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Chapter 1
Executive Summary

This section includes the history and objectives of the project, along with major recommendations for retaining historic integrity of the district.

REPORT ORGANIZATION

This report is divided into two parts. Part One focuses on documentation, and includes project history, definition of the study area and its subunits, methodology, site history and periods of significance. Part Two focuses on treatment, and includes condition assessments, analyses and treatment recommendations for individual character areas.

PROJECT HISTORY

Patrick Mundus, ASLA, Historical Landscape Architect and Taran M. Jensvold, ASLA, of Mundus Bishop (1601 Blake Street, Suite 300, Denver, CO 80205) completed Phase 1 of this Cultural Landscape Report (CLR) in May 2011 under Contract No. 1443C2000080900, Task Order No. T8630100031, with the National Park Service (NPS), Intermountain Region, Chiricahua National Monument (CHIR). Work included determination of district-wide primary treatment for the meadow area.

Building on the work of Mundus Bishop, the University of Arizona Department of Landscape Architecture, undertook completion of the CLR in May 2011. The project was administered through a Cooperative Agreement with the Desert Southwest Cooperative Ecosystem Studies Unit of the University of Arizona (Cooperative Agreement Number H1200050003). Professor Lauri MacMillan Johnson served as Principal Investigator, with Helen Erickson as Student Intern. Specialized professional guidance was supplied by Jill Cowley, Historical Landscape Architect with NPS.

Through this cooperative project between the National Park Service and the University of Arizona, research, documentation and analysis, and development of treatment recommendations will be completed to produce a CLR Parts One and Two for the Faraway Ranch Historic District of CHIR. As stated in NPS 28 (Cultural Resources Management Guideline), cultural landscape management focuses on preserving a landscape's physical attributes, biotic systems, and use when that use contributes to its historical significance. A CLR is the primary guide to treatment and use of a cultural landscape. The Cultural Landscapes Inventory (CLI) for Faraway Ranch provides management with documentation and evaluation of landscape elements that

contribute to the landscape significance, but does not provide treatment recommendations.

STUDY AREA

The CLR Study Area is the same as the historic district boundary. The CLR will address all portions of the Faraway Ranch Historic District except for building interiors. The boundary of this district is defined in the National Register nomination for the district, as amended in 2009 (Figure 2_2).

Faraway Ranch is located in southeastern Arizona within Chiricahua National Monument (CHIR), under the jurisdiction of NPS. This historic vernacular landscape dates from the second half of the nineteenth century. The landscape includes the Stafford cabin, the Erickson ranch house complex with the family residence and associated structures including the barn, various sheds, a garage, a bunkhouse, wells, an earthen reservoir, a corral, and fruit tree orchards. Also within the residential complex area is a swimming pool built by the family in 1923 and fed by diverted well water. To the east of the residential complex are the remnants of an orchard and the former site of the family’s vegetable garden. There are also traces of field roads, cobblestone edged paths, irrigation ditches, and a well. In the hills to the north is a rock boundary wall; to the south a military lookout used by the Buffalo Soldiers during the 1885-86 war with the Apaches.

The historic Stafford cabin is approximately a quarter mile east of the Erickson’s primary residential complex. The Stafford homestead of 160 acres was adjacent to the Erickson homestead, in section 26 of township 16 south, range 29 east. This homestead was purchased by Lillian and Hildegarde Erickson in 1918 and combined with the family’s property. The Stafford land was subdivided first in 1945 and again in 1955. Lillian (Erickson) Riggs retained the parcel of land that included the historic Stafford cabin.

Approximately one mile to the southwest of the main complex is the Erickson family cemetery which consists of two fenced rectangular enclosures, plantings, and four headstones.

BACKGROUND OF THE FARAWAY RANCH HISTORIC DISTRICT

National Register documentation for Faraway Ranch was completed in 1980. In recent years, the cultural landscape of Chiricahua National Monument (CHIR) and Faraway Ranch has been carefully documented. Inventory documentation includes the List of Classified Structures (LCS) and a Cultural Landscape Inventory (CLI) – Level 

---

3 National Park Service United States Department of the Interior, “List of Classified Structures (CHIR).”
II completed in 1998 and revised in 2010. The 1993 planting plan by Kumble, and the 2008 Routine Maintenance as a Preservation Stewardship Strategy are additional key references. The 2012 Long-Range Interpretive Plan for CHIR provides additional context and guidance.

CHIR was created in 1924, but it was not until 1978 that the Faraway Ranch Historic District was acquired and added to the park property. When the Faraway Ranch Historic District was added to the Monument, its insertion at the western end of the park impacted the role of the Visitor Center as park entrance and orientation facility. Much of the subsequent planning for the District appears to have been based on utility (entrance station, restrooms, parking) rather than on an in-depth analysis of the interpretive needs of a complementary resource. Looking at the District as a distinct unit, rather than as acquired acreage, will help to clarify issues of circulation and resulting interpretation. It will also provide a better context for the interpretation of the historic relationship between the ranch and CHIR.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES

The purpose of this project is to complete a Cultural Landscape Report (CLR), Parts One and Two, for the Faraway Ranch Historic District. The CLR will meet National Park Service and Secretary of the Interior standards as described in the 1998 NPS Guide to Cultural Landscape Reports. The CLR will be consistent with the 1998: Cultural Resources Management Guideline.

The primary objective of this project is to provide well-documented and considered landscape treatment recommendations that will assist NPS in managing the Faraway Ranch landscape to retain historic integrity into the future. The overall goal is to retain
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and/or enhance historic integrity (preserve physical attributes, biotic systems, historic and compatible use) and to improve landscape condition from fair to good. Landscape treatments need to comply with the National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) and the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). This CLR is not a NEPA/NHPA document, and there was no public review process as part of CLR completion. Implementation of CLR treatment recommendations will require NHPA Section 106 and NEPA compliance.

Specifically, CLR objectives are to:

1. Provide park management with short- and long-term landscape treatment recommendations for the Faraway Ranch Historic District / vernacular landscape.

2. Provide park management with information and guidance to apply to NHPA Section 106 project compliance.

3. The CLR provides necessary guidance upon which specific project proposals can be based. The goal for this landscape is to improve condition from Fair (current rating) to Good, and to retain historic integrity.

4. Provide information for the enhancement of interpretive programs.

Both objectives and treatment recommendations flow from direction provided by the park’s approved planning documents and from discussions with park personnel. Treatment recommendations address current landscape management issues and both short- and long-term treatment needs.

GENERAL MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

During discussions with CHIR staff beginning in 2010, several issues directly related to the management of the cultural landscape of Faraway Ranch were identified. These issues include: compliance with the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS), interpretation of the District, visitor access, facility maintenance, vegetation management, and adaptive re-use of resources for contemporary uses.

Each character area\(^\text{11}\) section includes its own specific management objectives as developed by Mundus Bishop and the University of Arizona. The recommendations listed below impact the Historic District as a whole.

\(^{11}\) A character area is an area defined by the physical qualities of a cultural landscape and the type and concentration of cultural resources. Definition is quoted from Page, Gilbert, and Dolan, A Guide to Cultural Landscape Reports: Contents, Process, and Techniques: 127.
• Recognize, preserve, maintain and interpret important landscape resources from the period of significance.

• Maintain and, when possible and desirable, reestablish vegetation that defined the landscape during the period of significance.

• Consider ways to interpret the processes of ecological and cultural landscape change over time in Bonita Canyon.

• Consider ways to include interpretive examples and perspectives from diverse points of view, incorporating stories of the Chiricahua Apaches, pioneer settlers, Buffalo Soldiers and ranchers, as recommended by the 2012 Long-Range Interpretive Plan.  

• Consider ways to present the complementary histories of CHIR and Faraway Ranch.

• Include historic vegetation resources, especially historic trees, in fire management planning.

• Evaluate visitor circulation routes and location of orientation information and signage.

• Implement the boundary amendment recommended by Torres and Baumler in the 1984 Historic Structure Report.  

