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Executive Summary

This report accompanies the digital geologic map for George Washington Birthplace National Monument in Virginia and Thomas Stone National Historic Site in Maryland, which the Geologic Resources Division produced in collaboration with its partners. It contains information relevant to resource management and scientific research. This document incorporates pre-existing geologic information and does not include new data or additional fieldwork.

The recognition and designation of George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Monument represent the patriotism and commitment of those individuals integral in the founding of the United States. Efforts to commemorate Wakefield Plantation, the birthplace of George Washington, lead to the establishment of this monument. It is among the first historical parks in the National Park Service. Thomas Stone, a signer of the Declaration of Independence and early Maryland state senator, was a successful farmer and lawyer. Thomas Stone National Historic Site is set in a pastoral 18th-century landscape and includes his home, Haberdeventure. These two units also support notable natural resources.

George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site are part of the Atlantic Coastal Plain physiographic province. The geologic units in this province are relatively flat lying, unconsolidated, and undeformed, which differs from the tilted, metamorphosed, and deformed geologic units west of the Fall Line in the Piedmont province. In the mid-Potomac River area, geologic surface exposures consist primarily of late Tertiary through recent Holocene age sedimentary deposits, including marine sediments with local shell-rich zones and alluvial complexes. Locally, these deposits contain fossils, phosphate- and glauconite-rich sands and some iron-rich minerals. These units delineate the history of ocean transgression and regression. Geologic resources and the natural history of the area merit emphasis and interpretation to enhance the visitor’s experience.

Geology serves as the foundation on which an ecosystem rests. For example, many plant species are endemic to certain rock types. Therefore, the geology plays a role in the relationship among organisms in an ecosystem. Geologic processes initiate complex responses that give rise to rock formations, topographic expression, surface and subsurface fluid movement, and soils. Geologic processes form a landscape that sometimes welcomes and sometimes discourages human use. At Wakefield Plantation and Haberdeventure, human land-use disturbances are clear, indicating the environment was suited for human exploitation. Slopes and meadows have been logged and cleared for agricultural use, irrigation ditches were dug, creeks and streams were dammed, and buildings and roadways constructed. These practices altered the landscape and interfered with natural processes. An understanding of how these historic impacts have altered the environment and how the sequence of processes has changed the ecological relationships is one goal of cultural resource management.

The following high-level management concerns are of importance within the monument and/or historic site:

- **Erosion and Slope Processes.** Landslides, slumping, slope creep and erosion are dynamic processes, which continue to change the landscape in the management area. These processes alter the historical context of the landscape. Deforested fields and clearings with a greater slope are more susceptible to erosion. Shoreline erosion along the Potomac River at the monument is an ongoing process. Infrequent large storms can cause many meters of shoreline erosion, in addition to the background erosion rate. Therefore, efforts are underway to determine the best way to preserve cultural resources threatened by erosion.

- **Hydrogeology and Surface Water Issues.** Streams, springs and wetlands were integral to the historic land development at the monument and historic site. Today, knowledge of the area hydrogeologic systems is necessary for resource management and to better predict ecosystem response to changing conditions. Wetlands are located in both parks, which are at risk nationwide. Therefore, these parks merit characterization and monitoring. Marshes, tidal marshes and freshwater wetlands contain soils and sediments, which, in addition to being a reservoir of water and nutrients, contain a record of ecosystem change over the last few hundred years, including changes in vegetation, paleoclimate, biodiversity and predevelopment conditions.

- **Paleontological Resource Potential.** An assessment and formal inventory of fossil resources will add to the understanding of the geologic history of both parks. Index fossils are useful to correlate geologic units through time and across space. Fossils record information about the depositional environment and provide post-burial information including geochemical and deformational changes. Fossils at the monument are well documented and theft of these resources has been and remains a problem. Fossils have not been formally recorded from within the boundaries of the historic site; however, the geologic units located within the park are known to contain fossils outside of the park.
Figure 1. Maps of George Washington Birthplace National Monument (top; modified from NPS map courtesy Rijk Morawe) and Thomas Stone National Historic Site (bottom; NPS map).
Introduction

The following section briefly describes the National Park Service Geologic Resources Inventory and the regional geologic setting of George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site.

Purpose of the Geologic Resources Inventory
The Geologic Resources Inventory (GRI) is one of 12 inventories funded under the National Park Service (NPS) National Park Service Challenge designed to enhance baseline information available to park managers. The program carries out the geologic component of the inventory effort. The Geologic Resources Division of the NPS Natural Resource Program Center administers this program. The GRI team relies heavily on partnerships with the U.S. Geological Survey, Colorado State University, state surveys, and others in developing GRI products.

The goal of the GRI is to increase understanding of the geologic processes at work in parks and provide sound geologic information for use in park decision making. Sound park stewardship relies on understanding natural resources and their role in the ecosystem. Geology is the foundation of park ecosystems. The compilation and use of natural resource information by park managers is called for in section 204 of the National Parks Omnibus Management Act of 1998 and in NPS-75, Natural Resources Inventory and Monitoring Guideline.

To realize this goal, the GRI team is systematically conducting a scoping meeting for each of the identified 270 natural area parks and providing a park-specific digital geologic map and geologic report. These products support the stewardship of park resources and are designed for nongeoscientists. Scoping meetings bring together park staff and geologic experts to review available geologic maps and discuss specific geologic issues, features, and processes.

The GRI mapping team converts the geologic maps identified for park use at the scoping meeting into digital geologic data in accordance with their Geographic Information Systems (GIS) Data Model. These digital data sets bring an interactive dimension to traditional paper maps by providing geologic data for use in park GIS and facilitating the incorporation of geologic considerations into a wide range of resource management applications. The newest maps come complete with interactive help files. This geologic report aids in the use of the map and provides park managers with an overview of park geology and geologic resource management issues.

For additional information regarding the content of this report and current GRI contact information please refer to the Geologic Resources Inventory Web site (http://www.nature.nps.gov/geology/inventory/).

Geologic Setting and Parks' Establishment
In the geographic area of George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site (fig. 1), the eastern United States is divided into five physiographic provinces with associated local subprovinces. From east to west, the provinces are as follows: the Atlantic Coastal Plain, the Piedmont, the Blue Ridge, the Valley and Ridge, and the Appalachian Plateaus (fig. 2). The monument and historic site are part of the Atlantic Coastal Plain. The Atlantic continental margin consists of a series of deeply buried tectonic basins and arches flanked on the west by Proterozoic (“Precambrian”) and Paleozoic metamorphic, igneous, and sedimentary rocks and on the east by the Atlantic Basin.

The Atlantic Coastal Plain is primarily flat terrain, dissected by river valleys, and elevations ranging from sea level to about 100 m (300 ft) in coastal Virginia and Maryland. Sediments eroding from the Piedmont and Appalachian highlands to the west formed a wedge-shaped sequence of soft, unconsolidated sedimentary geologic units. These units were deposited intermittently during periods of higher sea level over the past 100 million years punctuated by periods of erosion during marine low stands. These sediment mixtures range from clay to gravel and exceed 2,500 m (8,000 ft) in thickness at the Atlantic coast. Fluctuating sea levels, rivers, tides and the erosive action of waves along the coastline continually rework the mixed deposits. Large streams and rivers in the Coastal Plain province, including the James, York, Potomac and Rappahannock continue to transport sediment and extend the coastal plain eastward.

George Washington Birthplace National Monument
The establishment of George Washington Birthplace National Monument occurred during President Herbert Hoover's administration on January 23, 1930. It is among the first units of the National Park Service established for preserving history. The monument commemorates the 1732 birthplace of George Washington, preserving the heart of Augustine Washington’s Popes Creek Plantation as well as the 17th century home site of John Washington and the Washington family burial ground.

Water surrounds George Washington Birthplace National Monument on three sides. The monument covers 268 hectares (662 acres) of Atlantic Coastal Plain on the Northern Neck of Virginia between the Rappahannock and Potomac Rivers, approximately 61 km (38 mi) east of Fredericksburg, Virginia. The watershed of Popes Creek and Potomac shoreline dominate the landscape at the monument. George
Washington’s birthplace homestead site sits atop low bluffs overlooking the estuarine mouth of Popes Creek and the Potomac River beyond. Upland terraces and ravines grade into lower marshy areas including Longwood and Digwood swamps. The homestead site itself is relatively flat and agricultural with cleared fields and pastures.

Thomas Stone National Historic Site

Thomas Stone National Historic Site was authorized by an act of Congress on November 10, 1978 (P.L. 95-625) during the Carter administration. Designation of this site seeks to commemorate Thomas Stone, a delegate to the Continental Congress and signer of the Declaration of Independence. Stone’s home, a Georgian mansion christened “Haberdeventure,” was built in 1771 and restored after a 1977 fire. The home sits among 133 hectares (328 acres) of protected pastoral fields and forest on the eastern side of the Potomac River Valley in southern Maryland. The Port Tobacco area (Charles County) of Maryland is part of the Atlantic Coastal Plain physiographic province. The geologic units at Thomas Stone National Historic Site are generally flat lying and undeformed. The surface variations that do exist are the result of erosion and river downcutting through the horizontal layers.

Local stream valleys and gullies dissect open fields that occupy the undulating flatter areas. The streams and tributaries, including Hoghole Run, cut through forested slopes before joining with the larger Port Tobacco River just south of Rose Hill. Elevations of the dissected highlands approach 67 m (200 ft) above sea level. Haberdeventure itself is positioned on a broad upland between Hoghole Run and the upper Port Tobacco River. These two streams and their tributaries cut steep slopes into the area’s hillsides creating resource management issues.

The National Park Service’s aim at Thomas Stone National Historic Site is to preserve and protect the resources of the site, which involves an intimate knowledge of the past and present natural processes acting on the landscape. As part of the site’s Strategic Plan FY 2004-2008 (National Park Service 2004), the identification and characterization of vital signs for natural resource monitoring and implementation monitoring is a resource management goal.

Figure 2. Map of Virginia with physiographic provinces and sub provinces in the area of George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site. Modified from Bailey (1999). Graphic by Trista L. Thornberry-Ehrlich (Colorado State University).
Geologic Issues

The Geologic Resources Division held a Geologic Resources Inventory scoping session for George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site on July 25-26, 2005, to discuss geologic resources, address the status of geologic mapping, and assess resource management issues and needs. This section synthesizes the scoping results, in particular those issues that may require attention from resource managers.

Issues in this section are identified in relative order of resource management significance with the most critical listed first. Potential research projects and topics of scientific interest are presented at the end of this section. Contact the Geologic Resources Division for technical assistance.

Erosion and Slope Processes

One of the major goals of the monument and historic site is to present the historical context of their respective areas; this includes preserving open field areas and restoring historic structures and the landscape around them. Maintaining this agrarian and colonial landscape often means working against natural geologic changes, which presents several resource management challenges. Even slight alterations to the area’s vegetation along steep, exposed slopes lead to changes in the mass wasting and erosional potential.

Mass wasting (slump, slides, earthflow, and creep) and erosion are constantly changing the landscape at the monument and historic site. Erosion reduces higher areas such as ridges and hills, degrades building and bridge foundations, causes streams to cut into restoration areas, and fills in the lower areas such as trenches, ditches, and stream ravines. The result is a landscape changed from its historical context.

In general, the slopes surrounding the fields and homestead site at George Washington Birthplace National Monument are moderate and forested. In shoreline areas, the Potomac River has cut low steep cliffs into unconsolidated sediment (fig. 3). The heart of the monument sits between the Potomac River and Popes Creek estuary, both of which are areas of dynamic erosion and sedimentation processes (GRI scoping meeting notes 2005). Shore erosion provides abundant sediment to the tidal Potomac River in Maryland and Virginia (Miller 1987). Cracks and joints (vertical tectonic joints and horizontal sheet joints) within the sedimentary strata of the Potomac shoreline bluffs focus water flow and consequently erode material, widening the cracks until stability is lost and a large portion of the bluff spills off.

The middle and lower Miocene Calvert Formation crops out along the Potomac River shoreline. The unit consists of compact fine to very fine quartzose sand, with silt and clay in thick to massive beds. When this unit weathered, characteristic blocky fractures, including high angle planer joints and concentric sets of sheet joints, develop (Newell et al. 2006). The characteristic stratigraphic relationship between the underlying relatively impermeable Calvert Formation and the overlying permeable, loose sediments (unconsolidated sand, pebble-cobble beds and fluvial channels of the Tabb Formation, Sedgefield Member) creates an inherent ramp surface that facilitates large slumps and slides along the bluffs (figs. 4 and 5) (W. Newell, personal communication 2005; Newell et al. 2006).

The steep terrain of the forested valleys, gullies, and ravines that defines the area surrounding Thomas Stone National Historic Site is prone to several mass wasting hazards including landslides, slumps, and slope creep. Loose, rounded, reworked channel sediments derived from Piedmont rocks cap the slopes at Thomas Stone National Historic Site (fig. 6). The geologic units underlying the area contain unconsolidated heterogeneous mixtures of gravel and glauconitic sand, silt, and clay. These rocks erode easily by regular surface runoff. Clay minerals may slip or swell when they become water saturated and are prone to cause slope failure when exposed resulting in a slide or slump. Shrink-and-swell clays (swell when water saturated, shrink upon drying) undermine the stability of the ground by their change in volume. Where more resistant units such as gravel or sand lenses are located above clay-rich units, the potential for large slump development exists. The clearing of trees and their stabilizing roots for historical restoration or by fire can lead to increased sediment load in nearby streams and could potentially contribute to slumps and landslides. Hiking trails and other high use areas are also at risk of erosion.

Seasonal storms and large events such as Hurricane Isabel in 2003 and Tropical Storm Ernesto in 2006 can cause rapid and substantial changes to the landscape of the monument and historic site (Hardaway et al. in review). Coastal erosion rates for the slopes and bluffs of the middle Potomac River are 0.42 to 0.52 m per year (1.3 to 1.6 ft/year) (M. Miller 1987). For the area from Mattox Creek to the monument, Hardaway et al. (in review) reported a similar average (but highly variable) shoreline change rate of about -0.5 m/year (-1.6 ft/year) between 1936 and 2002. However, from 2002 to 2007, a shoreline change rate of between -6 and -9 m (-20 and -30 ft) occurred in some places within the monument (fig. 7; Hardaway et al. in review). Most of this shoreline change was likely due to Hurricane Isabel and Tropical Storm Ernesto. One-third of an entire archaeological site was
lost during Isabel and the Henry Brooks house site (1651-1725) is now threatened (George Washington Birthplace National Monument staff, personal communication 2006). Erosion from Tropical Storm Ernesto was estimated to be as bad if not worse than the hurricane damage (George Washington Birthplace National Monument staff 2006). The monument boundaries extend to the Potomac shoreline, thus shoreline erosion is causing loss of park land, significant natural and cultural resources, and additionally altering microenvironments.

Flooding and channel erosion are naturally occurring along small streams within the monument and historic site. This flooding and erosion can threaten wetlands and visitor facilities. As erosion increases so does the sediment load carried by the local streams and rivers. In fact, sedimentation has occurred over the years to such an extent that Hoghole Run, once a local trade route for the area, has silted up. This increased sediment load is evident in the sediment-choked channels of incising, dendritic streams, in places, some of which expose the Calvert Formation. Erosion within the Popes Creek estuary is also causing tidal wetlands and islands of marsh deposits, including Great Island, to disappear (fig. 8).

Scientists at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science have modeled options to protect the shoreline, beaches, points, and bluffs at George Washington Birthplace including breakwaters, pocket beach formation, chevron rock structures, etc. Similar structures are employed in shoreline areas at Colonial National Historical Park to some success (S. Hardaway, personal communication 2005). Their efforts may provide a working model for similar goals at the monument; however, funding is not adequate at this time to implement these measures. If built, these structures would inherently create a 21st century landscape—a considerable compromise between cultural and natural resource management (W. Newell, written communication 2009). As discussed in the “Geologic Features and Processes” section, natural riprap in the form of woody debris may provide an attractive alternative to imported riprap along the area’s shorelines (D. Steensen, personal communication 2006).

Inventory, Monitoring, and Research Recommendations for Erosion and Slope Processes

- Perform topographic surveys and regular sampling to determine if sediment and/or soil loss is occurring at the monument and historic site.
- Define the mappable shoreline (high tide, low tide, median wave crest, etc.) at George Washington Birthplace National Monument.
- Perform further investigations regarding the possibility of using natural woody debris to act as riprap to anchor shorelines at the monument.
- Study erosion rates and processes in the rolling hills, ravines and fields of the monument and correlate to the overall sediment budget of the Popes Creek watershed. This may lead to regular monitoring of the loss and/or change of islands within the Popes Creek estuary.
- Instigate a shoreline change program surveying shoreline position several times annually to detect seasonal variations. This may involve recruiting a volunteer to map the 2-3-km (1-2-mi) shoreline extent each season and supplementing this information with storm-response surveys, LIDAR (airborne or ground-based), GPS surveys, and aerial photographs. Shoreline and marsh surveys of summer 2003, January 2004, March 2005, and 2008 may provide model efforts. Refer to the storm vulnerability assessment report (Hardaway et al. in review) for results and recommendations from recent shoreline change survey.
- Continue to study shoreline evolution and change incorporating information dating from the 1930s.
- Promote and cooperate with coastal erosion rate studies such as the U.S. Geological Survey study of the middle Potomac River (Miller 1987) and the storm vulnerability assessment (Hardaway et al. in review). These studies would ultimately include suggestions for coastal shoreline stability measures.
- Monitor topographic changes on the edges of the monument’s bluffs due to surface and cliff erosion. This may illustrate the impacts of weakened slope stability.
- Research measures including anchoring, or increased vegetation to slow cliff erosion at the monument.
- Research effectiveness of plans to plant new vegetation along any vulnerable reaches of the monument’s and historic site’s slopes to prevent excess erosion and subsequent sediment loading.
- Perform sediment load studies to relate to aquatic ecosystem health to determine if increased erosion is negatively impacting aquatic biota at the monument.
- Identify undercut, jointed, and potentially vulnerable reaches of the bluffs of the Calvert Formation at the monument to attempt to keep visitors away from potentially hazardous areas.
- Define if historic features are at risk due to slope processes at Thomas Stone National Historical Site. This may involve fine-scale archaeological work to determine new relevant areas along forested slopes.
- Investigate whether increased sediment loading caused by increased sheet runoff and erosion is adversely affecting aquatic ecosystems at the historic site.
- Monitor slope processes in the forested areas along the tributaries of Hoghole Run within the historic site.

