

PHOTOGRAPHS - EXHIBIT 6
NOTICE OF LOCATION
Quartz or Placer

NOTICE is hereby given that the undersigned hereby locate and claim the following described piece of mineral bearing ground as a quartz claim. From this discovery monument, 500 feet in a northerly direction, and 1000 feet in a southerly direction, and three hundred feet on each side of the middle of the vein.

The general course of the vein or ledge is northerly and southerly and the size of the claim is 1500 feet long by 600 feet wide. This claim shall be known as the Ruby Lee situated in unincorporated mining district, County of Riverside, State of California.

LOCATED this 23 day of Feb. 1936.

Ruby Lee Rule, Locator

Witness J.A. Sidwell.

\$1.00

Received for record Mar 10, 1936, at 8 o'clock A.M. at request of Ruby L. Rule.
Copied in Book No. 266 of Official Records, page 500, records of Riverside County, California.

Fees $1.00

Jack A. Bass, Recorder.
By F.B. Ray, Deputy Recorder.

EXHIBIT 7
LOCATION NOTICE
MILLSITE LOCATION

Notice is hereby given that I, Ruby Lee Rule, proprietor of that certain vein or lode claim known as the Ruby Lee Group mining claim has this 14 day of March, 1926, located five acres of non-mineral land to be known as the Ruby Lee Mill site, situated in unimproved mining district, County of Riverside, State of California, and more particularly described as follows: On a tract of unsurveyed land which will probably be, when surveyed in the Northeast quarter of Section 10, Township Four South, Range Eleven East, S.B.M. Beginning at a point whence via Ruby water well lies South 100 feet, thence West 300 feet, thence South 300 feet, thence East 750 feet, thence North 250 feet, thence West 376 feet to point of beginning.

Ruby Lee Rule

Ruby Water Well

Please do not record Map

Received for record Mar 29, 1926 at 8 o'clock A.M. at request of Ruby L. Rule.


Fees $1.00

Jack A. Ross, Recorder
By F.B. Lay, Deputy Recorder.

Composed: Copyst J. Hyde; Compositor L. Shears.

EXHIBIT 8

MILLSITE LOCATION

#25-
PROOF OF ANNUAL LABOR

LODE OR FLACER

State of California

County of Placer

Aloys A. Dietmann being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

(1) At least Two Hundred and Twenty (220.00) Dollars worth of labor or improvements was performed or made during the assessment year beginning 12 o'clock noon of the first day of Sept., 1970, and ending on 12 o'clock noon of the first day of Sept., 1971, upon the

Ruby Lee Mine and the Ruby Lee Millsite

All records and notices required by law have been posted and are in place as of this date (May 7, 1971). These claims are being held for the valuable mineral contained therein, all taxes paid on these claims have been paid.

Mining Claim situated in

the Desert Center Mining District, County of Placer

State of California

(2) Such expenditure was made by or at the expense of Aloys A. Dietmann

the owner of the claim for the purpose of complying with the laws of the United States and of the State of California pertaining to annual assessment work.

(3) The labor or improvements so performed or made during said assessment year are as follows:

Wash and Rake, Repair or Laten

Road work.

Aloys A. Dietmann

(Signature of Claimant)

Residing at 5714 N. Beverly Glen Blvd.

Los Angeles, Calif.

Edna A. McGovern

(Subscribed and Sworn to before me)

June 5, 1971

Said Labor is in said State.

EXHIBIT 9

PROOF OF LABOR - 1970

RECORDED DOCUMENT
PROOF OF ANNUAL LABOR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

BEFORE ME, personally appeared ALOYS A. DIETEMANN

who, being duly sworn, says: My current mailing address is
874 N. BEVERLY GLEN BOULEVARD
LOS ANGELES, CALIF. 90024

and now residing at
874 N. BEVERLY GLEN BOULEVARD
LOS ANGELES, CALIF. 90024

Certain labor and/or improvements required by law were performed or made on or for
the benefit of the following mining claim(s), which together comprise a group of contiguous claim(s) located
in PONTE SAN ANGELO, a mining district located in Riverside County, California, in the mining assessment
work year ending on September 1, 1971, as follows:

Name of Claim(s) in Full: RUBY LEE MINE SITE
Mining Records
Original Location: Book 208 Page 39


LABOR AND IMPROVEMENTS. Specify, for example, state depth of shaft; sunk; feet of tunnel, drift, adit or crosscut driven; size of
exploration cut of trench; or refer to separate document, filed as required by PL 876, describing geological, geochemical or geophysical
survey. Give value for each item and dates on which, or periods of time within which the same was performed or made; and total value.