Expansion of the Faraway Ranch Historic District Boundaries. Of immediate concern is the inclusion of identified components of the 1885-1886 military camp at Bonita Canyon into the area of the Faraway Ranch Historic District. At present, the existing southern boundary of the district excludes major loci of this camp, including features 25, 28, and 95. It is recommended that the southern boundary be extended upslope on Erickson Ridge to an elevation of 5,400 feet or 5,600 feet to correspond with the northern boundary, and to provide a more natural enclosure for the archeological resources. The current arbitrary western boundary of the Faraway Ranch Historic District also excludes major archeological loci in the Faraway Meadow area and the mouth of Bonita Canyon. In particular, the western boundary appears to exclude a major portion of F20, an early to late 20th century large trash dump attributable to Faraway Ranch activities. Several other trash scatters and dumps along Bonita Creek are also excluded. Several prehistoric sites are also located outside of the present western boundary, including the largest and possibly the earliest known site in the canyon, CHIR 83A-3.

12 Edquist Davis Exhibits, Chiricahua National Monument: Long-Range Interpretive Plan: 24-25.
• Develop an information management system to track all resources touching on the Faraway Ranch District. Existing collections of primary material and considerable excellent secondary material should be made easily available to CHIR staff for purposes of management and interpretation.

KEY FINDINGS

Faraway Ranch has the potential to support a more comprehensive interpretation by reaching beyond the guest ranch period to encompass the narratives of the Chiricahua Apache, early subsistence Anglo homesteading, and the buffalo soldiers. In addition, within the guest ranch period, there is an opportunity to expand the story of the interactive role of Faraway Ranch in the development of CHIR. A larger narrative will draw more visitors with a wider range of interests, as well as encourage return visits to explore additional interpretive foci.

The Faraway Ranch district is more than a collection of historic objects. Maintaining historic relationships and circulation routes is an essential feature of interpretation. Access to and movement through the site should be reexamined in light of these factors. Visitor circulation patterns developed during the NPS period to facilitate immediate access to historic architectural resources have obscured historic circulation patterns, compromising visitor experience. Circulation patterns should be reconsidered with this in mind. Signage likewise can negatively impact visitor experience if it obstructs views of the resources. Size and placement of signage should be reevaluated with the goal of providing a more authentic understanding of the landscape.

The development of a comprehensive mechanism to identify and track all primary and second resource materials in archives at CHIR and at Western Archeological and Conservation Center (WACC) should be considered. This would make it possible to look at buildings, structures, vegetation, archeology, photographs, etc., in a holistic way. While episodic inventories and reports certainly serve to pull everything together, offer new insights and provide structures for dealing with specific aspects of the resource, there is no overall information management system to assist CHIR staff in locating essential materials to be used as the basis for interpretation.

Character-defining and remnant vegetation should be protected and maintained. During the Horseshoe 2 fire of 2011, fire management procedures preserved historic built features, but not, for example, the historic White Oak (Quercus alba) at the Cemetery, which was severely damaged. When practical, the reintroduction of historic plant materials should be considered.

---

14 As recommended in Edquist Davis Exhibits, Chiricahua National Monument: Long-Range Interpretive Plan.
Environmental degradation and climate change impact all historic resources, but are most obvious in the case of topography, water and vegetation. The interactive story of environmental and cultural change over time in Bonita Canyon provides a valuable interpretative link to wider environmental issues in CHIR and in southeastern Arizona as a whole.

Two earlier recommendations, one for expanding the boundary of the district (1984) and the other for including additional defining features in the National Register (2009), have not been pursued by CHIR. Both of these recommendations have value, and implementation should be considered. The full text of these documents can be found in Appendices 7C, D and E.

Although compliance with the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS) was raised as a concern by CHIR during initial discussions with Mundus Bishop, it is felt that it is not feasible to pursue ABAAS projects at this time. Greater accessibility without compromising historic integrity will remain part of an ongoing discussion.
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Chapter 2
Introduction and Overview

PROJECT OBJECTIVES

This document presents the Cultural Landscape Report (CLR) for Faraway Ranch Historic District of Chiricahua National Monument (CHIR). The report includes documentation of Faraway Ranch’s historical development, an evaluation of existing conditions according to condition (good, fair or poor), an analysis of the cultural landscape characteristics, and treatment recommendations for the cultural landscape. The CLR presents an overall approach for addressing the cultural landscape and provides specific recommendations for the eight character areas identified for Faraway Ranch.

As stated in A Guide to Cultural Landscape Reports, a cultural landscape report is “a flexible document, the scope of which is determined by the needs of park management, type of landscape, budget, and staffing requirements.”

The purpose of the CLR for Faraway Ranch is:

- To document the physical evolution of the district’s cultural landscape and provide a base of information to develop a treatment approach for the landscape.

- To document existing conditions of the cultural landscape, identify and describe character-defining landscape features, and analyze the significance and integrity of the cultural landscape.

- To develop appropriate treatment strategies for the preservation and rehabilitation of the cultural landscape resources at Faraway Ranch.

This CLR was conducted at a thorough level of research, investigation and documentation. This level of research uses select documentation of known and presumed relevance, including primary and secondary sources. The CLR is based on readily available primary and secondary source material including the numerous studies, investigations, and documentation that have been done since the establishment of Chiricahua National Monument in 1924. These include the Cultural Landscapes Inventory - Faraway Ranch (NPS 1997), Cultural Landscape Inventory – Faraway Ranch (NPS 2008), Cultural Landscapes Inventory - Chiricahua National Monument (NPS 2001), National Register of Historic Places Nomination for Chiricahua National Monument (2007), National Register of Historic Places Nomination for Faraway Ranch (NPS 1980, amended 2009), Historic Structure Report – Faraway Ranch (NPS 1980).


The CLR builds on the *1997 and 2008 Level II Cultural Landscape Inventory* (CLI),² which provides a detailed inventory of the cultural landscape, a site history of Faraway Ranch, and a discussion on the significance of the cultural landscape.

PROJECT HISTORY

The project was structured in two phases. Phase one, completed by Mundus Bishop in May 2011, included a draft of Introduction and Overview, Site History, Period of Significance and Historic Periods, Overall Treatment Approach, and Analysis, Implementation and Phasing for the Meadow character area. This work was integrated into the completed document during phase two.

Work on phase two was initiated by the University of Arizona team (Professor Lauri MacMillan Johnson and student intern Helen Erickson) in May 2011. Their work was interrupted by the historic Horseshoe 2 Fire which swept through the Faraway Ranch Historic District in June 2011. Site visits were delayed and primary materials from the Park were removed for safekeeping to the Western Archaeological and Conservation Center in Tucson, Arizona. At the same time, the response of CHIR to this major fire event gave new insight into issues of the cultural landscape and fire management strategies.

![Figure 2-1. Extent of the Horseshoe 2 Fire on 6/17/2011 (inciweb.org, 2011). Asterisks, from left to right, indicate protected resources: Cemetery, Faraway Ranch structures, Staff Building and Visitor Center.](image)
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REVISIONS OF PREVIOUS WORK

Two specific items of the Mundus Bishop section of the Cultural Landscape Report were revised during the fall of 2011 in consultation between the Park, Intermountain Region Historical Landscape Architect Jill Cowley, and the University of Arizona. First was an expansion of the 1879 – 1888 Stafford Homestead Period of Significance to include the presence of the Buffalo Soldiers. This now reads:

This period includes the initial development of the Stafford’s 160-acre homestead. The cabin was constructed and a few acres around the cabin were cleared and planted with fruit trees and vegetable gardens. Roads and trails were established to support access to the homestead and daily activities. The Buffalo Soldiers established a camp nearby during the final campaign against the Apaches in 1885-86.