Hydrogeology and Surface Water Issues

Water surrounds three sides of George Washington Birthplace National Monument. Therefore, the hydrogeologic system is a significant factor in resource management decision making. Much of the precipitation and ensuing sheet flow at the monument channels into small-scale streams and creeks, through ponds, wetlands, and swampy areas, into the larger watersheds of Popes Creek and ultimately the Potomac River. Three primary basins drain the monument land area—Popes Creek, Bridges Creek, and a small, unnamed creek. Marsh areas
include Longwood and Digwood swamps and wetlands cover nearly 17 percent of the monument’s land area (Belval et al. 1997 from National Wetlands Inventory Maps). Understanding the dynamics of the wetland areas and the estuarine mouth of Popes Creek is a major resource management goal.

Low-lying areas including tidal marshes and freshwater wetlands accumulate peat and sediment recording climate, vegetation, and land use changes. Ecologists consider wetlands to be indicators of overall ecosystem health and as such, they merit research and monitoring. Recent swamp surveys indicate that anthropogenic land use patterns are having effects on erosion and deposition within the Popes Creek estuary. As inhabitants removed stabilizing vegetation for farming and settlement of the land, increases in runoff and erosion deposited more sediment in the local streams and wetlands. Early tree removal efforts, aimed at increasing visibility of the commemorative obelisk, seem correlative to swamp degradation and tidal channel proliferation. Though this hypothesis remains to be tested, erosion of the wetlands has likely increased due to area deforestation over the past 100 years (GRI scoping meeting notes 2005).

There are several smaller streams and springs as well as some associated marshy riparian areas located within Thomas Stone National Historic Site. All surface water in the park is part of the larger Port Tobacco River watershed and ultimately flows into the Potomac River system. To the west of the site, Hoghale Run and its tributaries cut through the sedimentary deposits creating steep slopes. Some of the tributaries are very short and ephemeral, occasionally disappearing during dry summer months (fig. 9). There are several seasonal wetlands within the site boundaries that merit research and monitoring. The rest of the historic site’s water comes from groundwater inputs and springs.

The monument and historic site utilize groundwater resources as the public drinking water supply and very little information exists on the condition or quality of the water resources at the units (Belval et al. 1997; GRI scoping meeting notes 2005). It is crucial for resource management to understand the hydrogeologic systems at the monument and historic site. Knowledge of how water is traveling through the subsurface hydrogeologic systems into, under, and from the monument and historic site can be used to predict hydrologic responses to inputs such as contaminants. Further information about the nature of the underlying substrate, including structure, stratigraphy, composition, permeability and porosity, can be obtainable with cores. The Oak Grove core was obtained within the Rollins Fork Quadrangle west of the monument. This core was 365 m (1,200 ft) deep and was part of a 1977-1978 study by the U.S. Geological Survey (W. Newell, written communication 2009). This core defines the stratigraphy from the Cretaceous through the Tertiary in the area. The Virginia Department of Mineral Resources (VDMR) and College of William and Mary periodically document changes in wetland area composition and vegetation in the Longwood Swamp area. For Popes Creek, the Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay has monitored turbidity, temperature, pH, salinity, and dissolved oxygen of the creek on a weekly basis (Elliot 1993). National Park Service staff monitor groundwater salinity, lead, and copper for public drinking water safety (Belval et al. 1997). These cooperative efforts are a positive research endeavor that merit expansion at George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site to increase overall understanding of natural resources.

Visitor use and surrounding developments are increasing the levels of anthropogenic substances in the water at the parks. The movement of nutrients and contaminants through the hydrogeologic systems can be modeled by monitoring the composition of system inputs, such as rainfall, and outputs, such as streamflow. Other input sources include wind, surface runoff, groundwater transport, sewage outfalls, landfills, and fill dirt. Streams integrate surface runoff and groundwater flow of their watersheds. Thus, they provide a cumulative measure of the status of the watershed’s hydrologic system (Hickman 1987). Consistent measurement of these parameters is crucial to establishing baselines for comparison. Management should also understand how water tables change through time. The installation of several wells throughout the monument and historic site would be useful for monitoring groundwater quality. It would be useful to perform tracer studies in these wells to see how quickly and in what direction water is moving through the systems.

Inventory, Monitoring, and Research Recommendations for Hydrogeology and Surface Water Issues

- Map and quantify groundwater recharge zones especially in areas where wastewater treatment and septic systems coincide with recharge zones. Investigate additional methods to characterize groundwater recharge areas and flow directions. Use this information to create hydrogeologic models for the monument and historic site to better manage the groundwater resources and predict the systems’ response to contamination.
- Install regularly spaced monitoring wells throughout the monument and historic site to monitor groundwater quality and to measure inputs of chemical components such as nitrogen, mercury, phosphate, volatile hydrocarbons, and pH. Focus on areas near facilities.
- Inventory groundwater levels at the monument and historic site and use regular monitoring of water well levels, dye tracer tests and pumping tests to help define hydrogeologic models.
- Define the influences of underlying sedimentary strata, geologic structures, and topography on local watersheds.
- Inventory and map any existing springs in the site, testing water quality at each, and describe each feature especially with regards to their potential historical importance and incorporate this information into the site’s GIS.
- Coordinate with the Water Resources Division and Geologic Resources Division Soils Inventory to
comprehensively map and monitor water and soil quality at all wetland areas within the monument and historic site. This creates a baseline level of ecosystem health to use for understanding future changes.

- Obtain subsurface data (depth to layers, composition, well data, or seismic) for the monument and historic site areas to understand groundwater movement through layers of variable permeability.
- Investigate the feasibility of additional cooperative studies and other efforts to obtain additional cores within the Popes Creek watershed to expand existing data (from the U.S. Geological Survey) from the delta and estuary mouth areas. A survey of cores at regular intervals across the mouth of Popes Creek and the estuary would be especially helpful in understanding the underlying sedimentary structure and deposition patterns.
- Develop 3-D terrane models of the hydrogeologic system at the monument focusing on cliff, terrace, upland, and bluff morphological changes.

**Paleontological Resource Potential**

Fossils add to the understanding of the geologic history of the Atlantic Coastal Plain province. Certain fossils are useful to correlate units across time and space. For example, in Charles County, M aryländ, over 115 species of tiny dinoflagellates are in the fossil record as cysts present in the N anjemoy Formation and are used to date specific beds within the unit (G oodman 1984). Fossils also give clues as to the depositional environment when they were alive. They also provide post-burial information including geochemical changes, deformational regimes, and changes in bedding orientation. Fossil resources should be inventoried, monitored, and protected.

The geologic units located in the George W ashington Birthplace N ational M onument and T homas Stone N ational H istoric S ite area are known to contain fossils. Exposed within both the monument and historic site are Tertiary age deposits of the Calvert and N anjemoy formations. According to the N PS paleontological inventory report, the Calvert Formation on the coastal plain of Virginia and M aryländ contains fossilized remains of mollusks, gastropods, pelecypods, turtles, rays, whales, sea cows, dolphins, tapirs, mastodons, rhinoceroses, horses, and dogs and shark teeth from several species (K enworthy and S antucci 2003). N o fossil resources have been formally sampled from within the boundaries of Thomas Stone N ational H istoric S ite. However, park staff noticed a fossil bone fragment during an informal 2003 paleontological investigation (J. K enworthy, written communication 2008). Fossil resources, if discovered, would be an important resource at the historic site adding to the geologic history of the area. The N anjemoy Formation exposed at T homas Stone N ational H istoric S ite contains mollusks outside of the park (K enworthy and S antucci 2003). Erosion is constantly exposing fossils in the monument and historic site along coastal margins and incised streams.

Paleocene to M ioocene age shark teeth, crocodile teeth, dugongs, and bones from seals, whales, and porpoises are among the many fossils present in the bluffs facing the Potomac River and local slope deposits (K enworthy and S antucci 2003). M astodon teeth, a testament to Pleistocene ice age climatic conditions, are also present in some subaerial, reworked deposits at the monument. Due to upland erosion and reworking of sediments, fossils tend to be concentrated along the larger river shorelines (GRI scoping meeting notes 2005).

These sediments contain fossils that are attractive to both researchers and collectors. Paleontologists excavated marine mammal, shark teeth, and other fossils from the Calvert Formation discovered within and near the monument. These fossils are curated in the Smithsonian Institution N ational M useum of N atural H istory collection. Fossil theft is a concern for paleontological resources at the monument and historic site. Local publications describe fossil locations within the monument and unfortunately inform people interested in the removal of fossils and artifacts (Benson 1989). At the monument, shoreline erosion exposes these fossils, along the base of the Potomac River bluffs. The bluffs are also eroding and placing visitor safety at risk. At the historic site, potential fossil resources would be exposed and removed from the formation during fluvial erosion and deposited in sediment-choked streams.

**Inventory, Monitoring, and Research Recommendations for Paleontological Resource Potential**

- Perform a comprehensive onsite inventory of fossil resources at the monument and historic site.
- Work with researchers to ensure that permitted paleontological resource collections made within the monument meet N ational P ark S ervice museum management and permitting mandates.
- Develop a paleontological resource monitoring and protection plan.
- Develop a study collection of fossils commonly found within the monument. This collection could be used for resource management training and/or interpretation.
- Develop interpretive products that incorporate the fossil story along with the geologic history of the area. Products should address the role of natural processes such as erosion. These products should also educate the public on the resource management and stewardship mission of the N ational P ark S ervice.

**Sediment Budget for Popes Creek Watershed**

The sedimentary strata underlying the landscape at George W ashington Birthplace N ational M onument are hundreds of meters thick. Rivers, eroding the Appalachian highlands to the west deposited these sediments, building the Atlantic Coastal Plain eastward. Certain layers contain clasts that are traceable to a specific provenance hundreds of kilometers away, such as the gneiss and red mudstone of the W indor Formation (M ixon et al. 2000).
Once deposited, the unconsolidated layers are in turn subject to erosion and redeposition. Vast amounts of sediment are stored on the landscape at George Washington Birthplace. Ravine fill up to 2 m (6 ft) thick is observable covering 17th century logs and stumps (Newell et al. 1999). These sediments are recycled and weathered from unconsolidated upland terrace units such as the Charles City Formation from a proto-Potomac estuary (W. Newell, written communication 2009). Repeating sequences of sands, coarser grained channel crossbeds, silt, clay, and organic rich floodplain deposits as well as weathered terraces exposed in upland ravines record movement of the river system over the landscape.

In addition to sediments trapped on the land surface, other sediments are entrained within the fluvial system of Popes Creek and form migrating bars, deltas, and channel deposits. Local streams transport sediment via two processes: 1) the water entrains and carries fine-grained suspended load, and 2) the bedload of coarser material slides, skips, and rolls along the stream bottom (Hickman 1987). The watershed is far from a mere sediment conveyor belt. As water channels, knick points develop in the upland areas, the river then passes in turn into a meandering breach, a gullied reach, and then passes into another knick point before unloading the sediment behind a sediment trap. This system of progressive knick points and sediment traps retains much of the reworked sediments that would otherwise almost immediately wash into the Potomac River. The estuary is particularly effective at trapping sediment (Newell et al. 1999). This system of weathering, erosion, slope deposit accumulation, and fluvial to estuarine terrace deposition that has been moving, weathering, storing, and reworking local sediments has been active at Popes Creek since the Pliocene (Newell et al. 1999). Geologists estimate that the bedload leaving streams such as Popes Creek into the Potomac is only about 10 percent of the suspended load of finer grained material (Hickman 1987).

Several bars, low terraces, and paleoscarps across the mouth of Popes Creek record some of the watershed's former reaches. Former highstand periods leave alluvium several meters higher than present day water levels. A sandy spit and flood-tide delta derived from long-shore movement of Potomac River sediment stripped from eroding bluffs buffers and protects the estuarine bay at the mouth of Popes Creek from the flow and tidal fluctuations of the Potomac River whose width is approximately 4 km (2.4 mi) locally (fig. 10) (Newell et al. 1999). Proto-Popes Creek channel deposits supply sediments to this system today keeping a relatively consistent supply of sand moving towards the spit. However, this dynamic environment changes rapidly and channels cutting into the bar itself may result in a net loss of sediment to the spit. Locally, a thin veneer of loose, fine-grained, windblown (eolian) sediments adds to the sediment budget.

Seismic Potential
The term passive margin, applied to the Atlantic seaboard area, does not imply tectonic quiescence as numerous large- and small-scale crustal movements (between regional-scale basins and arches) have occurred along the eastern edge of the continent during the last 150 million years (M. Cartan 1989). Geologists do not consider the Atlantic Coastal Plain to be especially prone to seismic activity; however, several extensive regional faults exist in the George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site area and may be zones of weakness. The Stafford fault system, a structure deep beneath the regolith west of the monument and historic site, consists of en echelon high angle reverse faults that strike northeast along a 68-km (42-mi) trend. This fault thrust Piedmont rocks over younger sedimentary formations of the coastal plain. The fault zone is comprised of four mapped faults: the Dumfries fault zone, the Fall Hill fault, the Hazel Run fault, and the Brooke fault zone (fig. 11).

The Port Royal fault zone runs east of the historic site and is delineated as a 3-km (2-mi)-wide zone of sedimentary strata exhibiting down-to-the-coast displacement and local thickening of the sedimentary section locate the deformation zone. The fault zone may be a shallow graben-like structure resulting from local extension. Vertical displacement estimates are more than 15 m (49 ft) (Mixon et al. 2000). Movement along the Brandywine fault system, located east of the Potomac River, caused folds in the thick, overlying Cenozoic stratigraphic section. It may be responsible for the sharp bend in the Potomac River near the Port Tobacco River estuary.

M agreement of these eastern faults bound Triassic-Jurassic age extensional basins, buried deep below Cenozoic sediments. Movement along most of these faults is down to the east, some local reactivation of the opposite sense...
is noticeable on the northern reaches of the local fault systems (McCarran 1989).

Geologists consider these structures low for seismic risk, but knowledge of the nature and timing of faulting is necessary to evaluate potential earthquake hazards and understand the movement of groundwater and possible subsurface contaminants (Mixon et al. 2000). The presence of deformation and faults merits consideration for seismic hazard preparedness. Small-scale crustal adjustments are ongoing along the east coast. Local faults and joints negatively affect cliff erosion and mass wasting processes at George Washington Birthplace National Monument. Enigmatic small-scale tremors with a long recurrence interval may be due to isostatic adjustments (rebound) or sediment loading atop the coastal plain. The late Eocene Chesapeake Bay impact structure to the southeast of the monument and historic site may still be subsiding and causing small magnitude earthquakes (Poag et al. 1994; Johnson et al. 1998).

A large or even moderate earthquake could cause significant damage to slopes, historic structures, fences and other cultural features, as well as park infrastructure at George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site. Additionally, seismicity may also undermine slope stability and increase local spalling along the cliffs and bluffs of the Potomac River shoreline at the monument.

Inventory, Monitoring, and Research Recommendations for Seismic Potential
- Create a vulnerability index for specific cultural resources by evaluating features at risk for damage during infrequent seismic events.
- Investigate if any potential hazard associated with seismically induced liquefaction exists for sandy and marshy areas of the historic site. Similarly, for coastal areas of the monument, investigate the risk for tsunami and overall shoreline damage due to earthquake activity and continental slope landslides.

Evolution of the Landscape at Thomas Stone NHS
Since Europeans began settling the Maryland colony in the 1600s, the alterations to the landscape have included vast deforestation, clearing fields, flattening hilltops, constructing irrigation canals and ditches, altering natural harbors, constructing buildings, and building roads. These settlement practices alter the natural landscape and interfere with natural processes sometimes creating situations in which geologic processes such as flooding and erosion threaten cultural resources.

Construction of Thomas Stone’s Haberdeventure began in 1770. At that time, the area’s settlement was sparse, but well underway, and a trading port existed on the Potomac River. The Stone family’s farming and homestead activities created an unnatural landscape that is part of the cultural resources of Thomas Stone National Historic Site. Minor irrigation features, removal of soil and rocks, brick clay quarries, garden terraces, grazed pastures, extensive deforestation, and other settlement features dot the landscape.

During the climax of historical settlement and agriculture at Haberdeventure, many open fields with streams existed. Settlers cleared most of the forests for firewood and building materials. This exposure caused increased erosion, which may have contributed to the silting of the Port Tobacco River harbor. Cores taken from the harbor area indicate a rapid deposition following an agricultural revival in 1840 (DeFries 1986). Estuaries are acting as sediment sinks in the larger Potomac River basin system.

Today, these areas are mostly reforested rendering the slopes more stable (fig. 12). For cultural interpretation needs, the historic site may consider plans to expand upon the cleared areas. The resulting exposure of unvegetated soil and unforested slopes will lead to an increase in soil erosion and subsequent sediment loading into local streams. These effects merit consideration during resource management decision making.