REPAIR OF 3 SEPARATE WASHOUTS IN ROAD TO MILLSITE
CORE SAMPLES TAKEN AND TESTED FOR CERTAIN METHODS OF
MINERAL SEPARATION. MISSION REPAIR TO CABIN APRIL 20-27, 1971

The total fair and reasonable value thereof was $1,000.00 and the amount and value thereof on or for the
benefit of each claim was $100 or more. The name and address of the person who performed the labor or made the improvements, as
known to me, was: ALOYS A. DIETEMANN

The owner(s) of the mining claim(s) is (are): ALLOYS A. DIETEMANN

Current Mailing Address: 874 N. BEVERLY GLEN BOULEVARD
Current Residence Address: LOS ANGELES, CALIF. 90024

All monuments required by law to have been erected, and all notices required by law have been posted, on each claim or copies of such
notices were in place on the claim on (date): APRIL 26, 1971

The above listed claim(s) is (are) held and claimed by the owner(s) or the undersigned (if he is entitled to possession thereof) for the
valuable mineral contained therein.

Subscribed and Sworn to before me

15th day of June 1971

ARY OTHEA ,

Notary Public in and for said State

EXHIBIT 10
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Locator(s)</th>
<th>Book</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Claim</td>
<td>2-23-36</td>
<td>Ruby Lee Rule</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Millsite</td>
<td>3-14-36</td>
<td>Ruby Lee Rule</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXHIBIT 11
LOCATION DATA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Grantor</th>
<th>Grantee</th>
<th>Claim</th>
<th>Recorded in Official Records of Riverside Co.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doc. 945</td>
<td>2-14-44</td>
<td>Ruby Lee Rule</td>
<td>Charles M. Eikenberry</td>
<td>Ruby Lee Mining and Millsite</td>
<td>614 226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deed</td>
<td>4-10-47</td>
<td>Charles M. Eikenberry</td>
<td>Ruby Lee Rule (Mr. &amp; Mrs. A. Dietemann)</td>
<td>Ruby Lee Mining and Millsite</td>
<td>831 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quitclaim</td>
<td>11-3-48</td>
<td>Ruby Lee Rule</td>
<td>Aloys A. &amp; Mable F. Dietemann</td>
<td>Ruby Lee Mining and Millsite</td>
<td>1023 565</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EXHIBIT 12
CONVEYANCE DATA
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>By Whom</th>
<th>Instrument No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Millsite &amp; Mng. C.</td>
<td>1937-1941</td>
<td>Ruby Lee Rule &amp; A. A.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dietemann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Millsite &amp; Mng. C.</td>
<td>6-28-65</td>
<td>A. A. Dietemann</td>
<td>74513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Millsite &amp; Mng. C.</td>
<td>6-11-66</td>
<td>A. A. Dietemann</td>
<td>70708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Millsite &amp; Mng. C.</td>
<td>6-15-67</td>
<td>A. A. Dietemann</td>
<td>51789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Millsite &amp; Mng. C.</td>
<td>5-19-69</td>
<td>A. A. Dietemann</td>
<td>49106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Millsite &amp; Mng. C.</td>
<td>6-5-70</td>
<td>A. A. Dietemann</td>
<td>55046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Millsite &amp; Mng. C.</td>
<td>6-15-71</td>
<td>A. A. Dietemann</td>
<td>66025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruby Lee Millsite &amp; Mng. C.</td>
<td>5-19-72</td>
<td>A. A. Dietemann</td>
<td>65737</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CERTIFICATION

1. I have no undisclosed interest in this property, present or contemplated.

2. My employment is not contingent upon results of this examination.

3. I personally examined the claim(s) as identified in the text of the report.

4. According to my knowledge, everything in this report is true and no important facts have been withheld.

5. As of date of this report I estimate the market value of the minerals inherent in the claim(s) as described in the text of the report.

QUALIFICATIONS OF APPRAISER

Paul H. Knowles, National Park Service
San Francisco, California

1. Bachelor of Science degree in Geology with a minor in Mining Engineering from University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, 1938.

2. Registered Professional Engineer (Mining), State of Washington, 1948, Cert. No. 3236.


4. Thirty-four years in the mining industry, in private practice; for the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission; and U. S. Department of Island and Insular Affairs and National Park Service (present employment).

5. Member of American Institute of Mining Engineers.
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