This revision is consistent with the Statement of Significance contained in the 1980 National Register Nomination:

The Faraway Ranch Historic District has significance in the areas of: archeology; historic agriculture; architecture; conservation, settlement: industry (cattle and guest ranching), military history; and social history (specifically Black history, Women’s history, and immigrant history with this one family as a case study). The district is significant under Criterion A and B as it is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history, (settlement, the Indian wars, the end of the frontier, the conservation movement through National Forests and National Monuments), in all of the specific categories cited above, and is associated with the lives of individuals who were of significance locally (J.H. Stafford, Emma Erickson, and Ed Riggs) and regionally (Neil Erickson, Lillian Erickson Riggs, Captain Charles Cooper, and his daughter Forestine). The main house at Faraway Ranch is significant under Criterion C as it embodies distinctive characteristics of a type period, and method of construction, and the ranch as a whole possesses a high degree of integrity. Furthermore, the site of the military “Camp at Bonita Canon” may be likely to yield through historic archeology information important in history.3

Second was a revision of designated character areas, again in consultation. It was agreed that the Cemetery, being a non-contiguous section of the District with a character uniquely its own, was better treated in a separate section, while the Southern Hills and Ranch Road had elements in common. It was also felt that the Bonita Creek area should be expanded to include Newton’s Wash, as they share many common features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Former Character Areas</th>
<th>Revised Character Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern Hills</td>
<td>Northern Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bonita Creek</strong></td>
<td><strong>Bonita Creek &amp; Newton’s Wash</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Hills</td>
<td><strong>Southern Hills and Ranch Road</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemetery and Ranch Road</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Ranch</td>
<td>Working Ranch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main House</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REPORT ORGANIZATION

The CLR is organized into six chapters that present the findings of the research, inventory, analysis, and treatment recommendations for the cultural landscape of Faraway Ranch. Chapter 1 is an executive summary of the report. Chapter 2 includes an overall description of the project, methodology and terminology, a description of character areas and a description of related management issues. Chapter 3 addresses the history of the site as presented in the CLI. Chapter 4 presents the periods of landscape change and the period of significance. Chapter 5 presents the overall treatment approach and specific recommendations for individual character areas. Chapter 6 addresses implementation of treatment recommendations and project phasing.

STUDY AREA

The study area is identical to those of the Faraway Ranch Historic District (Figure 2-2). The study area (Figures 2 – 2, 2 – 3 and 2 - 4) for the CLR is in Bonita Canyon on the west slopes of the Chiricahua Mountains in southeastern Arizona, within CHIR. While the analysis contained in this CLR is limited to the Faraway Ranch as operated and managed by the NPS, recommended implementation of the boundary extension proposed by Torres and Baumler in 1984 would more appropriately encompass important archaeological resources of the 1885-86 Buffalo Soldiers military encampment, along with the now-limited viewscape to the west. The Faraway Ranch landscape, including the Stafford Cabin, is listed on the National Register of Historic Places as a historic district. The majority of the historic resources are in the Bonita Creek basin and are related to the development of the Erickson Ranch and Stafford Cabin site, ¼ mile to the east. The study area is 214 acres in size and includes the original 160 acres of the Erickson homestead and 54 acres of the original Stafford homestead. The Erickson family cemetery, located approximately one mile west of the main complex, adjacent to the road just inside the Park entrance, is also included in the study area.

Figure 2 - 2. USGS Map of Faraway Ranch Historic District (USGS, 1996). The dashed blue outline indicates the boundary of the district and CLR study area.

Figure 2 - 3. Orthophoto of Faraway Ranch Historic District in the Bonita Creek Basin (adapted from Google Earth, 2011).
METHODOLOGY

In this CLR, the cultural landscape of Faraway Ranch is organized by character areas, which are described and analyzed in terms of the cultural landscape characteristics of each area.\(^5\) These include spatial organization, land use, topography, views and vistas, circulation, structures, small scale features and vegetation. Landscape characteristics contribute to the overall determination of integrity, which is based on location, design, materials, workmanship, setting, association, and feeling.\(^6\)

- **Natural Systems and Features** – are natural aspects that often influence the development and resultant form of a landscape.

- **Spatial Organization** - is the arrangement of elements creating the ground, vertical, and overhead planes that define and create space, including the arrangement of topography and buildings.

- **Land Use** - is the organization, form, and shape of the landscape in response to land use.

---


• Cultural Traditions - are practices that influence land use, patterns of division, building forms, and the use of materials.

• Circulation – are spaces, features, and materials that constitute systems of movement.

• Topography – is the three-dimensional configuration of the landscape surface characterized by features and orientation (includes slopes and drainages).

• Vegetation – are indigenous or introduced trees, shrubs, vines, ground covers, and herbaceous materials.

• Views and Vistas – are features that create or allow a range of vision, which can be natural or designed and controlled.

• Constructed Water Features – the built features and elements that utilize water for aesthetic or utilitarian functions.

• Buildings and Structures – are three-dimensional constructions such as houses, barns, garages, stables, bridges, and memorials. Buildings at Faraway Ranch include the Main House and the Stafford Cabin.

• Small Scale Features – are elements that provide detail and diversity combined with function and aesthetics including structural remnants, stone walls, fences, and foundations of building ruins.

• Archeological Sites – are sites containing surface and subsurface remnants related to historic or prehistoric land use.

In December 2010, July 2011, January 2012 and July 2012 field investigations were conducted to document the existing condition of the cultural landscape character areas in consultation with the park. In this document, existing conditions of Faraway Ranch are presented first as a paragraph description, followed by a condition assessment supported both by annotated photographs and diagrams. The following criteria7 were used to evaluate condition:

• Good – Indicates the inventory unit shows no clear evidence of major negative disturbance and deterioration by natural and/or human forces. The inventory unit’s cultural and natural values are as well preserved as can be expected under the given environmental conditions. No immediate corrective action is required to maintain its current condition.

---
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• Fair – Indicates the inventory unit shows clear evidence of minor disturbances and deterioration by natural and/or human forces, and some degree of corrective action is needed within 3-5 years to prevent further harm to its cultural and/or natural values. If left to continue without the appropriate corrective action, the cumulative effect of the deterioration of many of the landscape characteristics will cause the inventory unit to degrade to a poor condition.

• Poor – Indicates the inventory unit shows clear evidence of major disturbance and rapid deterioration by natural and/or human forces. Immediate corrective action is required to protect and preserve the remaining historical and natural values.

• Undetermined – indicates that condition must be determined.

The overall condition of Faraway Ranch, determined in consultation with park staff, is Fair.

In this CLR, analysis compares the ranch’s history and historic features with its existing condition, identifying those landscape characteristics that retain integrity and contribute to the significance and integrity of Faraway Ranch.

TREATMENT TERMINOLOGY

This CLR is intended to serve as the primary tool for the long-term management of Faraway Ranch’s cultural landscape. The CLR includes recommendations for the monitoring, protection, and stewardship of Faraway Ranch’s significant contributing features and cultural landscape. These treatment recommendations are founded on historical documentation, analysis of existing conditions and site history, and the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines as they apply to the treatment of historic landscapes. 8

Treatment decisions are based on many factors, including legislative and management factors (park-enabling legislation; policy, guidelines, and standards; park management objectives; proposed use as defined in planning documents), resource-based factors (historical integrity and significance; level of historical documentation; existing conditions; threats and resource conflicts) and operational factors (health and safety; maintenance requirements; projected costs). 9

For the Faraway Ranch cultural landscape, a general management philosophy of rehabilitation has been identified as the most appropriate approach. Rehabilitation is defined as the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair,

alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features which convey its historical or cultural values. 10

LANDSCAPE CHARACTER AREAS

For this CLR, Faraway Ranch has been organized into eight landscape character areas. Each character area is defined by its physical qualities, topography, spatial composition, type and concentration of cultural resources, along with land use. Boundaries between the character areas represent a continuum of subtle change. In some areas, fences, walls, or topography demarcate the areas; in other cases boundaries are defined by historic use. It is worth noting that the character areas described in this CLR were determined in consultation with park staff; they are slightly different from those originally listed in the 1998 CLI. 11 The following character areas have been identified for Faraway Ranch (Figure 2 - 5).

Figure 2 - 5. Revised Faraway Ranch Historic District Character Areas, no including non-contiguous Cemetery Area (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).

Northern Hills
The Northern Hills character area includes the area north of Bonita Creek, extending to the northern boundary of the National Register District. This area is characterized by steep, south facing escarpments and rocky slopes. It provides a characteristic viewshed for the District.

---

10 Ibid., 82.
11 Johnson and Zube, “Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ.”
and the presence of a stone wall along the original property line is a visual reminder of the linear structure of the homestead land holdings.