Human impacts remain today in the form of pipelines, power lines, roads, buildings, trails and visitor use areas, while invasive species, acid rain, and air and water pollution related to human activities also continue to take their toll on the landscape. Furthermore, encroaching urban development is a constant threat to the natural and open space of the area. The population of the area surrounding Thomas Stone National Historic Site is growing rapidly, especially with the recent influx of commuters from the metropolitan Washington, D.C. area. Increased development in the area makes conservation of existing forest, wetland, and meadow community types a critical concern. Understanding the geology beneath the biotic communities becomes vital to their management. Management of the landscape for historic preservation purposes complements the preservation of these ecosystems. Resource management of anthropogenic impacts is an ongoing process.

Inventory, Monitoring, and Research Recommendations for Evolution of the Landscape
- Encourage cooperative agreements with local universities, government agencies, and other groups to study the evolution of the landscape at Thomas Stone National Historic Site and the effects of change.
- Perform soil and substrate composition studies to determine how the agricultural practices of the past are affecting modern development and how the substrate is evolving.
- Utilize a GIS with LiDAR data and GPS logged ground information to monitor land use changes, monitor land use and development plans, and create community profiles in surrounding areas.
- Consult and cooperate with state, local, and federal conservation groups regarding efforts to increase the areas of relevant parklands and protect more of the region from development.
- Cooperate with local developers to minimize impact near site areas and promote environmentally sound
methods of developing land parcels including partial clearing of trees and proper construction of stable slopes.

- Perform studies to attempt to define the impact of surrounding land use patterns on the geomorphology of the landscape at the site.
- Perform soil assessments in the park, relating these to past climatic patterns (i.e. colder periods with more precipitation, less vegetation, and wind patterns).
- Investigate the effects of acid rain on the area’s soils and substrate. Investigate if acid rain is increasing humus decomposition and accelerating weathering of underlying bedrock.
- Investigate location and geology of historic quarries used for brick construction.
- Map historic and cultural features to incorporate into the historic site’s GIS.
- Develop interpretive products highlighting the area’s underlying geologic units and structures. Discuss how the geology affects terrain, landscape evolution, and ultimately Thomas Stone’s decision to settle and farm there.
- Create a general interest map with simple explanatory text on geological-historical connections for visitors to the site.
- Update the historic site’s website relating geology with other natural and cultural resources.

Issues with Surrounded Development at George Washington Birthplace National Monument

The population of the area surrounding George Washington Birthplace National Monument is growing rapidly. In 2000, Westmoreland County had 16,718 residents, making it among the least populated counties in eastern Virginia. Recent census results suggest that there was population increase of approximately 25% between the years 2000-2004 in the greater area (U.S. Census Bureau 2006). Increasing populations and subsequent development in the area make conservation of existing forest, wetland, and meadow community types a critical concern. Estimates indicate that in only a few years, the urban encroachment from northern Virginia may infiltrate the Northern Neck area (Hedelt 2005). Understanding the geology and hydrogeologic system beneath the biotic communities facilitates their management. At George Washington Birthplace National Monument, management of the landscape for historic preservation purposes can complement the preservation of these natural ecosystems.

Popes Creek is generally considered to be a low impact watershed. There is a modest human population influence on the system. This is an uncommon status among local watersheds of the Chesapeake Bay. Geologists consider Popes Creek to be representative other estuary watersheds in the Chesapeake Bay region in terms of geologic formations, surficial processes, etc. (U.S. Geological Survey 2002). For these reasons, monitoring the geochemical changes in the water and sediments of Popes Creek watershed is vital for extrapolating baseline conditions to other estuaries and to maintaining the overall high quality of this area (Newell et al. 1999). Surrounding development and agriculture add nutrients and pollutants to Popes Creek tributaries, which ultimately end up in the estuary. Water entering the estuary from the Potomac River and Chesapeake Bay as high tide influx also create geochemical changes in water and sediments. These issues need regional cooperative efforts to improve water quality throughout the region. Local residents have thus far exercised relatively good stewardship of the land rendering Popes Creek a valuable "pristine" scientific resource (U.S. Geological Survey 2002).

As described above baseline erosion punctuated by rapid erosion during storm events is removing land and shoreline reaches from the area. As land and shoreline erodes from the surface at George Washington Birthplace National Monument, surrounding landowners also face loss of valuable property along shoreline areas. These landowners often expect the federal government to put measures in place at the monument to secure the shoreline instead of allowing natural processes to continue. A separate issue related to overall land loss is an increase in property value making the lands around the monument too expensive to consider purchasing for inclusion within the park.

Anthropogenic impacts continue today as pipelines, power lines, roads, buildings, trails, visitor use areas, invasive species, acid rain, and air and water pollution take their toll on the landscape. State Route 3 crosses the Popes Creek watershed. This road increases the likelihood of the introduction of contaminants such as hydrocarbons, salts, and roadside herbicides into the Popes Creek watershed. The Virginia Department of Transportation has a fueling plant within the watershed and there are also three dams within the watershed.

Inventory, Monitoring, and Research Recommendations for Issues with Surrounded Development

- Determine the impacts of surrounding land use changes on the geomorphology of the landscape. Create community profiles incorporating fine scaled topography into a GIS to monitor land use changes. Also, incorporate information on historical land use located in the monument library and county library/museum in Montross, Virginia. Of particular interest is a careful survey of Popes Creek dating to 1891.
- Be informed of and cooperate with local development activities to minimize impacts near park areas. This could involve education programs of environmentally sound methods of developing land parcels including only partial clearing of trees, recycling of downed timber from large storms into lumber for park use (W. Newell, written communication 2009), and stable slope construction.
- Promote the monument area as a place to monitor fluvial processes and changes in the Popes Creek watershed—an important opportunity to expand the mission of the national monument (W. Newell, written communication 2009). This includes...
establishing comprehensive baseline conditions for comparison and future monitoring.

- Promote the reduction of fertilizer use in surrounding areas.

- Consult with conservation groups regarding cooperative efforts to increase the amount of local areas to be protected from development.

- Create a general interest map with simple explanatory text on geologic influences for visitors to the monument.

Figure 3. Steep cliff areas of the Potomac River shoreline at George Washington Birthplace National Monument. Note spalling and blocks being reworked by the river flow (arrows). View is to the west. NPS photo (taken 2005) by Melanie Ransmeier (Geologic Resources Division).
Figure 4. Coarse grained gravel layers in the beds atop the relatively impermeable Calvert Formation at George Washington Birthplace National Monument. NPS photo (taken 2005) by Melanie Ransmeier (Geologic Resources Division).
Figure 5. Slumps of coarse-grained gravel layers on steep embankments along the Potomac River at George Washington Birthplace National Monument. View is to the west. NPS photo (taken 2005) by Melanie Ransmeier (Geologic Resources Division).

Figure 6. Poorly sorted coarse grained gravel deposits at Thomas Stone National Historic Site record distant provenance. NPS photo (taken 2005) by Melanie Ransmeier (Geologic Resources Division).
Figure 7. Scarp resulting from rapid shoreline erosion during the 2003 Hurricane Isabel event at George Washington Birthplace National Monument. View is to the west. NPS photo (taken 2005) by Melanie Ransmeier (Geologic Resources Division).

Figure 8. Low lying vegetated islands within the estuary of the mouth of Popes Creek. View is from the buffering sand spit (fig. 10) at George Washington Birthplace National Monument towards the southeast. NPS photo (taken 2005) by Melanie Ransmeier (Geologic Resources Division).
Figure 9. Ephemeral tributary of Hoghole Run at Thomas Stone National Historic Site. Note sediment choked nature of the channel. NPS photo (taken 2005) by Melanie Ransmeier (Geologic Resources Division).
Figure 10. Sand spit located at the mouth of Popes Creek looking south. The Potomac River is to the left of the view. NPS photo (taken 2005) by Melanie Ransmeier (Geologic Resources Division).
Figure 11. Location of George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site relative to major waterways and regional geologic structures. Graphic by Trista L. Thornberry-Ehrlich (Colorado State University) after figure 6 from Mixon et al. (2000).

Figure 12. Steep, forested slopes characterize portions of Thomas Stone National Historic Site. View is to the southwest. NPS photo (taken 2005) by Melanie Ransmeier (Geologic Resources Division).
Geologic Features and Processes

This section describes the most prominent and distinctive geologic features and processes in George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site.

The diversity of geologic phenomena in the George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site area can be useful to illustrate many geologic concepts in a learning environment. The concept of geologic time is readily accessible in the sediments exposed in ravines and along bluffs within the parks. Rocks and their fossils indicate depositional environments and conditions of life in the past. Relating geology to human concerns such as floods, shoreline erosion, slope failures, siltation, water pollution, waste management and urban development would make the science meaningful to park visitors. Relating geology and geologic processes to the history of Wakefield plantation and Haberdenture lends deeper meaning to the human history of the area.

Geology and Connections with History

The history of the area extends thousands of years before the first European settlements were established. The geology of this region is intimately tied with this long history. The greater Potomac River watershed forms a fork of the larger Chesapeake Bay catchment. The erosion of the Potomac River and its tributaries through the layered and unconsolidated geologic units of the Atlantic Coastal Plain physiographic province formed the hills, ridges, valleys, gullies, and ravines that characterize the area.

The earliest known evidence of human activity in the area is a charcoal dating from 1,360 years ago that was found in a ravine within the flat uplands of George Washington Birthplace National Monument (R. Morawe, personal communication 2005). American Indians of the Algonquin group used this area to provide food, shelter, some tool material, and travel and trade routes to the south and west (Nikitina 2003). Relating geology and geologic processes to the history of Wakefield plantation and Haberdenture lends deeper meaning to the human history of the area.

Settlement began in the early 1600s with St. Mary’s City established in Maryland in 1634. An increase in ragweed pollen, an agricultural weed, dates this initial European settlement to 1634, with another resurgence dating the extensive land clearance accompanying the agricultural revival in the region in 1840 (DeFries 1986).

The Washington family was attracted to the area very early in American history. In the early days, the area’s major waterways were vital for plantation success. Overland trade routes followed early American Indian trails, but were less efficient than the area’s major water routes. When the Washington and Stone families started their plantations, vast, dense forests covered the landscape. Early settlers cleared these forests for pasture and agriculture. Their farming and homestead activities created an agrarian landscape that persists today in the area. Minor irrigation features, stone fences, grazed pastures, extensive deforestation, various homestead features and removal of soil and rocks, elucidate the human modification of the landscapes.

These modifications immediately changed the prehistoric landscape including forest size and shape, topographic expression, soil composition, species distribution, drainage patterns among other effects. Cores taken within the Popes Creek estuary record the transition from a relatively constant organic peat accumulation suggesting a forested environment to the modern agricultural horizon reflecting large increases of inorganic sediment derived from rapid erosion of the area’s soil. A ravine in an upland tributary of Popes Creek near George Washington Birthplace National Monument contains a 350-year-old stump dating from the Brooks Patent slash and burn agricultural practices. Forests were burned and fields were cleared for planting (W. Hewett, written communication 2009). Farming continued until the crops depleted the soil and the operation moved to another location with the entire process being repeated. Although this practice was similar to that employed by the earlier American Indian population, it was undertaken on a larger scale by the European settlers (DeFries 1986).

One of the major goals of each park unit is to preserve the historical context of the areas, including homesites, plantation gardens, fences, paths, views, and restoring the landscape to 18th century conditions. At George Washington Birthplace National Monument, early farmers carved several drainage ditches into the unconsolidated Calvert Formation to drain the fields, irrigate crops, and possibly mark boundaries for neighbors and animals. As a young, practicing surveyor, George Washington surveyed the landscape near Digwood Swamp, formerly a turnip patch within a...
6-hectare (14-acre) area (Rijk M orawe, personal communication 2005).

In 2004, an earthen dam (impounding the unnamed creek running through the monument to Dacing M arsh), used to hold the “ice pond” failed during flood events that damaged main roads and buildings (Belval et al. 1997; George W ashington Birthplace National Monument staff, personal communication 2005). Efforts to commemorate the site have also at times been thwarted by geologic features and processes. William Augustus Washington’s 1820 attempt to sail into Popes Creek estuary failed due to the sand spit and shallow depths at the mouth of the creek. The memorial obelisk, a prominent feature at George W ashington Birthplace National Monument, required transport on a custom-made railway because the estuary was too shallow to allow it to float by boat up Popes Creek (George W ashington Birthplace National Monument staff, personal communication 2005). Silting of many of the local estuary heads was a direct result of European settlement (DeFries 1986).

Maintaining a historic landscape often entails resisting natural geologic processes. Processes such as landsliding, slumping, chemical weathering, block sliding, shoreline erosion, and slope creep are constantly changing the landscape at both park units. Runoff erodes sediments from any open areas and carries them down streams and gullies. Erosion naturally diminishes higher areas and fills in the lower areas distorting the historical context of the landscape. Information gleaned from a study of the evolution of historic land use patterns at the monument and historic site would help prioritize interpretive and restorative efforts. Archaeological sites including American Indian pre-settlement campsites as well as extensive plantation artifacts are under constant threat of degradation or loss by erosion. Baseline erosion rates, if carefully monitored, have less impact on buried cultural resources than major storm events. Hurricanes and tropical storms such as Isabel in 2003 and Ernesto in 2006 removed several meters of cliff face at once along the Potomac River shoreline at George W ashington Birthplace National Monument (fig. 13). High angle, planar joints and concentric sets of sheet joints on weathered sections also characterize the bluffs and cliffs (M ick 1987).

Shoreline Erosion at George Washington Birthplace National Monument
The Potomac River shoreline at George W ashington Birthplace National Monument formed as a result of Holocene episodes of sea-level rise. The local rate of sea-level rise is 27.4 cm (11 in)/century (Froomer 1980). The margins of the system are drowned tributary valleys modified by wave activity in the shore zone and by slope processes on bluffs steepened by basal-wave erosion (M iller 1987).

The morphology of the shoreline is affected by a complex system of geomorphic processes and hydrologic conditions that make its management difficult. The Potomac River in the monument area is very wide at nearly 4 km (2.4 mi). At this point, tidal fluctuations (~0.7 m, 2 ft) in addition to seasonal precipitation and tributary input strongly influence the flow regime of the river (Maryland Department of Natural Resources 2006). Shoreline morphology and relief are determined by the underlying geology of the region and by distributions of the various terraces bordering the entire Potomac River tidal area (M iller 1987). The shoreline itself at the monument is a mixture of estuarine wetland areas, sandy beaches, sand spits, low bluffs and cliffs. The lowermost areas of unconsolidated sands, silts, clays, and some gravel layers are constantly shifting position. The nature of the sediment controls the landscape response to erosion and as a result control the degree of landscape change.

Human development and historic use has changed the natural regime as tributaries have been dammed, erosionally resistant surfaces constructed and deforestation, waterway dredging, and shoreline-anchoring practices applied. These human processes locally increased or decreased the sediment supply to the system. In sediment-starved areas, beach erosion and shoreline loss is an issue. Tidal surges inundate low-lying wetland and estuarine areas with brackish water. In areas with too much sediment, silting and sediment choking are affecting riparian zones, wetland habitat and recreational use. Estuaries seem to act as depositional sinks for excess sediments shed from accelerated erosion from land used in the larger drainage basin with little effects on the greater Potomac River (DeFries 1986).

The higher bluffs and cliffs at the monument shoreline are composed of Tertiary age sediments from the Calvert Formation and overlying reworked sediments, gravels, and pebbles. The Calvert Formation contains unconsolidated fine to very fine quartzose sand with variable silt and clay contents (M Ccartan et al. 1995; Mixon et al. 2000; N ewell et al. 2004). Its bedding is thick to massive and locally it forms an impermeable barrier to percolating water coming through the overlying permeable gravel and sand beds. Seep zones are associated with this geologic framework (M iller 1987).

This creates a natural slump-ramp surface along the shorelines of George W ashington Birthplace National Monument (fig. 13). High angle, planar joints and concentric sets of sheet joints on weathered sections also characterize the bluffs and cliffs (N ewell et al. 2006). These joints funnel percolating water and groundwater through the section, thereby increasing the likelihood of large blocks spalling into the Potomac River.

Perhaps most devastating to the area’s shoreline are the effects of hurricanes and other seasonal storms. In 2003,
Hurricane Isabel caused significant damage at the monument. In addition to hundreds of downed trees (including some 1800s era historic cedars) and roofs, more than 17 m (50 ft) of shoreline was lost to the swollen Potomac River (Hedelt 2003). Recent investigation by H ardaway et al. (in review) suggest that shoreline loss between 2002 and 2007 amounted to between 6 and 7 m (20 and 30 ft), mostly resulting from Isabel and Tropical Storm Ernesto. Numerous cultural artifacts have been lost to steady erosion along the shoreline, but such artifacts are usually exposed long enough to catalog and excavate. Average erosion rates for the entire Potomac River estuary range from 0.42 to 0.52 m per year (1.3 to 1.5 ft/year) (Miller 1987). Near the monument, H ardaway et al. (in review) reported an average, but highly variable, shoreline change rate of -0.5 m/year (-1.6ft/year) from 1937 to 2002. Catastrophic losses, such as can occur during storms, wash away artifacts before they can be identified. Tropical Storm Ernesto in 2006 caused as much, if not more, shoreline erosion than the storms of 2003 (George Washington Birthplace National Monument staff, personal communication 2006).