Bonita Creek and Newton’s Wash
This character area includes Bonita Creek, Newton’s Wash and their associated riparian zones. Characterized by riparian vegetation, the creek has been an important source of water throughout the history of Faraway Ranch as well as a structuring element of the landscape. A dam and retaining walls are found in this character area.

Southern Hills and Ranch Road
This area features the original access road to the ranch and its associated structures, as well as newer roads and parking lots built as a part of CHIR. The Garfield Monument built by the Buffalo Soldiers was located here, as was the military camp. To the south of the ranch road are steep and rocky north facing escarpments which extend to the southern limits of the district boundary. This section of the area includes the military outlook and Neil’s Rock, both of which provide dramatic views of the Faraway Ranch landscape.

Working Ranch
The Working Ranch is the area containing the highest concentration of buildings, structures, and small scale features that are related to ranching aspects of Faraway Ranch. This area contains the Cowboy House, tack room, tool shed, barn, garages, outbuildings, corrals, fences, reservoir, and windmill.

Main House
The Main House area includes and surrounds the historic Erickson Ranch House. The character area includes the Bunkhouse and adjacent fenced and domesticated landscape areas. The landscape features of this area represent the residential and guest house character of the ranch.

Meadow
The Meadow character area lies between the Main House and Stafford Cabin and is bordered by Bonita Creek on the north and the ranch road on the south. The meadow is a flat grassy field now spotted with junipers, used at various times as market garden, orchard and pasture. It includes roads, paths, small scale features, and vegetation.

Stafford Cabin
The Stafford Cabin area represents a portion of the original homestead on the site. The character area includes the cabin, roads, and landscape features immediately surrounding the cabin.

Cemetery
The cemetery consists of two fenced rectangular enclosures, plantings, and four headstones, along with significant vegetation. One enclosure contains Erickson family graves, the other contains the grave of Louis Prue, a neighboring rancher.
MANAGEMENT ISSUES AND SUMMARY

During discussions with CHIR staff beginning in 2010, several issues directly related to the management of the cultural landscape of Faraway Ranch were identified. These issues included: compliance with the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABAAS), a survey of the district with updated technologies, interpretation of the district, visitor access, facility maintenance, vegetation management, and adaptive re-use of resources for contemporary uses. ABAAS compliance and an updated archaeological survey were determined to be beyond implementation at this time and have been moved to Appendix x pending future consideration.

Each character area section includes its own specific management objectives as developed by Mundus Bishop and the University of Arizona. The following recommendations listed below impact the Historic District as a whole.

- Recognize, preserve, maintain and interpret important landscape resources from the period of significance.

- Maintain and, when possible and desirable, reestablish vegetation that defined the landscape during the period of significance.

- Consider ways to interpret the processes of ecological and cultural landscape change over time in Bonita Canyon.

- Consider ways to include interpretive examples and perspectives from diverse points of view, incorporating stories of the Chiricahua Apaches, pioneer settlers, Buffalo Soldiers and ranchers, as recommended by the 2012 Long-Range Interpretive Plan.

- Consider ways to present the complementary histories of CHIR and Faraway Ranch.

- Include historic vegetation resources, especially historic trees, in fire management planning.

- Evaluate visitor circulation routes and location of orientation information and signage.

---

12 A character area is an area defined by the physical qualities of a cultural landscape and the type and concentration of cultural resources. Definition is quoted from Page, Gilbert, and Dolan, A Guide to Cultural Landscape Reports: Contents, Process, and Techniques: 127.

• Implement the boundary amendment recommended by Torres and Baumler in the 1984 *Historic Structure Report*.14

Expansion of the Faraway Ranch Historic District Boundaries. Of immediate concern is the inclusion of identified components of the 1885-1886 military camp at Bonita Canyon into the area of the Faraway Ranch Historic District. At present, the existing southern boundary of the district excludes major loci of this camp, including features 25, 28, and 95. It is recommended that the southern boundary be extended upslope on Erickson Ridge to an elevation of 5,400 feet or 5,600 feet to correspond with the northern boundary, and to provide a more natural enclosure for the archeological resources. The current arbitrary western boundary of the Faraway Ranch Historic District also excludes major archeological loci in the Faraway Meadow area and the mouth of Bonita Canyon. In particular, the western boundary appears to exclude a major portion of F20, an early to late 20th century large trash dump attributable to Faraway Ranch activities. Several other trash scatters and dumps along Bonita Creek are also excluded. Several prehistoric sites are also located outside of the present western boundary, including the largest and possibly the earliest known site in the canyon, CHIR 83A-3.

• Submit the 2009 National Register Nomination Amendment.15

• Resurvey the site to update information on previously documented features as well as to map undocumented features. The last complete survey was done before 1984, and new technologies such as metal detection and GIS mapping will provide a much more accurate assessment of the content of the District.

KEY FINDINGS

Faraway Ranch has the potential to support a more comprehensive interpretation by reaching beyond the guest ranch period to encompass the narratives of the Chiricahua Apache, early subsistence Anglo homesteading, and the buffalo soldiers.16 In addition, within the guest ranch period, there is an opportunity to expand the story of the interactive role of Faraway Ranch in the development of CHIR. A larger narrative will draw more visitors with a wider range of interests, as well as encourage return visits to explore additional interpretive foci.

The Faraway Ranch district is more than a collection of historic objects. Maintaining historic relationships and circulation routes is an essential feature of interpretation. Access to and movement through the site should be reexamine in light of these factors. Visitor circulation patterns developed during the NPS period to facilitate immediate access to historic architectural resources have obscured historic circulation patterns, compromising visitor experience. Circulation patterns should be reconsidered with this in mind. Signage likewise can negatively impact visitor experience if it obstructs views of the resources. Size and

---

15 Appendix 7D.
16 As recommended in Edquist Davis Exhibits, *Chiricahua National Monument: Long-Range Interpretive Plan*. 
placement of signage should be reevaluated with the goal of providing a more authentic understanding of the landscape.

The development of a comprehensive mechanism to identify and track all primary and second resource materials in archives at CHIR and at Western Archeological and Conservation Center (WACC) should be considered. This would make it possible to look at buildings, structures, vegetation, archeology, photographs, etc., in a holistic way. While episodic inventories and reports certainly serve to pull everything together, offer new insights and provide structures for dealing with specific aspects of the resource, there is no overall information management system to assist CHIR staff in locating essential materials to be used as the basis for interpretation.

Character-defining and remnant vegetation should be protected and maintained. During the Horseshoe 2 fire of 2011, fire management procedures preserved historic built features, but not, for example, the historic White Oak (*Quercus alba*) at the Cemetery, which was severely damaged. When practical, the reintroduction of historic plant materials should be considered.

Environmental degradation and climate change impact all historic resources, but are most obvious in the case of topography, water and vegetation. The interactive story of environmental and cultural change over time in Bonita Canyon provides a valuable interpretative link to wider environmental issues in CHIR and in southeastern Arizona as a whole.

Two earlier recommendations, one for expanding the boundary of the district (1984) and the other for including additional defining features in the National Register (2009), have not been pursued by CHIR. Both of these recommendations have value, and implementation should be considered. The full text of these documents can be found in Appendices 7C, D and E.

Although compliance with the Architectural Barriers Act Accessibility Standards (ABBAAS) was raised as a concern by CHIR during initial discussions with Mundus Bishop, it is felt that it is not feasible to pursue ABBAAS projects at this time. Greater accessibility without compromising historic integrity will remain part of an ongoing discussion.
Chapter 3
Landscape History and Existing Conditions

INTRODUCTION

Bonita Canyon, with its creek, wash, springs and surrounding hills, has attracted animals and people over an extended period of time. The Chiricahua Apaches found it to be a source of wild plants and game; early homesteaders recognized its agricultural and ranching potential; the United States Cavalry understood its strategic value; and later residents appreciated its recreational value. The text in this chapter includes material drawn from previous studies of the area, including Historic Structures Reports, Archaeological Surveys and Reports, and Cultural Landscape Inventories, as well a number of significant secondary sources. Excerpts from cited sources help to provide a comprehensive history for the site and cultural landscape of Faraway Ranch.