Further south within the Chesapeake Bay system, efforts are underway at Colonial National Historical Park to decrease shoreline erosion and to protect cultural resources as well as recreation areas along the James and Yorktown Rivers. The height of the beach face at the base of river bluffs is important for buffering wave energy and in determining whether waves reach the bank itself. Protective measures such as groins, jetties, riprap zones, and block breakwaters are designed to either withstand the force of wave impacts or to trap sediments, building the beach front (Miller 1987). These measures tend to remediate only a limited area and thus further structures are necessary in adjacent areas to create a self-perpetuating network of shoreline anchors. During a 2006 visit to the monument, Dave Steensen (Geologic Resources Division) and other geologists noticed a lack of significant woody debris along Potomac shorelines. The presence of woody debris helps to maintain relatively intact shorelines by acting as natural riprap. The absence of adequate woody debris is a concern. As large logs and other woody debris anchor sediment, further vegetation has an opportunity to establish itself. This may be a more ecological and attractive way to slow shoreline erosion at the monument (D. Steensen, personal communication 2006). More research is necessary to determine the longstanding effects of adding woody debris to Potomac shorelines.

**Geology and the Ecosystem**

The scope and mission of George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site is to preserve and protect a historical landscape. This mission does not necessarily include scientific study of the area’s geology or natural resources; however, geology is an integral part of any environment and not factoring geological issues, features and processes into remediation or management plans can have negative impacts on both the natural ecosystem and cultural resources. Relationships between geologic features and processes and the ecosystem are complex.

At George Washington Birthplace National Monument staff, personal communication 2005).

Shoreline erosion affects not only park boundaries, but also significant wildlife habitat at George Washington Birthplace National Monument. The estuarine habitat within the Popes Creek watershed is shifting and affecting the wetland species thriving there. Larger tree clumps within the wetland areas are being lost during erosive storms. These woodland areas provide vital habitat for osprey. Bald eagles also nest among the woodlands lining the Potomac shoreline. Loss of this habitat is affected by anthropogenic and natural processes (George Washington Birthplace National Monument staff, personal communication 2005). Estuaries, such as the mouth of Popes Creek and the greater Potomac estuary, are natural sinks for sediments, nutrients, metals and organic pollutants. This combined with the circulation of saltwater and freshwater under the influence of tides, winds, and river discharges through the hydrogeologic framework creates a complex system (Miller 1987).

George Washington Birthplace National Monument is part of the Chesapeake Bay Gateway Network that strives to encourage stewardship of the Chesapeake Bay and its rivers through a partnership system of parks, refuges, museums, historic sites, and water trails (Chesapeake Bay Gateways Network 2006). As such, the management of the natural resources and other bay related issues depends on cooperative efforts with numerous agencies, such as the U.S. Geological Survey, the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, the Virginia Department of Mineral Resources and others. This cooperation and outreach is essential for resource management at the monument. If more ties between geology and the ecosystem can be elucidated, then gaining an understanding of the environment at George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site will become a greater cooperative resource management priority.
Figure 13. Cross section view of the bluffs of Calvert Formation along the Potomac River shoreline at George Washington Birthplace National Monument. Note the weathering profile of the cliffs with more resistant Calvert Formation acting to pool water in the more permeable overlying sediments. Heavy, water-saturated sediments slump downslope. Graphic by Trista L. Thornberry-Ehrlich (Colorado State University).
Map Unit Properties

This section identifies characteristics of map units that appear on the Geologic Resources Inventory digital geologic maps of George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site. The accompanying tables are highly generalized and for background purposes only. Ground-disturbing activities should not be permitted or denied on the basis of information in this table.

George Washington Birthplace National Monument

The oldest mapped unit in the George Washington Birthplace National Monument area is the Nanjemoy Formation. This sand-rich unit contains abundant glauconitic clay and silt deposits and is nearly 70 m (230 ft) thick (Mckee et al. 1995). Miocene age units include the Calvert Formation, prevalent on the Potomac River bluffs at the monument, the Choptank Formation, and the Eastover Formation. These units contain interbedded sand, shell-rich sands, silts and clays, with occasional quartz pebble layers. (Mckee et al. 1995; Mixon et al. 2000).


The Windsor Formation and colluvium deposits record the transition from Tertiary to Quaternary in the monument area. The Windsor Formation contains interbedded sand, gravel, silt, and clay in terrace landforms. Clasts from these formations were transported from hundreds of kilometers away (Mixon et al. 2000). Pleistocene age map units at the monument include the Charles City, Chuckatuck, Shirley, and Tabb Formations. These units contain numerous fining upward sequences grading from gravels, pebbles and sands to silts and clays. Colluvium beds grade into most of these units (Mixon et al. 2000; Newell et al. 2006). The youngest units include alluvium from the Holocene and Pleistocene transition. These units record important information about the migration of waterways across the landscape during climatic shifts. Colluvium, beach deposits, swamp and marsh deposits, and sand are currently being deposited and reworked (Mixon et al. 2000; Newell et al. 2006). These units may contain abundant archaeological remains as well as reworked fossils and climatic indicators such as pollen and plant fragments.

Thomas Stone National Historic Site

Thomas Stone National Historic Site sits on thick deposits of sands, silts and clays, all of which were shed from the erosion of the Piedmont and Appalachian highlands to the west. The oldest rocks recorded on the map are the glauconitic quartz sands, clays, and shell rich layers of the Paleocene Aquia Formation, and the dense clay and silt layers of the Marlboro Clay. Deep gullying and erosion poorly expose these oldest units in the northwestern portion of the map area (Mixon et al. 2000; Glaser 1984).

Eocene age units in the area include the glauconitic quartz sands, silts, and clays of the Nanjemoy Formation. This unit is fossiliferous and contains distinctive iron sulfide concretions. Atop the Nanjemoy Formation are the Calvert Formation, upland gravels and Moorings Unit. These are all Pliocene age. These units contain alternating beds, often in fining upward cycles, of quartzose sand, silt, and clay, with occasional lenticular gravel and pebble beds. Marine fossils, burrows, and casts are all present in these units (Mixon et al. 2000).

The transition from the Tertiary to the Quaternary Period is recorded in the Pliocene to Pleistocene age Ravens Crest and Windsor Formations. Coarse gravelly sand grading upwards to silty and clayey sand define the cyclic deposition of the Ravens Crest Formation. This unit contains cobble to boulder-sized clasts indicative of source areas as far west as the Valley and Ridge physiographic province west of the Blue Ridge Mountains. The Windsor Formation contains gravel, sand, silt, and clay, mostly derived from the early Mesozoic Culpeper basin and the local Piedmont (Mixon et al. 2000).

The shifting climatic conditions of the Pleistocene Epoch are recorded in the alluvial terrace deposits, and the Chicamuxen Church, Charles City, Omar, Shirley, Maryland Point and Tabb Formations (Mixon et al. 2000; Glaser 1984). These units contain abundant gravel and pebbles interlayered with finer grained sands and silts indicative of active erosion and high-energy transport during the cooler climate of the periglacial conditions dominant in Marlyland during the Pleistocene ice age events (Mixon et al. 2000).

Holocene and Pleistocene age alluvium lines most stream valleys and channels. These are also present on floodplains, and in some places, they form low terraces above the larger river valleys. These consist of unconsolidated clay, silt, sand, gravel, and occasional boulder deposits (Mixon et al. 2000; Glaser 1984). This pattern, which exhibits unfilled streams, is indicative of the transition from recent higher flow rates associated with melting of glacial ice and the transition from the Pleistocene to the Holocene. Recent, unconsolidated, Holocene age sand and swamp-marsh deposits line local...
river and stream valleys covering much of the low-lying tidal coastal areas (Mixon et al. 2000).

**Digital Geologic Map**

Geologic maps facilitate an understanding of Earth, its processes, and the geologic history responsible for its formation. Hence, the geologic maps for George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site informed the "Geologic History," "Geologic Features and Processes," and "Geologic Issues" sections of this report. Geologic maps are essentially two-dimensional representations of complex three-dimensional relationships. The various colors on geologic maps illustrate the distribution of rocks and unconsolidated deposits. Bold lines that cross or separate the color patterns mark structures such as faults and folds. Point symbols indicate features such as dipping strata, sample localities, mines, wells, and cave openings.

Incorporation of geologic data into a Geographic Information System (GIS) increases the usefulness of geologic maps by revealing the spatial relationships to other natural resources and anthropogenic features. Geologic maps are indicators of water resources because they show which rock units are potential aquifers and are useful for finding seeps and springs. Geologic maps do not show soil types and are not soil maps, but they do show parent material, a key factor in soil formation. Furthermore, resource managers have used geologic maps to make connections between geology and biology; for instance, geologic maps have served as tools for locating sensitive, threatened, and endangered plant species, which may prefer a particular rock unit.

Although geologic maps do not show where earthquakes will occur, the presence of a fault indicates past movement and possible future seismic activity. Geologic maps do not show where the next landslide, rockfall, or volcanic eruption will occur, but mapped deposits show areas that have been susceptible to such geologic hazards. Geologic maps do not show archaeological or cultural resources, but past peoples may have inhabited or been influenced by various geomorphic features that are shown on geologic maps. For example, alluvial terraces may preserve artifacts, and formerly inhabited alcoves may occur at the contact between two rock units.

The geologic units listed in the following table correspond to the accompanying digital geologic data. Map units are listed in the table from youngest to oldest. Please refer to the geologic timescale (fig. 14) for the age associated with each time period. This table highlights characteristics of map units such as susceptibility to hazards; the occurrence of fossils, cultural resources, mineral resources, and caves; and the suitability as habitat or for recreational use. Some conclusions are conjectural and meant to serve as a suggestion for further investigation.

The GRI digital geologic maps reproduce essential elements of the source maps including the unit descriptions, legend, map notes, graphics, and report. The following references are source data for the GRI digital geologic maps for George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site:


The GRI team implements a geology-GIS data model that standardizes map deliverables. This data model dictates GIS data structure including data layer architecture, feature attribution and data relationships within ESRI ArcGIS software, increasing the overall quality and utility of the data. GRI digital geologic map products include data in ESRI personal geodatabase, shapefile, and coverage GIS formats, layer files with feature symbology, Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC)-compliant metadata, a Windows help file that contains all of the ancillary map information and graphics, and an ESRI ArcMap map document file that easily displays the map. GRI digital geologic data are included on the attached disk and are available through the NPS Data Store (http://science.nature.nps.gov/nrdata/).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Unit Name (Symbol)</th>
<th>Features and Description</th>
<th>Erosion Resistance</th>
<th>Suitability for Development</th>
<th>Hazards</th>
<th>Paleontological Resources</th>
<th>Cultural Resources</th>
<th>Mineral Occurrence</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
<th>Geologic Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>artificial fill (af)</td>
<td>Mix of boulders, rip rap, unsorted fill and other materials associated with coastal features, possibly jeties and other urban development</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Mixture is ubiquitous with development. Some materials are used for shoreline anchoring. Avoid development.</td>
<td>Some units are intended to anchor shoreline areas, but may shift during heavy storms</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>U nit affects the struggle to maintain shoreline areas prone to rapid change, also records modern human development of the area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Colluvium</td>
<td>Contains 2–4 ft (0.6–1.2 m) thick beds of pale gray to light yellowish-gray silt or sandy silt. Grain size ranges from fine to coarse and locally beds contain shell fragments and molsusks. Unit may include narrow beach sediments and sand bar and silt deposits.</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>Avoid shallow groundwater areas for development, especially for wastewater treatment facilities due to proximity to water and high permeability. Avoid disturbed areas.</td>
<td>Qs is associated with natural levees between the regional Rappahannock River and marsh and swamp areas as well as riparian zone areas and is associated with slumps and rapid erosion. Qs is located at the head of tidal and intertidal areas along the margins of the Popes Creek estuary and filling wetlands. Units are prone to rapid erosion and slumping.</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>Qs contains a record of modern stream valley development throughout the Quaternary. Qm is dated from historic to 6,000 years before present. Rates of accumulation are a function of local sea level rise. Qs is graded to the base level of Pleistocene estuarine terraces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Alluvium</td>
<td>Unit is about 25 m (80 ft) thick and composed of a mixture of sand, gravel, silt and clay present in interbedded channel, point bar, and flood plain deposits. Locally unit contains glauconite and cobble sands. Clay is primarily very fine, quartz, and metamorphic rocks in well stratified to massive beds that appear heterogeneous and medium gray, tan, or brown to yellowish gray mottled.</td>
<td>Very Low</td>
<td>Avoid most alluvium deposits for heavy development.</td>
<td>Unit is associated with stream edge/slopes and prone to rapid erosion and slumping.</td>
<td>Organic litter and debris including leaves, logs, twigs, peat layers, other recent remains including ephemeral beaver ponds.</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Tidal flat formations:</td>
<td>Pooquose M ember (Qtp)</td>
<td>Qtp consists of medium to coarse pebbly sand grading upward into finer clayey sand and silt. Unit is light to dark gray and is 5-8 m (16–26 ft) thick below lowest terraces. Qtp contains Qtt and QCP. Qtt contains coarse to medium and medium to coarse crossbedded sand grading upward to sand, pebbly and cobble layers with local fining upward to fine sands and silts and clays.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unit is likely permeable with an iron oxide band at the base marking a contact with the underlying Calvert Formation; this also creates a slip surface that should be avoided on slopes for development.</td>
<td>U nits contain heterogeneous assemblages of sediments and may be unstable on slopes and if undercut. Collium is associated with slope processes.</td>
<td>Units contain abundant plant litter and organic material.</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>Qtp contains Pleistocene transgression and regression with alluvium ranging from sea level to 3.5 m (11 ft) above sea level. Qtp records by marsh bar deposition across the mouth of the proto Popes Creek estuary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quaternary</td>
<td>Pooquose and Lynnhaven M embemers, undivided (Qtp)</td>
<td>Lynnhaven M ember (Qtp)</td>
<td>Qtp contains a record of modern stream valley development throughout the Quaternary. Qm is dated from historic to 6,000 years before present. Rates of accumulation are a function of local sea level rise. Qs is graded to the base level of Pleistocene estuarine terraces.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unit is likely permeable with an iron oxide band at the base marking a contact with the underlying Calvert Formation; this also creates a slip surface that should be avoided on slopes for development.</td>
<td>U nits contain heterogeneous assemblages of sediments and may be unstable on slopes and if undercut. Collium is associated with slope processes.</td>
<td>Units contain abundant plant litter and organic material.</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>M one documented</td>
<td>Qtp contains Pleistocene transgression and regression with alluvium ranging from sea level to 3.5 m (11 ft) above sea level. Qtp records by marsh bar deposition across the mouth of the proto Popes Creek estuary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Unit Name</td>
<td>Features and Description</td>
<td>Erosion Resistance</td>
<td>Suitability for Development</td>
<td>Suitability for Recreational Uses</td>
<td>Hazards</td>
<td>Paleontological Resources</td>
<td>Cultural Resources</td>
<td>Mineral Occurrence</td>
<td>Habitat</td>
<td>Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUATERNARY (PLEISTOCENE)</td>
<td>Quaternary (Qsh) Shirley Formation (QSh) Charles City Formation (Qcc)</td>
<td>Contains from silty clay to silt with some gravel, cobbles, shells, and shell fragments.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of development; hazardous unless highly permeable.</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation; unless clay and silt rich layers are present.</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation; unless clay and silt rich layers are present.</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERTIARY (POCONE)</td>
<td>Middletown Chesapecten Formation (Te)</td>
<td>Contains fine to medium-grained sand with some clay and silt.</td>
<td>Moderately low</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of development; hazardous unless highly permeable.</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation; unless clay and silt rich layers are present.</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation; unless clay and silt rich layers are present.</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERTIARY (POCONE)</td>
<td>Nancey Formation, undivided (Te)</td>
<td>Contains from silty clay to silt with some gravel, cobbles, shells, and shell fragments.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of development; hazardous unless highly permeable.</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation; unless clay and silt rich layers are present.</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation; unless clay and silt rich layers are present.</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
<td>No documented</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Features and Description**
- **Quaternary (Qsh)** Shirley Formation (QSh) Charles City Formation (Qcc):
  - Contains from silty clay to silt with some gravel, cobbles, shells, and shell fragments.
- **Tertiary (Pocone) Middletown Chesapecten Formation (Te):**
  - Contains fine to medium-grained sand with some clay and silt.
- **Tertiary (Pocone) Nancey Formation, undivided (Te):**
  - Contains from silty clay to silt with some gravel, cobbles, shells, and shell fragments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Unit Name (Symbol)</th>
<th>Features and Description</th>
<th>Erosion Resistance</th>
<th>Suitability for Development</th>
<th>Hazards</th>
<th>Paleontological Resources</th>
<th>Cultural Resources</th>
<th>Mineral Occurrence</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
<th>Geologic Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QUATERNARY (PLEISTOCENE)</td>
<td>artificial fill (af)</td>
<td>M of boulders, rip rap, unseral fill and other materials associated with coastal features, possibly jetties and other urban development</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>U nit rates are used for shoreline anchoring, avoid for development</td>
<td>Units are intended to anchor shoreline areas, but may shift during heavy storms</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>May contain modern artifacts and information about historic shoreline evolution</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>Shore bird habitat</td>
<td>Not suitable for recreation</td>
<td>Unit admits to the struggle to maintain shoreline areas prone to rapid change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUATERNARY (HOLOCENE)</td>
<td>Sand (Qs)</td>
<td>Marsh deposits (Qm)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>AVOID massive and shearlip edge/plan areas for heavy development, especially for wastewater treatment facilities due to proximity to water and high permeability; the unconsolidated and transient nature of the deposits hinder establishment of permanent infrastructure or trails</td>
<td>AVOID for heavy development due to instability of slopes and high permeability as well as proximity to fragile riparian stream channels</td>
<td>Organic debris including leaves, logs, twigs, peat layers, other recent remains</td>
<td>May contain artifacts and/or settlement sites along major waterways</td>
<td>Sand, gravel, silt, clay, peat</td>
<td>Riparian zones and burrow habitat, beaver habitat, shore and marsh bird habitat in rush area</td>
<td>QS is suitable for some trail development</td>
<td>Unit contains a record of modern stream valley development throughout the Quaternary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marsh deposits (Qm)</td>
<td>Swamp deposits (Qsw)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>AVOID for most alluvial deposits due to instability of slopes and high permeability as well as proximity to fragile riparian stream channels</td>
<td>U nit rates are associated with stream edge slopes and prone to rapid erosion and slumping</td>
<td>Organic debris including leaves, logs, twigs, peat layers, other recent remains</td>
<td>May contain artifacts and/or settlement sites along major waterways</td>
<td>Clay, sand, gravel, pebbles</td>
<td>Burrow habitat</td>
<td>Not suitable for development</td>
<td>U nit contains record of a brackish water depositional environment for the past 10,000 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quarriedicule Formation, M, Ayardland Point Formation, unit 1 (Qmp1)</td>
<td>Tabb Formation, Sedgfield Member (Qm) Ayardland Point Formation, unit 1 (Qmp1) unit 2 (Qmp2)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>AVOID for most development due high permeability as well as proximity to water as high terraces that may be undercut and unstable</td>
<td>Presence of unconsolidated unit at terraces may be prone to mass wasting and dumping along major rivers</td>
<td>Oatoms, oyster (Crassostrea virginica), abundant plant fragments</td>
<td>May contain artifacts</td>
<td>Clay, sand, gravel, pebbles</td>
<td>Burrow habitat</td>
<td>Units should be avoided for heavy development due to the instability of terrace deposits</td>
<td>U nit contains record of a brackish water depositional environment for the past 10,000 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alluvial terrace deposits (Quat)</td>
<td>Shirley Formation, unit 1 (Qb) Omar Formation (Qo) Charles City Formation (Qcc) Chichester Church Formation (Qccm)</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>AVOID for most alluvial terrace deposits for heavy development due to instability of slopes, high permeability, heterogeneity and unconsolidated nature of units may render them unstable for foundations and susceptible to gullying</td>
<td>U nit thick nes and presence along upper valley areas may increase likelihood of slope instability and mass wasting, units erode easily creating locally undercut areas susceptible to slides</td>
<td>Recent remains possible, coral A. Astrapal in Qcs, peat plugs</td>
<td>May contain artifacts and ancient campates</td>
<td>Sand, gravel, pebbles, peat</td>
<td>Upper deposits support hardwood forests</td>
<td>Suitable for most recreation unless high, undercut slopes are present</td>
<td>U nit record fluvial deposition throughout the late Pleistocene, A. Astrapal yielded a Uranium-Thorium radiometric date of 184,000 ±20,000 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Age

| TERTIARY (PALEOCENE) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Name (Symbol)</th>
<th>Features and Description</th>
<th>Erosion Resistance</th>
<th>Suitability for Development</th>
<th>Hazards</th>
<th>Paleontological Resources</th>
<th>Cultural Resources</th>
<th>Mineral Occurrence</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
<th>Geologic Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ravens Crest (TC)</td>
<td>Contains quartzite sand and sandy gravel with cobbles, pebbles, and boulders present locally. Unit ranges in thickness from 3 to 10 ft (1 to 3 m) and appears white to grayish-yellow in outcrop on high-level terraces. Tm is composed of gravelly sand to coarse gravel and sand interbedded and capped by a sandy loam. Coarse sand grades upward to fine silty sand and clayey silt. Clay-rich horizons provide slip surfaces when water saturated.</td>
<td>Moderately low</td>
<td>Suitable for most development; avoid pebbly layers for waste treatment facilities and avoid expandable clay-rich layers for road and trail development.</td>
<td>Mosaic bedded pebbles and sandstones, some interesting cobble and pebble-sized boulders are present.</td>
<td>Nannofossils, nann化石.</td>
<td>No documentation</td>
<td>Iron sulfide concretions may have provided fire making materials</td>
<td>None documented</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation unless very clay-rich layers are present</td>
<td>Unit records Miocene age marine depositional environments, Tm was deposited in a fluvial and estuarine environment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanjemoy Formation, undivided (TN)</td>
<td>Contains 1 to 33 m (3 to 108 ft) thick of yellowish brown (weathered) to dark gray olive, grayish green and olive green glauconitic quartz sand. Present in layers and thin to coarse, clayey and silty, micaceous and shell rich interbeds of silt and clay, rich in plant debris and very low permeable. Bedding is mostly obscured by pervasive burrowing.</td>
<td>Moderately low</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of development which may be unsuitable for septic systems.</td>
<td>Glauconite cemented sand may slide off slopes in large blocks or sheets, especially if water saturated.</td>
<td>Foraminifers, ostracodes, mollusks, and small bivalves.</td>
<td>No documentation</td>
<td>None documented</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation unless very clay-rich layers are present</td>
<td>Unit records Eocene marine depositional environments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marlboro Clay (TM)</td>
<td>Contains 1 to 33 m (3 to 108 ft) thick of yellowish brown (weathered) to dark gray olive, grayish green and olive green glauconitic quartz sand. Present in layers and thin to coarse, clayey and silty, micaceous and shell rich interbeds of silt and clay, rich in plant debris and very low permeable. Bedding is mostly obscured by pervasive burrowing.</td>
<td>Moderately low</td>
<td>Avoid for most development as it is likely to slip on slopes and acts as an aquifer locally.</td>
<td>Lignite coal remains, small mollusks, foraminifera, calcareous nannoplankton, dinoflagellates.</td>
<td>Clay may have been used to make pots, paint.</td>
<td>No documentation</td>
<td>None documented</td>
<td>Unit makes a slippery trail base and avoids most forms of recreation development.</td>
<td>Unit is a widespread marker bed, conspicuous in the regional stratigraphic column and records a very shallow marine or brackish water tidal flat environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquia Formation (TA)</td>
<td>Gray to black mudstone to siltstone with occasional thin layers of sandstone and claystone. Fresh surfaces are dark gray to black, whereas weathered exposures are tan to yellowish gray to brownish-orange in patches or motilies. Unit supports an important freshwater aquifer.</td>
<td>Moderately low</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of development unless highly permeable layers are present, or significant heterogeneity exists locally which may cause the unit to be unstable.</td>
<td>Glauconite cemented sand may slide off slopes in large blocks or sheets, especially if water saturated or undercemented by poorly consolidated sandy layers.</td>
<td>Mollusks, Crassatellites gigas, Ostra nivalis, Corbula ecaudata, Crassatellites sp., and Diversipressa sp., Ophiomorpha-type burrows, small mollusks, and fossilized Turritella mortoni, Sibatella ubispina, Crassatellites alliformes, and Crassatella sp., foraminifera, dinocysts, nanofossils, pollen, borrows, molds and casts of pellyposities, tanned bone molar fragment.</td>
<td>N one documented</td>
<td>Sand, glauconite, silt, clay, limeite. Poor orientation may provide burrowing habitat</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation unless very clay-rich layers are present</td>
<td>Unit records Quaternary marine depositional environments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TERTIARY (Eocene)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Name (Symbol)</th>
<th>Features and Description</th>
<th>Erosion Resistance</th>
<th>Suitability for Development</th>
<th>Hazards</th>
<th>Paleontological Resources</th>
<th>Cultural Resources</th>
<th>Mineral Occurrence</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
<th>Geologic Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M soarings Unit of Oakes and Cooch (1973) (TM)</td>
<td>Contains 1 to 33 m (3 to 108 ft) thick of yellowish brown (weathered) to dark gray olive, grayish green and olive green glauconitic quartz sand. Present in layers and thin to coarse, clayey and silty, micaceous and shell rich interbeds of silt and clay, rich in plant debris and very low permeable. Bedding is mostly obscured by pervasive burrowing.</td>
<td>Moderately low</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of development which may be unsuitable for septic systems.</td>
<td>Glauconite cemented sand may slide off slopes in large blocks or sheets, especially if water saturated.</td>
<td>Foraminifers, ostracodes, mollusks, and small bivalves.</td>
<td>No documentation</td>
<td>None documented</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation unless very clay-rich layers are present</td>
<td>Unit records Eocene marine depositional environments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TERTIARY (Pliocene)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Name (Symbol)</th>
<th>Features and Description</th>
<th>Erosion Resistance</th>
<th>Suitability for Development</th>
<th>Hazards</th>
<th>Paleontological Resources</th>
<th>Cultural Resources</th>
<th>Mineral Occurrence</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
<th>Geologic Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calvert Formation, undivided (TC)</td>
<td>Contains 1 to 33 m (3 to 108 ft) thick of yellowish brown (weathered) to dark gray olive, grayish green and olive green glauconitic quartz sand. Present in layers and thin to coarse, clayey and silty, micaceous and shell rich interbeds of silt and clay, rich in plant debris and very low permeable. Bedding is mostly obscured by pervasive burrowing.</td>
<td>Moderately low</td>
<td>Avoid for most development as it is likely to slip on slopes and acts as an aquifer locally.</td>
<td>Lignite coal remains, small mollusks, foraminifera, calcareous nannoplankton, dinoflagellates.</td>
<td>Clay may have been used to make pots, paint.</td>
<td>No documentation</td>
<td>None documented</td>
<td>Unit makes a slippery trail base and avoids most forms of recreation development.</td>
<td>Unit is a widespread marker bed, conspicuous in the regional stratigraphic column and records a very shallow marine or brackish water tidal flat environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## QUATERNARY (AND OR) TERTIARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Name (Symbol)</th>
<th>Features and Description</th>
<th>Erosion Resistance</th>
<th>Suitability for Development</th>
<th>Hazards</th>
<th>Paleontological Resources</th>
<th>Cultural Resources</th>
<th>Mineral Occurrence</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
<th>Recreation</th>
<th>Geologic Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Windsor Formation (QTw)</td>
<td>Contains 12 to 33 m (40 to 110 ft) of yellowish brown (weathered) to dark gray olive, grayish green and olive green glauconitic quartz sand. Present in layers and thin to coarse, clayey and silty, micaceous and shell rich interbeds of silt and clay, rich in plant debris and very low permeable. Bedding is mostly obscured by pervasive burrowing.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Suitable for light development, avoid for waste water treatment facility development due to high permeability.</td>
<td>Heterogeneous nature of units may render them unstable on slopes, units are prone to gullying, especially at higher levels.</td>
<td>Plant fragments and recent remains possible</td>
<td>May contain artifacts Sand, gravel, clay, pebbles, silt, boulders; some interesting cobble and pebble-sized boulders are present.</td>
<td>None documented</td>
<td>Suitable for light recreation unless highly gulled and/or undercut on a slope</td>
<td>Unit records the movement of waterways across the landscape throughout the Tertiary-Quaternary transition.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravens Crest (TC)</td>
<td>Contains 1 to 33 m (3 to 108 ft) thick of yellowish brown (weathered) to dark gray olive, grayish green and olive green glauconitic quartz sand. Present in layers and thin to coarse, clayey and silty, micaceous and shell rich interbeds of silt and clay, rich in plant debris and very low permeable. Bedding is mostly obscured by pervasive burrowing.</td>
<td>Moderately low</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of development which may be unsuitable for septic systems.</td>
<td>Glauconite cemented sand may slide off slopes in large blocks or sheets, especially if water saturated.</td>
<td>Foraminifers, ostracodes, mollusks, and small bivalves.</td>
<td>No documentation</td>
<td>None documented</td>
<td>Suitable for most forms of recreation unless very clay-rich layers are present</td>
<td>Unit records Quaternary marine depositional environments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Geologic History

This section describes the rocks and unconsolidated deposits that appear on the digital geologic map of George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site, the environment in which those units were deposited, and the timing of geologic events that created the present landscape.

George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site are located east of the Fall Line, which is the erosional boundary between the Piedmont and Atlantic Coastal Plain physiographic provinces. The Atlantic Coastal Plain is a thick wedge-shaped body of layers of sediment shed from the highlands to the west. These sediments are mostly un lithified sand, gravel, silt, and clay. The origin (provenance) of larger clasts is often located several hundred kilometers away indicating relatively long distance transportation. The Atlantic Coastal Plain contains a record, in reverse stratigraphic order, of the erosion of the highlands to the west. Initial uplift, in the current cycle, is represented by the lowest sediments in the Atlantic Coastal Plain. As uplift and dissection of the highlands continued the cycle of erosion and deposition continued to record the geologic history of the eastern coast of the North American continent. The regional perspective presented here is intended to connect the landscape and geology of the monument and historic site to their surroundings.

Proterozoic Era
The history recorded in the rocks of the Appalachian Mountains begins in the Proterozoic Era (figs. 14 and 15). In the mid Proterozoic, during the Grenville Orogeny, a supercontinent formed that included most of the continental crust in existence at that time. Grenville rocks are more than a billion years old and they form the basement upon which the rocks of the Appalachians were deposited (Southworth et al. 2001). During the Neoproterozoic (late Proterozoic) (fig. 16A), roughly 600 million years ago, extensional tectonic forces rifted the continents apart and formed a rift basin that continued to open and became the Iapetus Ocean (fig. 16B). This basin subsided and collected many of the sediments that would eventually lithify and comprise the Appalachian Moun tains and Piedmont Plateau.

Paleozoic Era
Throughout the Paleozoic, several mountain building episodes uplifted overlapping portions of the Appalachian Mountains. From Early Cambrian through Early Ordovician time, the Taconic Orogeny involved the closing of the Iapetus Ocean, subduction of oceanic crust, creation of volcanic arcs, and the uplift of continental crust (fig. 16C) (Means 1995). The Acadian Orogeny (~360 million years ago) continued the mountain building of the Taconic Orogeny as the African continent approached North America (Harris et al. 1997). During the Late Paleozoic, the North American continent collided with the African continent during the last major mountain building phase of the Appalachians, the Alleghanian Orogeny (fig. 16D). The event ultimately formed the supercontinent Pangaea (Means 1995). During this orogeny, paleoelevations of the Alleghanian–Appalachian Mountains were over 6,000 m (20,000 ft), analogous to the modern day Himalayas in Asia. Erosion now exposes the metamorphosed core of the mountain range.

Mesozoic Era
During the late Triassic (~230–200 million years ago), following the Alleghanian Orogeny, a period of rifting began as the deformed rocks of the joined continents began to break apart (fig. 16E). Pangaea separated into roughly the continents that persist today. This episode of rifting initiated the formation of the current Atlantic Ocean and resulted in many block-faulted basins along the continental margin along with accompanying volcanism (Harris et al. 1997; Southworth et al. 2001). At this time, the sedimentation began that would eventually form the vast Atlantic Coastal Plain.

Starting in the Jurassic, the region underwent a period of slow uplift and erosion. The uplift was in response to isostatic adjustments within the crust, which forced the continental crust upwards and exposed it to erosion. Erosion from the Alleghanian mountains resulted in thick sections of sediment. The sediments deposited on the Atlantic Coastal Plain accumulated slowly over at least 110 million years (McCartan 1989). They were deposited at the base of the mountains as alluvial fans and spread eastward (fig. 16F) (Duffy and Whittecar 1991; Whittecar and Duffy 2000; Southworth et al. 2001). Prograding deposits of sediment derived from erosion of Piedmont rocks coalesced into a submarine delta system in the Early Cretaceous. The fan-delta deposits were later uplifted and eroded acting as a source of material for deposits further eastward (McCartan 1989).

A delta plain environment persisted throughout much of the Cretaceous (McCartan 1989) resulting in widespread deposition of the Cretaceous Potomac Formation as a clastic sedimentary wedge. This formation sits directly on the Paleozoic and Proterozoic crystalline basement rocks deep below the surface at George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site. It crops out at the surface further west lapping onto crystalline rocks of the Piedmont (Mixon et al. 2000). In the area of the monument and historic site periods of sediment accumulation represent nearby uplifting of the source area and depositional basin configurations (downwarping) capable of trapping sediment. Gaps, or unconformities, in the record indicate
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relative tectonic quiescence in the source area as well as uplift and erosion of the depositional basin (M cCartan et al. 1995).

**Late Mesozoic and Early Cenozoic Eras**

In the Late Cretaceous and early Paleocene, a transgression resulted in marine sediments being deposited over the older fluvial-deltaic units (M cCartan 1989; M ixon et al. 2000). These layers are primarily fine- to medium-grained quartz sand and clay with alternating shell rich beds and glauconite rich sands. This depositional pattern persisted throughout the Eocene and into the middle Miocene resulting in the M airboro clay and N anjemoy Formation among others (N ewell et al. 2006; M cCartan et al. 1995). In the middle to late M iocene, relative sea level dropped in a marine regression and regional streams began transporting large volumes of quartzose sand into the system (M cCartan 1989). Geologic units deposited at this time include the Calvert Formation, Choptank Formation, and Eastover Formation (N ewell et al. 2006; M cCartan et al. 1995).

**Cenozoic Era**

Regional erosion continued throughout the Cenozoic. As much as 2–3 km (1–2 mi) of rock has been removed by erosion since the M iocene (W. N ewell, written communication 2009). The amount of erosion inferred from the uplifted and now-exposed metamorphic rocks of the Piedmont and Blue Ridge to the west is immense (fig. 16F). Based on geochemical data and geo- barometric evidence, many of the rocks exposed at the present surface were buried at least 20 km (≈10 mi) below the surface prior to regional uplift and erosion.