HISTORIC LAND USE

The Chokonen band of the Chiricahua Apaches are known to have used Bonita Canyon since the 17th century. A nomadic people, they subsisted on wild plants and animals, leaving little evidence of their passage. Sites from earlier peoples tend to be located on the south facing slopes of the terrace above Bonita Creek. There appears to be no archeological evidence of permanent indigenous settlements.1

Nearby Fort Bowie was established in 1862 to keep access open to the Apache Spring for Union troops heading into New Mexico to fight the Confederate invasion. Prior to Fort Bowie, travelers passing through Apache Pass had to fight for water. Towards the end of the American/Apache conflict in 1885, Bonita Canyon was selected to be the site of an ancillary tent camp garrisoned by the 10th U.S. Cavalry, a unit of the Buffalo Soldiers,2 who served here until Geronimo’s surrender in 1886. In memory of President James A. Garfield, who had commanded black troops during the Civil War, the soldiers built an inscribed stone monument. Over the years the condition of the monument deteriorated, and, in an attempt to salvage what was left, Lillian Erickson moved the engraved stones to Faraway Ranch and incorporated them into a fireplace in her dining room.3

Despite ongoing Apache raids, army veteran Ja Hu Stafford and his wife Pauline homesteaded in Bonita Canyon as early as 1879. They built a log cabin next to the creek and planted an


2 The Buffalo Soldiers were African-American soldiers serving with the U.S. 10th Cavalry Regiment. They received this sobriquet during the Indian wars, when native Americans likened their hair to the fur of the buffalo.

orchard and vegetable garden, the produce of which they sold to Fort Bowie, neighboring ranchers, and the Bonita Canyon military encampment.⁴

In 1886, Swedish immigrant Neil Erickson filed a claim for a 160-acre homestead that included a small cabin built by a squatter named Newton. The land was adjacent and to the west of the Stafford homestead. Neil and his wife Emma Peterson did not begin living there until 1888. Over time the couple made many improvements to the cabin and property.

When Neil Erickson, holding a position with the National Forest Service, was transferred to Cochise Stronghold in 1917, management of the ranch passed into the hands of the Erickson’s eldest daughter Lillian. In the same year, Lillian and her younger sister Hildegard started the guest ranch business. In 1918, Lillian Erickson bought the 160-acre Stafford homestead.⁵ She and her husband Ed Riggs used the Stafford cabin as a guest house and took advantage of the increased acreage to guide visitors into the “Wonderland of Rocks.” The ranch retained these functions until Lillian’s retirement in the mid-1970s.

Chiricahua National Monument was established in 1924 with help from Lillian and Ed. Ed served as trail foreman for a CCC crew building roads and structures for the monument. The NPS purchased Lillian’s property and belongings 55 years later, after her death in 1979. The restored ranch house was opened to the public in 1988.

The ranch landscape is now included within the Chiricahua National Monument and is used for visitor interpretation and passive recreation. Several of the historic structures located within the ranch complex are also used for park staff offices, housing and storage.

⁵ Ibid.§H.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date(s)</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Annotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AD 1600s-1886</td>
<td>Occupied</td>
<td>Chiricahua Apache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1870-1879</td>
<td>Moved</td>
<td>Settlers entered Sulphur Spring Valley, including Louis Prue and Brannick Riggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1879-1918</td>
<td>Homesteaded</td>
<td>Stafford Years. One of the first Anglo-American settlers in Bonita Canyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1885-1886</td>
<td>Military Operation</td>
<td>Buffalo Soldiers’ occupation of Bonita Canyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1886</td>
<td>Purchased/Sold</td>
<td>Newton’s cabin purchased by Emma Peterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1887</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Stone room built (Main Faraway Ranch House)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1888</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>Stafford orchard established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1894</td>
<td>Homesteaded</td>
<td>Homestead granted to Neil Erickson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1899</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Box house built (Main Faraway Ranch House)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1915-1916</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Adobe house built (Main Faraway Ranch House)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1917</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>Hildegard and Lillian started guest ranch business at Faraway Ranch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1918</td>
<td>Purchased/Sold</td>
<td>Lillian and Hildegard Erickson purchased Stafford homestead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1920-1929</td>
<td>Expanded</td>
<td>Guest ranch business expanded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1924</td>
<td>Established</td>
<td>Chiricahua National Monument established</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1925</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Porch built</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD 1925-1929</td>
<td>Built</td>
<td>Swimming pool built by Ed Riggs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## Date(s) | Event | Annotation
--- | --- | ---
AD 1925-1929 | Moved | The Bunkhouse, which had been an addition to the Stafford cabin, was detached from the cabin and moved to its present location
AD 1925 – 1935 | Altered | Ed Riggs remodeled the exterior and interior of the Stafford Cabin
AD 1927 | Altered | Interior plumbing installed at Faraway Ranch
AD 1928 | Built | New corrals and shed
AD 1930 | Built | Cowboy house
AD 1930 | Built | Second story added to Main Faraway Ranch House
AD 1934 | Land Transfer | Ed and Lillian leased land to the Civilian Conservation Corps
AD 1945 | Purchased/Sold | Lillian Riggs sold 80 acres at the east end of the Stafford homestead to a group of investors who created Silver Spur Ranch
AD 1955 | Purchased/Sold | Lillian Riggs subdivided and sold the remaining parcel of the Stafford homestead, retaining 53.96 acres.
AD 1973 | Retained | Guest ranch business ended
AD 1978 | Expanded | Public law 95-625 authorized expansion of Chiricahua National Monument to include Faraway Ranch.

Figure 3 - 1. Chronology of Faraway Ranch Historic District.

## LANDSCAPE HISTORY NARRATIVE by HISTORIC PERIODS

### Apache Subsistence and Resistance 1875-1886

The Apache tribes of Native Americans descended from Athabascan-speaking nomadic people who came to the southwest from the southern plains during the 16th and 17th centuries. One of these groups, the Chiricahua Apaches, consisted of a number of independent groups or local bands, including the Chokonen, or true Chiricahua, whose territory extended across most of southeastern Arizona, southwestern New Mexico, and

---

northern areas of the Mexican states of Sonora and Chihuahua. This area included the Chiricahua Mountains and Bonita Canyon. As semi-nomadic hunters and gatherers, they moved back and forth on the landscape, taking advantage of the wide range of plant and animal resources available at various seasons at elevations ranging from the desert floor to the mountain peaks. Edible plants included yucca stalks, agave heads, cactus fruit, piñon nuts, sunflower seeds, berries, and mesquite beans. Game included deer, rabbits, rats, squirrels, quail, bighorn sheep, elk, and turkey. Raids on Mexican or Anglo ranches provided guns, horses and cattle to supplement hunting and gathering.

After the Civil War the United States initiated a major campaign to resolve the conflict between Anglos and Apaches. Following military actions and negotiations between the noted Chokonen Apache chief Cochise and General George Crook, a reservation was established in 1872 in southeast Arizona, but the project failed after four years, largely due to political mismanagement. When the reservation was dissolved in 1876, the Indian lands were returned to the public domain, and large numbers of entrepreneurs and settlers flooded into the area. For their part, the Apaches returned to a nomadic life, which led to inevitable clashes with settlers and miners. A new Apache leader, warrior and medicine man Geronimo, was angered by both Mexican and Anglo actions against his people and led raiding parties back and forth across the Mexican border during this period.