The modern landscape of the greater Potomac, Rappahannock and other large river valleys has developed over the last 5 million years (end of the M iocene). A marine regression in the late M iocene allowed fluvial processes to dominate, carving channels into marine deposits and leaving a thin gravel sheet over the coastal plain by the end of the Pliocene. Units deposited during this time include the Charles City Formation and the T abb Formation (N ewell et al. 2006; M cCartan et al. 1995). Following deposition of these units, the development of the modern Potomac River estuary began with a marine transgression (relative sea-level rise) drowning regional river valleys (M cCartan 1989). M uch of the Virginia coastal plain is now exposed above sea level and cut by entrenched tidewater estuaries (fig. 17) (W. N ewell, written communication 2009).

The distribution of flood plain alluvium and ancient, fluvial, step-like terraces of the rivers and adjacent tributaries record the development of the drainage systems from the latest Pliocene to recent. A series of ancient terraces and intervening scarps parallel the present-day Rappahannock and Potomac rivers (M. ixon et al. 2000). Flood plain and terrace erosional features include islands, islets, pinnacles, oxbows, shoestring canals, potholes, and plungepools (Southworth et al. 2001). The elevations of terraces along the rivers suggest the slope values of the ancient and modern river valleys are similar indicating that the terraces formed as the result of a marine regression caused by either global sea level drop or uplift (Zen 1997a and 1997b). The rivers have incised through older, more resistant rocks, overprinting their early courses without notable meandering (Southworth et al. 2001). When the Potomac River cut through bedrock, it left deposits of large quartzite and diabase boulders in its river terraces. Fluvial and estuarine sand, silt, and gravel associated with each set of terraces reflect deposition during interglacial highstands of the Atlantic Ocean whereas downcutting commenced during glacially influenced periods of low sea level (M ixon et al. 2000).

Although glaciers from the Pleistocene never reached the southern Maryland-eastern Virginia area (the southern terminus was in northeastern Pennsylvania), the colder climate played a role in the formation of the landscape at the monument and historic site. Immense quantities of water bound up as glacial ice caused a relative drop in global sea level and increased downcutting by rivers as mentioned above. The cooler periglacial conditions that existed close to the glacier intensified weathering and other erosional processes (H arris et al. 1997). Glaciers, as powerful erosive agents, increased the sediment supply to the area's rivers, building terraces and filling basins. Freeze and thaw cycles inherent to a colder climate would have increased weathering, especially for sloped areas. Frozen ground would cause an increase in sheetflow and runoff with extra water flowing into the ancestral river channels enhancing downcutting and erosion by waterways (M ens 1995; Zen 1997a and 1997b).

The Chesapeake Bay formed approximately 18,000 years before present (Bailey and Roberts no date). Since that time, surficial geologic units such as marsh deposits, swamp deposits, beach deposits, colluvium, and alluvium record the modern geomorphological processes and changes to the landscape at George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site (N ewell et al. 2006; M cCartan et al. 1995). Organic rich swamp and marsh deposits contain plant remains that can provide clues to climate changes throughout the Holocene. Alluvium lines stream channels, point-bars, and floodplain areas with clasts such as quartzite and metamorphic rocks that record long transport from source areas in the highlands of the Piedmont to the west. Colluvium is a poorly sorted deposit formed by active slope processes consisting of reworked clasts of older units and records mass wasting events (N ewell et al. 2006; M cCartan et al. 1995). Continuous wave action reworks beach deposits along the modern Potomac River.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eon</th>
<th>Era</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Ma</th>
<th>Life Forms</th>
<th>North American Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phanerozoic</td>
<td>Cenozoic</td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mass extinction</strong></td>
<td>Laramide Orogeny (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Placental mammals</td>
<td>Sevier Orogeny (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triassic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Early flowering plants</td>
<td>Nevadaan Orogeny (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>First mammals</strong></td>
<td>Elko Orogeny (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mass extinction</td>
<td>Breakup of Pangaea begins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flying reptiles</td>
<td>Sonoma Orogeny (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First dinosaurs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Mass extinction</strong></td>
<td>Supercontinent Pangaea intact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal-forming forests diminish</td>
<td>Ouachita Orogeny (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coal-forming swamps</td>
<td>Alleghanian (Appalachian)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sharks abundant</td>
<td>Orogeny (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Variety of insects</td>
<td>Ancestral Rocky Mountains (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First amphibians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First reptiles</td>
<td>Aulder Orogeny (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mass extinction</td>
<td>Acadian Orogeny (E-NE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First forests (evergreens)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First land plants</td>
<td>Taconic Orogeny (E-NE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mass extinction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>First primitive fish</td>
<td>Avalonian Orogeny (NE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trilobite maximum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rise of corals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Early shelled organisms</td>
<td>Extensive oceans cover most of North America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permian</td>
<td></td>
<td>299</td>
<td><strong>Mass extinction</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pennsylvanian</td>
<td></td>
<td>318.1</td>
<td>Coal-forming swamps</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mississippian</td>
<td></td>
<td>359.2</td>
<td>Sharks abundant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Devonian</td>
<td></td>
<td>416</td>
<td>Variety of insects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silurian</td>
<td></td>
<td>443.7</td>
<td>First amphibians</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ordovician</td>
<td></td>
<td>488.3</td>
<td>First reptiles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cambrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mass extinction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precambrian</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>First multicelled organisms</td>
<td>Formation of early supercontinent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jellyfish fossil (670 Ma)</td>
<td>Grenville Orogeny (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Early bacteria and algae</td>
<td>First iron deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Abundant carbonate rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Precambrian</td>
<td></td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>Oldest known Earth rocks</td>
<td>Oldest known Earth rocks (≈3.96 billion years ago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Oldest moon rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Origin of life?</td>
<td>Oldest moon rocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.46 billion years ago)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Formation of Earth's crust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 14. Geologic timescale. Included are major life history and tectonic events occurring on the North American continent. Red lines indicate major unconformities between eras. Absolute ages shown are in millions of years (Ma). Compass directions in parentheses indicate the regional location of individual geologic events. Adapted from the U.S. Geological Survey, http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2007/3015/.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eon</th>
<th>Era</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phanerozoic</td>
<td>Mesozoic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cretaceous</td>
<td>Shallow sea covers eastern Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Jurassic</td>
<td>Atlantic Ocean opens, East flowing rivers develop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Triassic</td>
<td>Atlantic rifting begins–Deposition of sediments in rift basins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Permian</td>
<td>325-265 Ma: ALLEGHANIAN OROGENY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Pennsylvanian</td>
<td>Coals deposited in coastal swamps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mississippian</td>
<td>300 Ma: Petersburg granite emplaced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Devonian</td>
<td>360 Ma: ACADIAN OROGENY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silurian</td>
<td>Taconic highlands eroded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ordovician</td>
<td>440-420 Ma: TACONIC OROGENY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cambrian</td>
<td>Carbonate deposition on passive margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neoproterozoic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600-550 Ma: Late phase of Iapetan rifting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mesoproterozoic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>750-700 Ma: Early phase of Iapetan rifting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paleoproterozoic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1100-950 Ma: GRENVILLIAN OROGENY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 15. Geologic timescale specific to Virginia. Dates are approximate. Graphic adapted from Bailey and Roberts (no date) by Trista L. Thornberry-Ehrlich (Colorado State University).
800–600 Ma—Following the Grenville Orogeny and erosion, crustal extension leads to volcanism, producing flood basalt and ash flows.

650–450 Ma—Iapetus Ocean continues to widen and the basin subsides; deposits of sand, silt, and clay, shed from the nearby highlands, and marine limestone fill the basin atop the flood basalt.

450–350 Ma—Inland-sea deposition continues as the Taconic and Acadian highlands rise to the east, providing more sediment.

325–265 Ma—Alleghanian Orogeny leads to metamorphism of the rocks, which are fractured, folded, and overturned to form high mountains over the present landscape.

225–200 Ma—Following continental collision, the extensional environment creates fault-bounded basins along the eroding front of the mountain ranges, which provide sediment to the basins.

Present—Erosion bevels the mountains to the present topographic surface; deposition continues toward the eastern coast, and resistant rocks form local ridges.

Figure 16. Evolution of the landscape in the Virginia-Maryland area from the Proterozoic (Precambrian) through the present. Graphic by Trista L. Thornberry-Ehrlich (Colorado State University), adapted from Means (1995) and Fedorko et al. (2004).
Figure 17. Cross section view of the Atlantic Coastal Plain in the vicinity of George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site. Notice the high angle normal and reverse faults in the basement rocks. Note graphic is not to scale, vertically exaggerated, and only representative of existing structures. Graphic by Trista L. Thornberry-Ehrlich (Colorado State University) based on data from Mixon et al. (2000) and Newell et al. (2006).
active margin. A tectonically active margin where lithospheric plates converge, diverge or slide past one another (also see “passive margin”). Typically associated with earthquakes and, in the case of convergent and divergent boundaries, volcanism.

alluvial fan. A fan-shaped deposit of sediment that accumulates where a hydraulically confined stream flows to a hydraulically unconfined area. Commonly out of a mountain front into an area such as a valley or plain.

alluvium. Stream-deposited sediment.

aquifer. A rock or sedimentary unit that is sufficiently porous that it has a capacity to hold water, sufficiently permeable to allow water to move through it, and currently saturated to some level.

arc. See “volcanic arc” and “island arc.”

barrier island. A long, low, narrow island formed by a ridge of sand that parallels the coast.

base flow. Stream flow supported by groundwater flow from adjacent rock, sediment, or soil.

base level. The lowest level to which a stream can erode its channel. The ultimate base level for the land surface is sea level, but temporary base levels may exist locally.

basement. The undifferentiated rocks, commonly igneous and metamorphic, that underlie the rocks exposed at the surface.

basin (structural). A doubly-plunging syncline in which rocks dip inward from all sides (also see dome).

basin (sedimentary). Any depression, from continental to local scales, into which sediments are deposited.

beach. A gently sloping shoreline covered with sediment, often formed by the action of waves and tides.

beach face. The section of the beach exposed to direct wave and/or tidal action.

bed. The smallest sedimentary strata unit, commonly ranging in thickness from one centimeter to a meter or two and distinguishable from beds above and below.

bedding. Depositional layering or stratification of sediments.

bioturbated. Describes sediment displaced and reincorporated in younger units (reworked) by an organism.

block (fault). A crustal unit bounded by faults, either completely or in part.

cementation. Chemical precipitation of material into pores between grains that bind the grains into rock.

chemical weathering. Chemical breakdown of minerals at the Earth’s surface via reaction with water, air, or dissolved substances; commonly results in a change in chemical composition more stable in the current environment.

clastic. Describes rock or sediment made of fragments of pre-existing rocks.

clay. Can be used to refer to clay minerals or as a sedimentary fragment size classification (less than 1/256 mm [0.00015 in]).

colluvium. A general term applied to any loose, heterogeneous, and incoherent mass of rock fragments deposited by unconfined surface runoff or slow continuous downslope creep, usually collecting at the base of gentle slopes or hillsides.

conglomerate. A coarse-grained, generally unsorted, sedimentary rock consisting of cemented rounded clasts larger than 2 mm (0.08 in).

continental crust. The crustal rocks rich in silica and alumina that underlie the continents; ranging in thickness from 35 km (22 mi) to 60 km (37 mi) under mountain ranges.

continental shelf. The shallowly-submerged portion of a continental margin extending from the shoreline to the continental slope with water depths of less than 200 m (656 ft).

continental slope. The relative steep slope from the outer edge of the continental shelf down to the more gently sloping ocean depths of the abyssal plain.

convergent boundary. A plate boundary where two tectonic plates are moving together (i.e., a zone of subduction or obduction).

craton. The relatively old and geologically stable interior of a continent (also see continental shield).

creep. The slow, imperceptible downslope movement of mineral, rock, and soil particles under gravity.

cross-bedding. Uniform to highly-varied sets of inclined sedimentary beds deposited by wind or water that indicate distinctive flow conditions.

cross section. A two-dimensional graphical representation of a geologic interpretation, The two-dimensional plane is oriented vertically so as to show the vertical relationships of rocks.

crust. The Earth’s outermost compositional shell, 10 to 40 km (6 to 25 mi) thick, consisting predominantly of relatively low-density silicate minerals (also see “oceanic crust” and “continental crust”).

debris flow. A rapid flow of rock and soil material involving a wide range of types and sizes.

deformation. A general term for the process of faulting, folding, and shearing of rocks as a result of various Earth forces such as compression (pushing together) and extension (pulling apart).

delta. A sediment wedge deposited where a stream flows into a lake or sea.

dip. The angle between a bed or other geologic surface and horizontal.

dip-slip fault A fault with measurable offset where the relative movement is parallel to the dip of the fault.

drainage basin. The total area from which a stream system receives or drains precipitation runoff.
earth flow. Mass wasting process in which soil and weathered rock travel downslope along a basal surface (landslide) parallel to the downslope ground surface and within well defined side boundaries. Little or no rotation of material occurs.

en echelon. Describes geologic features (particularly faults) that overlap in a step-like pattern.

estuary. The seaward end or tidal mouth of a river where fresh and marine waters mix; many estuaries are drowned river valleys caused by sea level rise (transgression) or coastal subsidence.

eustatic. Relates to simultaneous worldwide rise or fall of sea level.

facies (sedimentary). The grouping of similar rock units based on the sedimentary structures, textures, mineralogy, fossils, etc. of a sedimentary rock.

fan delta. An alluvial fan that builds into a standing body of water. This landform differs from a delta in that a fan delta is next to a highland and typically forms at an active margin.

fault. A break in rock along which relative movement has occurred between the two sides.

formation. Fundamental rock-stratigraphic unit that is mappable, lithologically distinct from adjoining strata, and has definable upper and lower contacts.

glaucocite. A green mineral, closely related to the micas and essentially a hydrous potassium silicate. It is an indicator of very slow sedimentation.

graben. A down-dropped structural block bounded by steeply dipping, normal faults (also see “horst”).

horst. Area of relative up between grabens, representing the geologic surface left behind as grabens drop. The best example is the basin and range province of Nevada. The basins are grabens and the ranges are weathered horst. Grabens become a locus for sedimentary deposition.

igneous. Refers to a rock or mineral that originated from molten material; one of the three main classes of rocks—igneous, metamorphic, and sedimentary.

intrusion. A body of igneous rock that invades (pushes into) older rock. The invading rock may be a plastic solid or magma.

interstitial. Describes a material filling voids in a rock or mineral.

island arc. A line or arc of volcanic islands formed over and parallel to a subduction zone.

isostasy. The process by which the crust “floats” at an elevation compatible with the density and thickness of the crustal rocks relative to underlying mantle.

isostatic adjustment. The shift of the lithosphere to maintain equilibrium between units of varying mass and density; excess mass above is balanced by a deficit of density below, and vice versa.

joint. A semi-planar break in rock without relative movement of rocks on either side of the fracture surface.

landslide. Any process or landform resulting from rapid mass movement under relatively dry conditions.

levees. Raised ridges lining the banks of a stream. May be natural or artificial.

lignite. Brownish-black coal intermediate between peat and subbituminous coal.

lithification. The conversion of sediment into solid rock through cementation and compaction.

lithology. The physical description or classification of a rock or rock unit based on characters such as its color, mineralogic composition, and grain size.

longshore current. A current parallel to a coastline caused by waves approaching the shore at an oblique angle.

matrix. The fine grained material between coarse (larger) grains in igneous rocks or poorly sorted clastic sediments or rocks. Also refers to rock or sediment in which a fossil is embedded.

meanders. Sinuous lateral curves or bends in a stream’s channel.

mechanical weathering. The physical breakup of rocks without change in composition. Synonymous with physical weathering.

member. A lithostratigraphic unit with definable contacts; a member subdivides a formation.

mid-ocean ridge. The continuous, generally submarine, seismic, median mountain range that marks the divergent tectonic margin(s) in the Earth’s oceans.

normal fault. A dip-slip fault in which the hanging wall moves down relative to the footwall.

orogeny. A mountain-building event.

outcrop. Any part of a rock mass or formation that is exposed or “crops out” at the Earth’s surface.

outwash. Glacial sediment transported and deposited by meltwater streams.

overbank deposits. Alluvium deposited outside a stream channel during flooding.

paleontology. The study of ancient life.

Pangaea. A theoretical, single supercontinent that existed during the Permian and Triassic periods.

parent rock. The original rock from which a metamorphic rock was formed. Can also refer to the rock from which a soil was formed.

passive margin. A margin where no plate-scale tectonics is taking place; plates are not converging, diverging, or sliding past one another. An example is the east coast of North America. (also see “active margin”).

permeability. A measure of the relative ease with which fluids move through the pore spaces of rocks or sediments.

plate tectonics. The concept that the lithosphere is broken up into a series of rigid plates that move over the Earth’s surface above a more fluid asthenosphere.

point bar. A sand and gravel ridge deposited in a stream channel on the inside of a meander where flow velocity slows.

porosity. The proportion of void space (e.g., pores or voids) in a volume of rock or sediment deposit.

prodelta. The part of a delta below the level of wave erosion.

progradation. The seaward building of land area due to sedimentary deposition.

provenance. A place of origin. The area from which the constituent materials of a sedimentary rock were derived.

radiometric age. An age in years determined from radioactive isotopes and their decay products.
recharge. Infiltration processes that replenish groundwater.
regolith. General term for the layer of rock debris, organic matter, and soil that commonly forms the land surface and overlies most bedrock.
regression. A long-term seaward retreat of the shoreline or relative fall of sea level.
relative dating. Determining the chronological placement of rocks, events, fossils, etc. from geological evidence.
reverse fault. A contractional high-angle (greater than 45°) dip-slip fault in which the hanging wall moves up relative to the footwall (also see “thrust fault”).
rift valley. A depression formed by grabens along the crest of an oceanic spreading ridge or in a continental rift zone.
ripple marks. The undulating, subparallel, usually small-scale, ridge pattern formed on sediment by the flow of wind or water.
scarp. A steep cliff or topographic step resulting from displacement on a fault, or by mass movement, or erosion.
seafloor spreading. The process in which tectonic plates diverge and new lithosphere is created at oceanic ridges.
sediment. An eroded and deposited, unconsolidated accumulation of rock and mineral fragments.
silt. Clastic sedimentary material intermediate in size between fine-grained sand and coarse clay (1/256 to 1/16 mm [0.00015 to 0.002 in]).
slope. The inclined surface of any geomorphic feature or rational measurement thereof. Synonymous with gradient.
slump. A generally large, coherent mass movement with a concave-up failure surface and subsequent backward rotation relative to the slope.
soil. Surface accumulation of weathered rock and organic matter capable of supporting plant growth and often overlying the parent material from which it formed.
spring. A site where water issues from the surface due to the intersection of the water table with the ground surface.
strata. Tabular or sheetlike masses or distinct layers of rock.
stratigraphy. The geologic study of the origin, occurrence, distribution, classification, correlation, and age of rock layers, especially sedimentary rocks.
strike. The compass direction of the line of intersection of an inclined surface with a horizontal plane.
subsidence. The gradual sinking or depression of part of the Earth’s surface.
tectonic. Relating to large-scale movement and deformation of the Earth’s crust.
tectonics. The geological study of the broad structural architecture and deformational processes of the lithosphere and asthenosphere.
terraces (stream). Step-like benches surrounding the present floodplain of a stream due to dissection of previous flood plain(s), stream bed(s), and/or valley floor(s).
terrane. A large region or group of rocks with similar geology, age, or structural style.
thrust fault. A contractional dip-slip fault with a shallowly dipping fault surface (less than 45°) where the hanging wall moves up and over relative to the footwall.
topography. The general morphology of the Earth’s surface, including relief and locations of natural and anthropogenic features.
trace (fault). The exposed intersection of a fault with the Earth’s surface.
trace fossils. Sedimentary structures, such as tracks, trails, burrows, coprolites (dung), etc., that preserve evidence of organisms’ life activities, rather than the organisms themselves.
transgression. Landward migration of the sea as a result of a relative rise in sea level.
unconformity. An erosional or non-depositional surface bounded on one or both sides by sedimentary strata that marks a period of missing time.
uplift. A structurally high area in the crust, produced by movement that raises the rocks.
volcanic arc. A commonly curved, linear, zone of volcanoes above a subduction zone.
water table. The upper surface of the saturated zone; the zone of rock in an aquifer saturated with water.
weathering. The set of physical, chemical, and biological processes by which rock is broken down.
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Appendix A: Geologic Map Graphics

The following pages are snapshots of the geologic maps for George Washington Birthplace National Monument and Thomas Stone National Historic Site. For a poster-size PDF of these maps or for digital geologic map data, please see the included disk or visit the Geologic Resources Inventory publications Web page (http://www.nature.nps.gov/geology/inventory/gre_publications.cfm).
Geologic Map of George Washington Birthplace National Monument
Geologic Map of Thomas Stone National Historic Site

This map graphically presents digital geologic data prepared as part of the NPS Geologic Resources Division's Geologic Resources Inventory. The source maps used in creation of the digital geologic data product were:


Digital geologic data and cross sections for Thomas Stone National Historic Site, and all other digital geologic data prepared as part of the Geologic Resources Inventory, are available online at the NPS Data Store: https://science.nature.nps.gov/ord/gri/
Appendix B: Scoping Summary – George Washington Birthplace National Monument

The following excerpts are from the GRI scoping summary for George Washington Birthplace National Monument. The contact information and Web addresses in this appendix may be outdated. Please contact the Geologic Resources Division for current information.

Executive Summary

A Geologic Resources Evaluation scoping meeting and field trip for George Washington Birthplace National Monument (GEWA) took place at the monument near Colonial Beach, Virginia on July 25, 2005. Scoping meeting participants identified the following list of geologic resource management issues. These topics are discussed in detail below.

1. Sediment budget for Popes Creek watershed including sediments contributed to the Chesapeake Bay, sediment transport with migrating knick points and sediment traps, and sediment storage behind the spit at the mouth of the estuary.

2. Erosion rates and processes affecting upland ravines and terraces, slope stability, lateral fluvial migration, swamp and spit erosion, and Potomac shoreline erosion of beaches and bluffs.

3. Connections between geology and other scientific disciplines at George Washington Birthplace including biology, archaeology, and paleontology.

4. Hydrogeologic system at Popes Creek including the development of a 3-D terrane model and the establishment of baseline conditions.

5. Recreational demands including visitor safety along scarps and at the base of bluffs, and fossil collecting.

6. Seismicity from the nearby Stafford fault system deep beneath the regolith and numerous other small-scale faults, as well as the continual subsidence of the Chesapeake Bay impact structure.

7. Geologic outreach and cooperation.

8. Geochemical characteristics of the Popes Creek watershed including nutrients and pollutants trapped in sediments.

9. Surrounding development and landownership concerns including urban encroachment at park boundaries, potential pollution influx from neighboring areas, and conflicts regarding shoreline erosion of private lands.

10. Connections between geology and the Plantation’s history to appeal to visitors interested in a deeper connection to the landscape.

Introduction

George Washington Birthplace National Monument was established during President Herbert Hoover’s administration on January 23, 1930. The park commemorates the 1732 birthplace of the first president, preserving the heart of Augustine Washington’s Popes Creek Plantation as well as the 17th century home site of John Washington and the Washington Family burial ground. The area is archaeologically rich with sites predating European settlement. The monument covers 662 acres of Atlantic Coastal Plain on the Northern Neck of Virginia between the Rappahannock and Potomac Rivers. The park is 61 km (38 mi) east of Fredericksburg, VA. It protects one of the most pristine Potomac River tributary watersheds (Popes Creek) as well as a stretch of Potomac River shoreline.

Map Notes

The Inventory and Monitoring Program and George Washington Birthplace National Monument identified 3 quadrangles of interest. The park is also interested in the geology of the following 5 quadrangles: Dahlgren, Colonial Beach North, Loretto, Champlain, and Montross.

Rollins Fork Quadrangle is mapped as part of the 2000 Mixon et al. Fredericksburg 30’ X 60’ quadrangle that was incorporated in the digital Geologic map database of the Washington DC area:


A recently published U.S. Geological Survey Open File Report (OF-2005-1025) covers the Colonial Beach South Quadrangle at 1:24,000 scale. In addition, the Colonial Beach South and Stratford Hall Quadrangles are both mapped as part of the Leonardtown 30x60 minute quadrangle:

Additionally, Wayne N ewell of the U.S. Geological Survey has 1:24,000 scale map coverage of 9 quadrangles in the GEWA area, including 6 of some interest to the park, as part of the Geology of the Central Rappahannock River Area mapping project. To date, this map has not been published.

The Maryland Geological Survey produced the following geologic maps for Charles and St. Mary's Counties at 1:62,500 scale. However, none of these maps completely covers the GEWA quadrangles of interest.

Dryden, A.L., Jr., 1939, Map of Charles County showing the geological formations, Maryland Geological Survey, County Geologic Maps, 1:62,500 scale (GMAP_ID 3251)

Hack, J.T., 1977, Geologic map for land-use planning, Prince George County, Maryland, U.S. Geological Survey, I-1004, 1:62,500 scale (GMAP_ID 1442)

McCartan, Lucy, 1989, Geologic map of Charles County, Maryland Geological Survey, County Geologic Maps, 1:62,500 scale (GMAP_ID 2943)

McCartan, Lucy, 1989, Geologic map of St. Mary's County, Maryland Geological Survey, County Geologic Maps, 1:62,500 scale (GMAP_ID 3353)

Many other maps exist for the region that include coverage of the geology, shoreline change, aeromagnetic-gravity, mineral and mineral potential, folio, geochemical and hydrogeology, and stratigraphy, etc. The maps are available from agencies such as the U.S. Geological Survey, the Maryland Geological Survey, and the Geological Society of America.

Lidar surveys provide vital information for mapping Quaternary age deposits, which is traditionally difficult given the level of vegetative cover of the coastal plain. Lidar surveys were completed for the monument area in 2001 and 2005. These surveys could be used for shoreline change comparisons. The use of aerial photographs, erosion rates, as well as seasonal GPS shoreline surveys would also help the monument monitor the changes in shoreline and better manage this resource. Additional mapping at a smaller scale within park boundaries would be helpful for park resource management and interpretation.

Mapping Deliverables
Each of the three quadrangles of interest for GEWA will be approached differently. The Rollins Fork quadrangle will be extracted from the digital Geologic map database of the Washington DC area (OF-01-227) and converted to the NPS GRE Geology - GIS Data Model. GRE staff will digitize the recently published Colonial Beach South quadrangle (OF-2005-1025) using the NPS GRE Geology - GIS Data Model. It is likely that the Stratford Hall quadrangle will be clipped from the USGS Leonardtown 30' x 60' (OF-95-665) and digitized using the NPS GRE Geology - GIS Data Model. However, if it is possible to obtain the Stratford Hall portion of Wayne N ewell's unpublished Geology of the Central Rappahannock River Area mapping project that information could be digitized instead. Bruce Heise will investigate this possibility and make a decision in collaboration with the GRE team during the early part of NPS fiscal year 2006. Once the three quadrangle maps have been digitized or converted according to the standards of the NPS GRE Geology - GIS Data Model they will then be compiled for delivery to the park.

Significant Geologic Resource Management Issues
1. Sediment budget for Popes Creek watershed

Enormous amounts of sediment are stored on the landscape at George Washington Birthplace. These sediments are recycled and weathered from unconsolidated upland units such as the Charles City Formation. Repeating sequences of sands, channel cross beds, silt, clay and humic floodplain deposits exposed in upland ravines record movement of the river system over the landscape.

Other sediments are entrained within the fluvial system of Popes Creek and form migrating bars, marshes, deltas, and channel deposits. Knick points develop in the upland areas, the river then passes in turn into a meandering breach, a gullied reach, and then passes into another knick point before unloading the sediment behind a sediment trap. This system of progressive knick points and sediment traps retains much of the reworked sediments that would otherwise be washed into the Potomac River.

Several bars and paleoscarps across the mouth of Popes Creek record some of the watershed’s former reaches. A sandy spit protects the estuarine bay at the mouth of Popes Creek. Proto-Popes Creek channel deposits supply sediments to this system today. However, this dynamic environment changes rapidly and channels cutting into the bar are resulting in a net loss of sediment to the spit. A thin veneer of loose, wind blown sediments add fine-grained deposits locally.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:

- How much sediment is contributed to the Chesapeake Bay from the Popes Creek watershed?
- Where is the fine-grained sediment deposited?
- Correlate hurricane and storm layers spatially.
- Research stream sediment loads to determine their effects on aquatic and riparian biota. Is sediment loading in the monument streams following a seasonal pattern?

2. Erosion rates and processes

One of the major goals of the monument is to present the historical context of the area; this includes preserving and restoring any old buildings and the landscape around them. Maintaining this colonial landscape often means working against natural geologic changes, which presents several management challenges. Geologic processes such as landsliding, slumping, chemical weathering, and slope creep are constantly changing the landscape at the park. Runoff erodes sediments from...
any open areas and carries them down streams and gullies. Erosion naturally diminishes higher areas such as ridges and hills, undermines foundations, degrades bridge foundations, erodes streams back into restoration areas, and fills in the lower areas such as trenches, ditches, and stream ravines distorting the historical context of the landscape.

Erosion processes at George Washington Birthplace range from rain and surface flow, freeze and thaw cycles to mass wasting. Cracks (vertical tectonic joints and horizontal sheet joints) within the Potomac shoreline bluffs focus water flow and consequently erode, widening until stability is lost and a large portion of the bluff spills off. The stratigraphic relationship between the relatively impermeable Calvert Formation and the permeable, loose sediments (pebble-cobble beds and fluvial channels) above creates a ramp surface that facilitates large slumps and slides along the bluffs. Erosion within the estuary is also causing islands, including Grand Island, to disappear.

Storms such as Hurricane Isabel in 2003 cause significant changes to the landscape of the park. Coastal erosion rates for the slopes and bluffs of the middle Potomac River are 1.3 to 2.5 cm (0.5 - 1 inch) per year. However, during the Isabel storm event, between 8 and 9 m (25-30 ft) of bluff and beach erosion occurred in places. One-third of an entire archaeological site was lost during the storm and the Henry Brooks site is now threatened. The park boundaries extend to the Potomac shoreline, thus shoreline erosion is causing loss of park land and additionally altering microenvironments.

Scientists at the Virginia Institute of Marine Science have modeled ways to attempt to protect the shoreline, beaches, points, and bluffs at George Washington Birthplace including breakwaters, pocket beach formation, chevron rock structures, etc. However, funding is not adequate at this time to take these measures.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:

- Perform several shoreline surveys per year to detect seasonal variations. Possibly recruit a volunteer to monitor the shoreline by walking the 1-2 hour distance each season. Supplement these surveys with lidar, GIS surveys (such as the shoreline and marsh survey of summer, 2003, January 2004, and March 2005), and aerial photographs.
- Continue to study shoreline changes since the 1930's.
- Promote and support coastal erosion rate studies such as the USGS study of the middle Potomac River in the mid-1980's (Miller 1987).
- Study erosion rates and processes in surrounding areas and relate to the sediment budget of the Potomac Creek watershed.
- Define the mappable shoreline and its scale.
- Monitor loss of islands within Popes Creek estuary.
- Monitor topographic changes due to surface and cliff erosion.
- What if any slope stability impacts exist?
- Can cliff erosion be slowed or stopped?
- Promote coastal shoreline stability measures.
- What are the effects of increased erosion on aquatic ecosystems at the monument?
- Is runoff in the monument increasing due to surrounding development? If so, are there any remedial efforts resource management can undertake to reduce this impact?

3. Connections between geology and other scientific disciplines

Geology forms the basis of any environment. Relationships between geologic features and processes and the ecosystem are complex. Shoreline erosion affects park boundaries and wildlife habitat at George Washington Birthplace National Monument. Estuarine habitat is shifting and impacting wetland species. Larger tree clumps within the wetland areas are being lost during erosive storms. These woodland areas provide vital habitat for osprey. Bald eagles also nest among the woodlands lining the Potomac River shoreline.

Archaeological sites including Native American pre-settlement campsites and plantation artifacts are threatened by erosion. In addition to the continual slope processes active along the shoreline, major storm events can remove meters of cliff face at one time. Further discussion on archaeology at the park is under item number 10, below.

Paleontological resources are constantly exposed within the monument by erosion. Paleocene to Miocene age shark teeth, duodongos, and bones from seals, whales, and porpoises are among the many fossils present in the bluffs and slope deposits. Calvert fossils are part of the Smithsonian collection. Mastodon teeth, a testament to Pleistocene ice age climate fluctuations, are also present in some subaerial deposits at the monument. Due to upland erosion and reworking of sediments, fossils tend to be concentrated along the shorelines. These specimens are attractive to collectors, but also are a unique resource within the monument that requires management.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:

- Monitor paleontological exposures as they are exposed by erosion.
- Map archaeological sites.
- Establish a time estimate to cultural resource loss due to erosion processes.

4. Hydrogeologic system at Popes Creek

Resource management staff need to understand how water is moving through the hydrogeologic system into, under, and from the monument. Management also needs to understand how the water table might change over time. Several wells throughout the area could be used for monitoring of groundwater quality. It would be useful to perform tracer studies in these wells to see how quickly and in what direction water is moving through the system.
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Understanding the hydrogeologic system is critical to understand the impacts of human introduced contaminants on the ecosystem. The interaction between groundwater flow and the overall water quality should be quantitatively determined at the monument.

Swamp surveys indicate that land use patterns have effects on erosion and deposition within the estuary. Logging efforts aimed at increasing visibility of the commemorative obelisk seems correlative to swamp degradation and tidal channel proliferation. Erosion of the wetlands has increased due to logging over the past 100 years. Wetlands are typically considered indicators of overall ecosystem health and should be researched and monitored periodically.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
- Obtain subsurface data (depth to layers, composition, etc.) for understanding groundwater movement through layers of variable permeability. The Oak Grove core hole exists within the Rollins Fork Quadrangle. This core was 365 m (1,200 ft) deep and was part of a 1977-1978 study by the Virginia Department of Mineral Resources (VDMR).
- Cooperate with the USGS to obtain further cores within the Popes Creek watershed to accompany cores from the delta and estuary mouth.
- Study estuarine terraces cut into the upland areas to chart fluvial changes within the watershed.
- Will an island form from the spit and Longwood swamp due to increased erosion?
- Will sedimentation trap the swamp lands, leading to increased salinity there?
- Obtain core surveys across the mouth of Popes Creek and the estuary.
- Monitor hydrologic changes of Popes Creek and its interface with the Potomac River.
- Develop a 3-D terrane model of the hydrogeologic system at the monument incorporating cliff morphological changes.
- Inventory groundwater levels.
- Perform dye tests to look at the hydrogeologic effects of local geologic structures on the watershed.
- Test for and monitor phosphate and volatile hydrocarbon levels in the groundwater at the park, focusing on areas near facilities.
- Test water quality at any existing springs.
- Comprehensively map and monitor water and soil quality at all wetlands within the monument. This creates a baseline level of ecosystem health to use for understanding future changes.
- Determine any hotspots for water contamination. Remediate and monitor results.