Just to the north of Bonita Canyon, Fort Bowie had been established in 1862 to monitor access to Apache Spring, an important strategic Civil War resource. Subsequent military action against the Apaches in like manner recognized the need to control water resources in the Chiricahua Apache heartland. From 1885 to 1886, as part of an on-going military action known as the ‘Waterhole Campaign’, Bonita Canyon was chosen to be the site of an ancillary military camp garrisoned by the Buffalo Soldiers. Their main assignment was to guard a spring located to the east of the ranch, serve as mail couriers, and cut off Apache escape routes through the canyon. During their stay, the soldiers built a monument of inscribed stones in honor of the assassinated President James A. Garfield, who had commanded African American troops during the Civil War and greatly respected their fighting capacity. Over time the monument deteriorated, and in the 1920s the remaining engraved stones were incorporated into a fireplace in the Faraway Ranch dining room. Foundations of other structures, along with associated archaeological artifacts, remain on the site of the tent camp, and a military outlook with an expansive view of the canyon is located on the summit of the steep escarpment just to the south of the ranch house. With the surrender of Geronimo in 1886, Apache presence in the canyon essentially ended. One exception was an Indian scare in

---

1890,\textsuperscript{11} when an Apache named Massai escaped from the train that was taking the captured Indians to Florida. In his way through Bonita Canyon with his wife, he stole a horse from the Stafford corral. Afterwards it was learned that he had taken his pregnant wife to the San Carlos reservation for care, while he himself escaped to Mexico.

The presence of the Apaches in Bonita Canyon had a minimal effect on the landscape, as their populations remained small and seasonally migratory.\textsuperscript{12} But two of their activities had major impacts. One was the practice of setting fires to drive game and to improve wildlife habitat. This created areas of open grassland and minimized the intrusion of woody plants such as juniper. A second was the on-going raiding which made it dangerous to establish Mexican or American ranches in the area and thus prevented the environmental damage caused by large concentrations of livestock. In addition, unlike other Indian groups in this area, the Chokonen did not maintain horse herds. Raiding also essentially eliminated attempts at mining in the area.\textsuperscript{13}

\textbf{Stafford Homestead Period 1879 - 1888}

The first National Homestead Act was passed in 1862. It allowed heads of households or persons at least 21 years of age to file for 160 acres of land, free except for a nominal filing fee. The man or woman who filed the claim had to reside on the land continuously for five years and was required to cultivate a portion of the land for the final four years. All federal land was eligible for homesteading, unless it was saline or mineral in character, occupied for trade or business purposes or located within an incorporated city or town.\textsuperscript{14} While 160 acres did not provide enough acreage for a dry land ranch homestead, it was possible to acquire grazing permits on adjacent National Forest lands.

The threat of attack by the Apaches discouraged first Mexican and subsequently Americans from settling in this region, although southeastern Arizona was opened to American homesteaders following the 1853 Gadsden Purchase.\textsuperscript{15} Even the establishment of Fort Bowie in 1862 did not provide sufficient protection from Apache raids. It was not until the late 1870s that a number of settlers moved into the area, among them Louis Prue and Brannick Riggs, who founded ranches to the west and northwest of Bonita Canyon. Army veteran Ja Hu Stafford and his wife Pauline homesteaded in the valley as early as 1880, building a log cabin along Bonita Creek. By 1886 he had added a chicken house, smoke house, corral, orchard, and four-acre fenced garden. The orchard lay in the southwest portion of the homestead; the garden, watered by a spring, lay to the east in what is now known as Silver Spur Meadow. To

\textsuperscript{13} Ibid.
\textsuperscript{15} Unless indicated otherwise, the following information is drawn from Livingston, "A Pioneer Log Cabin in Bonita Canyon: The History of the Stafford Cabin: Historic Resource Study."
the north was a rocky slope suitable for grazing. Stafford obtained permits to run cattle on the adjacent lands of the Coronado National Forest, but he rarely had a herd of more than twenty animals. Most of the family’s income came from selling eggs and produce from the orchard and garden. Fruit trees provided apples, apricots, peaches, persimmons and pears; the garden offered radishes, beans, lettuce, cabbage, onions, pumpkins, potatoes, carrots, tomatoes, parsnips, corn, squash and watermelon.

The garden was watered by a spring, possibly through a wooden flume. This may or may not have been the hot spring mentioned in some accounts as making it possible to grow vegetables even in the winter. In any case, the Great Sonoran Earthquake of 1887 caused the spring to disappear. In 1896, Stafford laid claim to water north of his homestead (presumably including Bonita Creek). A photograph of the valley dated to around 1900 shows an irrigation ditch leading from the creek into the orchard area, where it splits into two branches (see Figure 3-1).

Figure 3 - 2. View of the valley around 1900. Irrigation ditch to the west of the Stafford Cabin is circled in red (CHIR 86:16:0856).

---

16 Ibid. §C.
19 Ibid. §J.
Erickson Ranch Period 1889 - 1916

About a quarter mile to the west of the Stafford Cabin, a man named Newton built a stone cabin at some point before 1885.20 This squatter, who did not file on his land, may have been an army deserter. The house was purchased by Captain Charles Cooper of the 10th U.S. Cavalry to house his wife and daughter during the military campaign of 1885-86. In 1886 the house was sold to Ja Hu Stafford, who shortly thereafter sold it to Emma Peterson (who became the wife of Neil Erickson in 1887). In 1886, Neil filed on a homestead claim (which included the house) and the Ericksons moved into the cabin in 1888. The Erickson homestead fell under the regulations of the National Homestead Act of 1862. This permitted the acquisition of 160 acres by one “entryman”, or person filing the claim, provided they lived on the land continuously for five years, and cultivated a portion of that land during the final four years of residence. It appears that Emma Erickson was the driving force behind the decision to file for the homestead in Bonita Canyon;21 however only one person was permitted to file for each homestead, and Neil Erickson’s name is the one found on the documents.22 The Erickson homestead was entered, or granted, on November 22, 1894.23

During the Ranch Period the cabin underwent many additions and modifications, ultimately becoming a two-story structure. One section incorporated into the building was a freestanding ‘stone house’, built around 1897 or 1898 from the stones removed when the well was dug. Eventually used as a cool cellar, its original intended purpose was to serve as a shelter in case of Indian attack.24 Other ranch structures dating from this period most likely included corrals and some kind of storage barn, a pig pen and a chicken coop. There were several wells, including one with a windmill to feed the stock tank located across Newton’s Wash to the northwest of the house,25 and one in the yard to the east of the house. A large fenced vegetable garden was laid out to the north of the house, and an orchard was laid out near the stock tank. Both orchard and garden were irrigated with well water. Vegetables grown included potatoes, lettuce, leeks, radishes, turnips, sweet corn, beans, cabbage tomatoes, onions, squash and watermelon. Fruits included apples, pears, plums, peaches apricots, currants and gooseberries. Garden and orchard surplus was sold or traded at Fort Bowie. Emma had a great interest in ornamental plants as well, and many of these were planted in the yard of the house.26

In 1892 the cemetery area was established with the burial of Louis Prue, another pioneer settler whose ranch lay just outside the mouth of Bonita Canyon. He had expressed the wish to be buried “where he could see his cattle passing on their way to water in the canyon”, and

20 The following information is drawn largely from Louis Torres and Mark Baumler, “Historic Structure Report: historical and archeological data sections; a history of the buildings and structures of Faraway Ranch,” (Denver, CO: US. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Denver Service Center, 1984).
21 Ibid.
22 Ibid., 11-12.
23 Ibid., 20.
24 Ibid., 22-48.
25 Ibid., 101 & 05.
26 Ibid., 26, 106.
when he died after a fall from a horse, the Ericksons fulfilled this wish by burying him under “the oak on the hillside.”27 Just to the west, a second cemetery area was later developed for the Erickson family. Neil was buried there in 1937, according to his wishes. He had requested to be buried “near an Arizona White Oak nearly a mile down the canyon”,28 where he had previously planted Arizona cypresses to mark the spot.29

During this period the land of both homesteads, as well as adjacent land from the Coronado National Forest held by lease, was significantly impacted by overgrazing.30 As was the case with many areas of southeastern Arizona, this led to an increase in mesquite (Prosopis sp.), juniper (Juniperus monosperma and Juniperus deppeana), oak burroweed (Isocoma tenuisecta), senescio (Senecio vulgaris), snakeweeds (Gutierrezia sarothroides) and exotic grasses, which crowded out perennial native grasses. Livestock promote shrub invasion by disseminating seeds in their droppings, weakening grass cover, compacting the topsoil (providing less moisture to shallow-rooted plants) and permitting the buildup of heavier fuel loads (leading to more damaging fires). The fear of fire led to increased fire suppression. According to Bahre, one of the greatest ecological changes following the introduction of ranching in the Chiricahuas was the change in fire regime from frequent small surface fires to occasional large drown fires.31 Lack of vegetative cover and soil compaction resulting from ranching also led to greater runoff, deepening stream channels such as Bonita Creek.32 The stream and wash channels were also scoured more deeply due to the building of retaining walls, which forced water to run straight rather than spreading out on the floodplain.

It was during this period that the rectilinear pattern of the grid was imposed on the landscape through property boundaries, fence lines and building orientation.

Guest Ranch Period 1917-1960s

Emma and Neil had three children: Lillian, Ben and Hildegard.33 In 1917 Hildegard came up with the idea of charging visitors for lodging and meals. Initially they provided only Sunday meals, but then began to accommodate deer hunters who visited what was at that time the Coronado National Forest. At first Lillian was opposed to the idea, but eventually she joined

---

27 Ibid., 103.
29 Torres and Baumer, "Historic Structure Report: historical and archeological data sections; a history of the buildings and structures of Faraway Ranch," 104. He was “buried at the mouth of Bonita Canyon where years ago he planted some cypress trees to mark his desired resting place.” (“Southwestern Monuments,” 262 Monthly Report for October 1937, Erickson-Riggs Collection.)
33 Material in this section is drawn largely from Leavengood, "Faraway Ranch: Chiricahua National Monument Headquarters: A Survey of the Correspondence in the Riggs-Erickson Collection."
forces with her sister. Also in 1917 the Stafford Cabin came up for sale, and Lillian was able to buy it with the salary she received for teaching at the El Dorado school near the ranch. At this time it became known as ‘Faraway Ranch’, because, according to Lillian, it was “so god-awful far away from everything.”

Along with developing a guest ranch, Lillian and Hildegard continued to sell surplus fruits and vegetables, both from the Erickson orchard and garden and from those on the Stafford property. Hildegard eventually married and moved away, and Neil and Emma left the property for ten years when Neil was transferred to work for the National Forest Service in other areas of Arizona. Lillian married Ed Riggs in 1923, and together they continued to develop the property as a guest ranch, providing tours of the ‘Wonderland of Rocks’. During the 1920s they built a swimming pool, laid out a tennis court adjacent to the main house, and built trails into what would become the Chiricahua National Monument in 1924.

At some point between 1925 and 1927 Ed detached an addition that had been made to the Stafford Cabin and moved it to a location next to the southern hills and to the east of the main house. It became known as the Bunkhouse. In 1935, a structure, referred to subsequently as the Martha Stark Cabin, was purchased and moved to a location adjacent to the creek, midway between the Main House and the Stafford Cabin. This completed a ring of guest housing facilities surrounding orchards and garden areas, which over time transitioned to grassland punctuated by juniper shrubs.

Other structures of the guest ranch period included the Cowboy House, a storage shed, barn, tool shed, generator house (dating from the 1930s), garage/storage unit, garage/office space, cobblestone-edged paths, hillside water tanks, a bridge across Newton’s wash, and ranch roads. Many of these features were constantly changing, due to the additions and renovations of this period, all intended to make the property more attractive to guests.

Despite Lillian’s failing eyesight and Ed’s health issues, the ranch continued to function successfully throughout this period with the assistance of hired help or occasional assistance from relatives. The deaths of Ed and shortly thereafter Emma in 1950 took a major toll on Lillian, although she continued to run the ranch with the assistance of J.P (‘Andy’) Anderson.

---

38 Ibid.
40 Ibid., 77.
An article in *The Saturday Evening Post* published in 1958⁴² revealed her to be still very much in control of the ranch, despite her blindness and an extended drought. She was still working with cattle on land in Apache Pass, although she refused to tell how many she had. The article’s author guessed that, due to the drought, it was likely that there were fewer than 200. She also helped with the daily chores of making beds, cleaning and cooking back at the ranch house. Guests arrived sporadically – sometimes few or none, sometimes in large groups.

**Ranch Twilight Period 1960s - 1977**

Lillian’s health continued to deteriorate during this period, and she relied more and more on Andy’s assistance,⁴³ although the ranch remained open to trusted visitors and friends. In 1973 she suffered a heart attack and was taken to the hospital at Wilcox. For the rest of her life she was either in a hospital or in a nursing home, while Andy ran the ranch and brought checks to her for signing. She died in April 1977. Andy remained at Faraway until his death on Christmas Eve of the same year.

**National Park Service Period 1978 - present**

With Lillian’s death, no one in the family wanted to run the ranch. The National Park Service offered to purchase the property, which required authorization by Congress. A bill was passed on November 10, 1978, and six months later the property was acquired by NPS.⁴⁴

The park entrance was relocated to the west to coincide with the boundary of the ranch property, and waysides, parking areas, trails and restrooms were installed. These modifications, designed to facilitate visitor access to the property, added another layer to the patterns imprinted on the landscape by earlier land use. The new entry sequence changed the visitor experience of the historic ranch and of the Monument as a whole by developing the ranch property as a prelude to the park. Continued fire suppression practice no doubt contributed to the severity of the Horseshoe 2 fire of 2011.

**SUMMARY OF EXISTING CONDITIONS**

The following feature categories, listed in the *Guide to Cultural Landscape Reports*,⁴⁵ will be used to summarize existing conditions at Faraway.

- Natural Systems and Features: Natural aspects that often influence the development and resultant form of a landscape.

---

⁴⁴ Ibid., 54.
Spatial Organization: Arrangement of elements creating the ground, vertical, and overhead planes that define and create spaces.

Land Use: Organization, form, and shape of the landscape in response to land use.


Cluster Arrangement: The location of features in the landscape.

Circulation: Spaces, features, and materials that constitute systems of movement.

Topography: Three-dimensional configuration of the landscape surface characterized by features and orientation.

Vegetation: Indigenous or introduced trees, shrubs, vines, ground covers, and herbaceous materials.

Buildings and Structures: Three-dimensional constructs such as houses, barns, garages, stables, bridges, and memorials.

Views and Vistas: Features that create or allow a range of vision which can be natural or designed and controlled.

Constructed Water Features: The built features and elements that utilize water for aesthetic or utilitarian functions.

Small-Scale Features: Elements that provide detail and diversity combined with function and aesthetics.

Archeological Sites: Site containing surface and subsurface remnants related to historic or prehistoric land use.

Natural Systems and Features

Water – its presence or absence – is a major aspect of this landscape. Both Newton’s Wash and Bonita Creek show stretches of reinforcement by rock embankments apparently intended to prevent flooding and straighten their courses. Neither is perennial. Both show the effects of ongoing scouring, with deep channels perhaps six feet below levels likely existing in the late nineteenth century. An irrigation ditch constructed during that period and presumably linked to the creek is at a much higher elevation than the creek bed. Springs mentioned in historic documents no longer flow.
The Horseshoe 2 fire of 2011 had a major impact on the area, leaving patches of dead shrubs and trees across the District.

Spatial Organization
The spatial patterns of the historic period are clearly imprinted on the site. Rectilinear siting, boundary lines and field lines reflect the Homesteading Period; a circle of cabins around a common center and linked by a circuit trail/road facilitated the social intentions of the Guest Ranch Period. The separation of living space/officer’s quarters and working ranch/enlisted men’s encampment is defined by Newton’s Wash. The incorporation of Faraway into the National Monument imposed yet a third pattern, sequencing amenities along the park entrance road.

Land Use
The current land use is as a Historic District of the Chiricahua National Monument

Cultural Traditions
Practices of subsistence farming and ranching, guest ranch hospitality, and adaptation as a Historic District of the Chiricahua National Monument are reflected in landscape patterns.

Circulation
The paved park entrance road runs west to east along the west side of the valley, linking a series of hubs – parking, rest areas and historic resources. The historic entry sequence for guests to the guest ranch has been circumvented by the installation of a wide trail leading from a parking area through the working ranch area and subsequently to the Main House. A circular ranch road, with cutoff loop trails, links the cabins of the Guest Ranch Period.

Topography
The Historic District lies on a relatively level flood plain running more or less east to west between two ranges of hills. The non-contiguous cemetery is situated at the base of a sharply rising hill to the west.