5. Recreational demands
Although George Washington Birthplace National Monument was not established for recreation, visitor use includes hiking, swimming, picnicking, and wildlife viewing. Fossils within the Calvert Formation are attractive to collectors. These fossils are exposed by shoreline erosion and line the shore at the base of the Potomac River bluffs. In addition to the potential loss of paleontological resources, visitor safety is at risk along and at the base of the actively eroding cliffs.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
- How can fossil specimen collecting be prevented?
- Identify weaker areas along the bluffs for targeted resource management efforts
- Warn visitors of the potential danger from spalls defined by joints within the Calvert Formation.
- Identify undercut areas vulnerable to mass wasting and attempt to keep visitors away from the potential hazard.
- Create an interpretive program discussing the inevitability of resource change due to natural processes as a means to educate the public on resource management at the monument.

6. Seismicity
The Stafford fault system, a structure deep beneath the regolith west of the monument, is considered low for seismic risk. More local faults and joints effect cliff erosion and mass wasting. The Chesapeake Bay impact structure southeast of the monument is still downloading and causes frequent small magnitude earthquakes. Earthquakes may cause significant damage to buildings, fences and other cultural features at George Washington Birthplace. Seismic waves may also undermine slope stability and increase local spalling along the cliffs.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
- Promote the development of an active seismic network for the area.
- Evaluate risk for tsunami and shoreline damage due to earthquake activity and continental slope landslides.
- Evaluate cultural features at risk for damage during infrequent seismic events.

7. Geologic outreach and cooperation
The scope and mission of George Washington Birthplace National Monument is to preserve and protect a historical landscape. This mission does not necessarily include scientific study of the monument’s geology. The monument is a gateway for Chesapeake Bay related issues and depends on cooperative efforts with numerous other agencies such as the U.S. Geological Survey, the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, the Virginia Department of Mineral Resources, etc. to effectively study and manage geologic features and processes. This cooperation and outreach is essential for resource management.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
- Cooperate with the Chesapeake Gateway Network Program.
• Attempt to cooperate with other agencies studying hurricane-related issues.
• Promote studies correlating land use changes with movement of Native Americans and early settlers.

8. Geochemical characteristics of the Popes Creek watershed
Popes Creek is generally considered a low impact watershed. For this reason, monitoring the geochemical changes in the water and sediments is vital to maintaining the overall high quality. Surrounding development and agriculture add nutrients and pollutants to Popes Creek tributaries as does water entering the estuary from the Potomac River. Popes Creek is vulnerable to changes within the Chesapeake Bay and Potomac River watersheds due to influx into the estuary during high tides.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
• Promote the monument as a site to monitor continuous fluvial processes and geochemical changes in the Popes Creek watershed.
• What is the salinity gradient within Popes Creek Estuary?
• Establish a baseline for comparison of water quality at Popes Creek.
• Monitor sediments for pollutants and nutrients.
• Promote less biosolid fertilizer use in surrounding areas.

9. Surrounding development and landownership concerns
The population of the area surrounding George Washington Birthplace National Monument is growing rapidly. Increased development in the area makes conservation of existing forest, wetland, and meadow community types a critical concern. Understanding the geology beneath the biotic communities is vital to their management. Management of the landscape for historic preservation purposes complements the preservation of these ecosystems.

As land and shoreline erodes from the surface at George Washington Birthplace, surrounding landowners also face land loss issues. These landowners often expect the monument to put measures in place to secure the shoreline instead of allowing natural processes to continue. Another issue with land loss is an increase in property value making the lands around the monument too expensive to consider attaining for inclusion within the park.

Human impacts continue today as pipelines, power lines, roads, buildings, trails, visitor use areas, invasive species, acid rain, and air and water pollution take their toll on the landscape. State Route 3 crosses the Popes Creek watershed. Associated with the Virginia Department of Transportation are a fueling plant and three dams within the watershed.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
• Perform studies to define the impact of surrounding land use patterns on the geomorphology of the landscape at the monument.
• Keep rigorous track of land use and development and create community profiles in surrounding areas. Possibly employ a GIS to monitor land use changes.
• Cooperate with local developers to minimize impact near park areas.
• Consult conservation groups regarding cooperative efforts to increase the areas of relevant parklands and protect more of the region from development.
• Promote environmentally sound methods of developing land parcels including partial clearing of trees and proper construction of stable slopes.

10. Connections between geology and the Plantation’s history
Interpreters make the landscape come alive for visitors and give the scenery a deeper meaning. Because geology forms the basis of the entire ecosystem and is directly responsible for the unique history at George Washington Birthplace National Monument, geologic features and processes should be emphasized to improve the visitor’s experience. The website for the park needs to be updated for geologic content and connections with other scientific and cultural disciplines.

Charcoal found in a ravine within the flat uplands dates from 1360 years ago. Another ravine contained a 350-year-old stump that dates the Brooks Colony slash and burn agricultural practices. Cores within the Popes Creek estuary record the transition from a relatively constant peat accumulation of a forested environment to the modern agricultural horizon.

Europeans began settling the George Washington Birthplace area in the late 1600’s. Their farming and homestead activities created an unnatural landscape that persists today at the monument. Minor irrigation features, removal of soil and rocks, stone fences, grazed pastures, extensive logging, and other homestead features dot the landscape.

As part of the historical landscape at the monument, several drainage ditches were carved into the Calvert Formation. These served to drain the fields, irrigate crops, and possibly mark boundaries for neighbors and animals. As a young, practicing surveyor, George Washington surveyed the landscape near Digwood Swamp, formerly a turnip patch within a 14 acre area.

The park is charged with maintaining the historic context of the landscape at the monument. This often means working against natural geologic changes. Many of the historical features at the monument have been lost to coastline erosion. The waterways are changing position constantly as part of natural meandering river flow. These shoreline changes threaten existing park facilities and the historical context of the landscape. From Brooks Patent Point 120 to 500 m (400 to 1,650 ft) more coastline extended into the Potomac River. Church Point, the site of Washington’s baptism washed away before the site became a national monument. In
2004, the earthen dam that holds the “ice pond” blew out. Main roads and buildings are susceptible to flooding during high water storm events.

Efforts to commemorate the site are also sometimes thwarted by geologic features and processes. In 1820, William Augustus Washington’s efforts to sail into the estuary failed due to the shallowness of the mouth of Popes Creek. The obelisk, a prominent feature at the monument, was carted in on railway because the estuary was too shallow to allow water transport up Popes Creek.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:

- Use the park library to track the history of land use. Resources within the library include an 1891 survey of the eastern side of Popes Creek Estuary as well as historical photographs.
- Use N-alkanes to measure changes in land use through time.
- Create interpretive programs concerning geologic features and processes and their effects on the settlement history of the monument.
- Encourage interaction between geologists and the interpretive staff to come up with a list of features and programs to execute.
- Create a general interest map with simple explanatory text on geologic influences for visitors to the monument.
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Appendix C: Scoping Summary – Thomas Stone National Historic Site

The following excerpts are from the GRI scoping summary for Thomas Stone National Historic Site. The contact information and Web addresses in this appendix may be outdated. Please contact the Geologic Resources Division for current information.

Executive Summary
A Geologic Resource Evaluation scoping meeting and field trip for Thomas Stone National Historic Site (THST) took place at the site in Maryland on July 26, 2005. The scoping meeting participants identified the following list of geologic resource management issues. These topics are discussed in detail below.

1. Evolution of the landscape
2. Slope processes and erosion
3. Seismicity from nearby Stafford fault system and numerous other small-scale faults, as well as the continual downloading of the Chesapeake Bay impact structure
4. Hydrogeologic characterization to understand the flow of water beneath the site and be equipped to predict hydrologic response to contaminants
5. Surrounding development including urban encroachment at park boundaries, and potential pollution influx from neighboring areas
6. Potential fossil resources and unique geologic features

In addition the Maryland Geological Survey produced geologic maps for Charles and Prince George’s Counties at 1:62,500 scale. However, none of these maps completely covers the THST quadrangles of interest. Dryden, A.L., Jr., 1939, M ap of Charles County showing the geological formations, M aryland Geological Survey, County Geologic M aps, 1:62,500 scale (GM AP_ID 3251)


McCartan, Lucy, 1989, Geologic map of Charles County, M aryland Geological Survey, County Geologic M aps, 1:62,500 scale (GM AP_ID 2943)

Many other maps exist for the region that include coverage of the geology, shoreline change, reconnaissance, surficial, glacial, land use, aeromagnetic-gravity, mineral and mineral potential, folio, geochemical and hydrogeology, and stratigraphy, etc. The maps are available from agencies such as the U.S. Geological Survey, the M aryland Geological Survey, the Virginia Division of M ineral Resources, and the Geological Society of America.

Mapping Deliverables
The GRE team plans to conduct quality control comparisons between the Port Tobacco portion of the Geologic map database of the Washington DC area OF-01-227 and an earlier map of the Port Tobacco quadrangle (Glaser, J.D., 1984, Geologic map of the Port Tobacco quadrangle, Prince Georges and Charles Counties, Maryland Geological Survey, Quadrangle Geologic M ap, 1:24,000 scale). If the Geologic map database of the Washington DC area OF-01-227 is found to be of comparable quality to the older map then the GRE team will convert the Port Tobacco and Mathias Point portions of the OF-01-227 into the NPS GRE Geology-GIS Data model for delivery to the park. If the 1984

Introduction
Thomas Stone National Historic Site was established during President Jimmy Carter’s administration on November 10, 1978. The National Park Service acquired the land in 1981. Thomas Stone National Historic Site covers 328 acres of western coastal plain south of Washington, D.C. It protects Haberdeventure, the colonial plantation of patriot Thomas Stone, signer of the Declaration of Independence. The home was constructed in 1770 and housed 5 generations of the Stone family until 1936. A fire partially destroyed the house in 1977. It protects some of the rapidly disappearing open space in the Atlantic Coastal Plain physiographic province, a variety of threatened habitats, not to mention the historical landscape.

Map Notes
The Inventory and Monitoring Program and Thomas Stone National Historic Site identified 2 quadrangles of interest: Port Tobacco and Mathias Point.

Both of the THST quadrangles of interest are contained within the Geologic map database of the Washington DC area:

Glaser map is found to be superior in quality then it will be digitized for the Port Tobacco quadrangle and merged with the Mathais Point portion of OF-01-227 according to the standards of the NPS Geology GIS Data Model. The combined digital map will then be provided to the park.

**Significant Geologic Resource Management Issues**

1. Natural and historical evolution of the landscape
   - Haberdeventure was constructed starting in 1770. The Stone family's farming and homestead activities created an unnatural landscape that persists today at Thomas Stone National Historic Site. Minor irrigation features, removal of soil and rocks, garden terraces, grazed pastures, extensive logging, and other settlement features dot the landscape.
   - Many open fields with streams existed during the time of the historic agricultural activities at Haberdeventure. These areas are now mostly forested and for cultural interpretation needs, plans may be proposed to expand upon the cleared areas. The resulting increase in soil erosion and subsequent sediment loading into local streams must be taken into account during resource management decision making.
   - Human impacts continue today as pipelines, power lines, roads, buildings, trails, visitor use areas, invasive species, acid rain, and air and water pollution take their toll on the landscape. Resource management of these impacts is an ongoing process.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
- Encourage further cooperative efforts with local universities, government agencies, and other groups to study the evolution of the landscape at Thomas Stone National Historic Site and the effects of change.
- Perform clay mineralogy and grain size studies on the soils in the park, relating these to past climatic patterns.
- How is the landscape rebounding from early agricultural effects?
- Should the unnatural landscapes created by early settlers be remediated?
- Are soils becoming more acidic due to acid rain?
- Should the historic pond (attracting mosquitoes) be removed?
- Identify and study a possible quarry for early brick construction suspected on the site.

2. Slope processes and erosion
   - One of the major goals of the site is to present the historical context of the area; this includes preserving and restoring any old buildings and the landscape around them. Maintaining this colonial landscape often means working against natural geologic changes, which presents several management challenges. Geologic processes such as landsliding, slumping, chemical weathering, and slope creep are constantly changing the landscape at the site. Runoff erodes sediments from any open areas and carries them down streams and gullies. Erosion naturally diminishes higher areas such as ridges and hills, undermines foundations, degrades bridge foundations, erodes streams back into restoration areas, and fills in the lower areas such as trenches, ditches, and stream ravines distorting the historical context of the landscape.
   - Erosion processes at Thomas Stone National Historic Site range from rain and surface flow and freeze/thaw cycles to small-scale mass wasting. Sedimentation has occurred over the years to the extent that Hoghole Run, as a former trade route for the area, has silted up. Incising, dendritic channels, in places, expose the Calvert Formation.
   - Storms such as Hurricane Isabel in 2003 can cause significant changes to the landscape of the site. Flooding and channel erosion are naturally occurring along small streams within the site. This flooding and erosion can threaten wetlands and visitor facilities.
   - Alterations to site vegetation along any steep, exposed slopes lead to changes in the hydrologic regime. Thomas Stone National Historic Site slopes are covered with loose, rounded, reworked channel sediments derived from piedmont rocks. These rocks are easily transported by surface runoff. The clearing of trees and their stabilizing roots for historical restoration or by fire can lead to increased sediment load in nearby streams and could potentially contribute to slumps and landslides. Hiking trails and other high use areas are also at risk of erosion.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
- Research planting new vegetation along any vulnerable reaches of park streams to prevent excess erosion and sediment loading.
- Monitor topographic changes due to surface erosion.
- What, if any, slope stability impacts exist?
- Can slope erosion be slowed or stopped?
- Identify areas prone to slope failure during intense storm events.
- Are slope processes destroying the historic features at the site?
- What are the effects of increased erosion on aquatic ecosystems at the site?
- Is runoff in the site increasing due to surrounding development? If so, are there any remedial efforts resource management can undertake to reduce this impact?
- Is soil loss occurring at the site?

3. Seismic potential
   - The Stafford fault system, a structure deep beneath the regolith west of the site, is considered low for seismic risk. Enigmatic small-scale tremors with a long recurrence interval may be due to isostatic rebound or sediment loading atop the coastal plain. The Chesapeake Bay impact structure to the southeast of the site is still subsiding and causes frequent small magnitude earthquakes. A large earthquake could cause significant damage to slopes, and buildings, fences and other cultural features at Thomas Stone National Historic Site.
Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
• Promote the development of an active seismic network for the area.
• Evaluate cultural features at risk for damage during infrequent seismic events.

4. Hydrogeologic characterization

Resource management staff need to understand how water is moving through the hydrogeologic system into, under, and from the site. Management also needs to understand how the water table might change over time. The installation of several wells throughout the site could be useful for monitoring groundwater quality. It would be useful to perform tracer studies in these wells to see how quickly and in what direction water is moving through the system.

Understanding the hydrogeologic system is critical for understanding the impacts of human introduced contaminants on the ecosystem. The interaction between groundwater flow and the overall water quality should be quantitatively determined at the site. Visitor use and surrounding development are increasing the levels of certain substances in the water at the park. There are several seasonal wetlands within the site boundaries. Though small in scale, wetlands are typically considered indicators of overall ecosystem health and should be researched and monitored periodically.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
• Inventory groundwater levels at the site.
• Test for and monitor phosphate and volatile hydrocarbon levels in the groundwater at the park, focusing on areas near facilities.
• Inventory and map any existing springs in the site, especially with regards to their potential historical importance.
• Test water quality at any existing springs in the site.
• Create hydrogeologic models for the site to better manage the groundwater resource and predict the system’s response to contamination.
• Comprehensively map and monitor water and soil quality at all wetlands within the site. This creates a baseline level of ecosystem health to use for understanding future changes.

5. Surrounding development concerns

The population of the area surrounding Thomas Stone National Historic Site is growing rapidly, especially with commuters to Washington, D.C. Increased development in the area makes conservation of existing forest, wetland, and meadow community types a critical concern. Understanding the geology beneath the biotic communities becomes vital to their management. Management of the landscape for historic preservation purposes complements the preservation of these ecosystems.

A major issue with decreasing available land is an increase in property value making the lands around the site too expensive to consider acquiring for inclusion within the park.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
• Perform studies to define the impact of surrounding land use patterns on the geomorphology of the landscape at the site.
• Keep rigorous track of land use and development and create community profiles in surrounding areas. Possibly employ a GIS to monitor land use changes.
• Cooperate with local developers to minimize impact near site areas.
• Consult conservation groups regarding cooperative efforts to increase the areas of relevant parklands and protect more of the region from development.
• Promote environmentally sound methods of developing land parcels including partial clearing of trees and proper construction of stable slopes.

6. Unique geologic features and potential paleontological resources

Interpreters make the landscape come alive for visitors and give the scenery a deeper meaning. The rolling hills and gentle landscape and topography at Thomas Stone National Historic Site are defined by the geology. Because geology forms the basis of the entire ecosystem and is directly responsible for the unique history at Thomas Stone National Historic Site, geologic features and processes should be emphasized to improve the visitor’s experience. The website for the site needs to be updated for geologic content and connections with other scientific and cultural disciplines.

Within Thomas Stone National Historic Site are Tertiary age rocks of the Calvert Formation. These rocks have the potential to contain fossils, which would be exposed and removed from the formation during fluvial erosion and deposited in sediment-choked streams. A fossil bone fragment was discovered during a 2003 paleontological survey. Paleontological resources, if discovered, would be an important resource at the site adding to the geologic history of the area. Fossils are also attractive targets for visitors. Fossil theft would be a concern to any paleontologic resources at the site.

Research and monitoring questions and suggestions include:
• Are there potential fossil and mineral resources that might be subject to theft?
• Create interpretive programs concerning geologic features and processes and their effects on the settlement history of the site.
• Encourage the interaction between geologists and the interpretive staff to come up with a list of features and programs to execute.
• Create a general interest map with simple explanatory text on geological-historical connections for visitors to the site.
• Update the site website relating geology with other resources.
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