Vegetation
Native vegetation – sometimes deliberately transplanted – consists of alligator juniper (*Juniperis deppeana*), one-seeded juniper (*Juniperus monosperma*), and Arizona cypress (*Cupressus arizonica*); native grasses, including buffalo grass (*Bucole dactyloides*), blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*), and sideoats grama (*Bouteloua curtipendula*); yucca (*Yucca sp.*); prickly pear cactus (*Opuntia engelmannii*); and some invasive exotic grasses such as buffelgrass.
(Pennisetum ciliare). The characteristic native vegetation of the majority of the Historic District is typical of areas impacted by cattle ranching and climate change.\textsuperscript{46}

Along the wash and creek area are live oak (Quercus virginiana); manzanita (Arctostaphylos sp.) and Arizona ash (Fraxinus velutina). In the Cemetery area an unidentified oak – most likely a white oak (Quercus arizonica) – is likely the historic tree mentioned in correspondence concerning the grave of Louis Prue\textsuperscript{47} and Neil Erickson\textsuperscript{48}. This tree was significantly damaged in the Horseshoe 2 fire. One Arizona cypress remains of two originally planted at the site by Neil Erickson.\textsuperscript{49} Two windbreaks of Arizona cypress are also present in the main Historic District; one to the north of the Main House, and one to the west of the Stafford Cabin.

A few remaining fruit trees in the ranch yard and Stafford orchard (Meadow) area include persimmon (Diospyros virginiana) and pear (Prunus communis). Surviving ornamental shrubs in the ranch yard include periwinkle (Vinca minor), pyracantha (Pyracantha sp.), spirea (Spiraea prunifolia) and cotoneaster (Cotoneaster hyphehensis). A memorial tree, perhaps an apple (Malus sp.), and two Siberian elms (Ulmus pumila) are located there as well. A velvet ash (Fraxinus velutina) is found in the garden area, along with several apricots (Prunus sp.) adjacent to the pool.

Buildings and Structures

A significant number of buildings and structures dating from the Homesteading and Ranching and Guest Ranch periods are present. The earliest of these is the log cabin that served as the Stafford home; others include the Main House and three cabins associated with the Guest Ranch period. Other buildings include garages, an office, storage areas and a generator house. A bridge across Newton’s Wash was constructed by the Park to replace an earlier structure just to the south. Fences, stone lined field edges, a corral, the remains of a pig pen, and part of the foundation of the Martha Stark cottage remain on site, along with piled rocks to mark the grave of the infant Reveley Stafford. The Cemetery is surrounded by wrought iron fences, one around the Prue grave, the other around the Erickson graves.

Views and Vistas

The surrounding hills provide an overall sense of enclosure for the site, which alternates between riparian vegetation, shrub land and open grassland. The cemetery provides a wide view of the entrance to the canyon where it flows into the plain to the west. Hills on the east and west offer dramatic views of the valley below.

\textsuperscript{46} Bahre, A Legacy of Change: Historic Human Impact on Vegetation in the Arizona Borderlands; \textsuperscript{47} Torres and Baumler, “Historic Structure Report: historical and archeological data sections; a history of the buildings and structures of Faraway Ranch,” 103.
\textsuperscript{49} Ibid.
Constructed Water Features

Given the importance of water in this landscape, it is not surprising to find a number of constructed water features on site. Among these are a large, rock-lined stock tank, served by a windmill (non-operative), to the west of the main house, a swimming pool at the Main House, water storage tanks on the hill to the south, a well immediately to the east of the Main House, and a dam on Bonita Creek to the east of the Stafford Cabin. The outlines of irrigation channels intended to serve the Stafford orchard remain in the Meadow Area. Rock embankments are found along the east side of Newton’s Wash and the south side of Bonita Creek.

Small Scale Features

Headstones mark the graves in the cemetery. A rectangular pile of stones in the Meadow Area marks the site of Reveley Stafford’s grave.

Archeological Sites

Baumler’s work in 1984⁵⁰ noted the presence of a significant number of historic and prehistoric archeological sites in the Historic District. Among these are lithic scatters, remains of the Buffalo Soldiers camp, and historical archeological sites such as privies and foundations of non-extant structures.
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Chapter 4
Analysis and Evaluation

INTRODUCTION
The statement of significance for Faraway Ranch is based on previous research completed for the Faraway Ranch Historic District. Source materials include National Register of Historic Places Nomination for Faraway Ranch (1980, amended 2009), Cultural Landscapes Inventory - Faraway Ranch (1998 and 2010), and other relevant studies and photographs available in the Park archives and the Western Archeological and Conservation Center in Tucson, Arizona.

BACKGROUND
The National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) District #80000368 nomination for Faraway Ranch recognizes the important relationship between the cultural landscape and its historical...

---
significance. The NRHP nomination was submitted in 1980 and amended in 2009. The nomination identifies a period of significance from 1879 – 1977. The significance of the Faraway Ranch Historic District is described as follows:

STATEMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE 5

The Faraway Ranch Historic District has significance in the areas of: archeology; historic agriculture; architecture; conservation, settlement; industry (cattle and guest ranching), military history; and social history (specifically Black history, Women’s history, and immigrant history with this one family as a case study).

The district is significant under Criteria A and B as it is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history (settlement, the Indian wars, the end of the frontier, the conservation movement through National Forests and National Monuments), in all of the specific categories cited above, and is associated with the lives of individual who were of significance locally (J.H. Stafford, Emma Erickson, and Ed Riggs) and regionally (Neil Erickson, Lillian Erickson Riggs, Captain Charles Cooper, and his daughter Forestine).

The main house at Faraway Ranch is significant under Criterion C as it embodies distinctive characteristics of a type, period, and method of construction, and the ranch as a whole possesses a high degree of integrity. Furthermore, the site of the military “Camp at Bonita Cañon” may be likely to yield through historic archeology information important in history.

National Register Nomination, 1980

The following summary of material taken from the 1980 National Register Nomination is drawn from Cultural Landscape Inventory – Faraway Ranch (2010). 6

Using National Register criteria for determining significance it has been recommended that criteria A, B, C, and D are applicable. Criterion A applies to properties associated with events that have made significant contributions to the broad patterns of history, including exploration, African American history, Women’s history, settlement, farming, and ranching. Criterion B relates to association with persons significant in history at a local state or national level. Criterion C applies to properties whose physical design reflects distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction and land use. Criterion D applies to properties that have yielded, or are likely to yield, information important to prehistory or history. Surface or subsurface remains may provide information about agricultural or land use activities, settlement patterns, or cultural traditions.

Using existing information it is noted that the landscape associated with the Faraway Ranch has regional significance as an historic vernacular ranch, guest ranch, and homestead operation within Bonita Canyon in the Sulphur Spring Valley of Cochise County, Arizona. The ranch landscape, which is significant under Criterion D comprises substantial remnants of early settlement and agricultural practices in Arizona in addition to reflecting the continuum of use by a single family as they modified their approach to land use and management in an effort to

---

5 This is quoted directly from Chapell, "National Register of Historic Places Nomination/Faraway Ranch."
6 Service and al., Cultural Landscape Inventory, Faraway Ranch, Chiricahua National Monument.
retain their lands. The transition from single-family farmstead/ranch to guest ranch is clearly evident in the features found within the landscape of today.

Before the establishment of Faraway Ranch the site had importance to African American history and is thus significant under Criterion A. Bonita Canyon was the site of an ancillary tent camp that was garrisoned by the 10th U.S. Cavalry, which was a unit of Buffalo Soldiers. Soldiers at Bonita Canyon built an inscribed stone monument in memory of President James A. Garfield, who had commanded African American troops.

The Stafford Homestead, established around 1879, is significant under criteria A, C and D. It was the earliest settlement in Bonita Canyon. It is listed in the National Register at a regional level of significance as one of the earliest structures in the area, and of the early ones, the best preserved. Its agricultural significance is also recognized, but also of significance are the remains of Stafford’s irrigation ditch, roads, the surviving original fruit trees in the Stafford orchard, and trees planted west of the cabin either for decorative purposes or to serve as a windbreak or both. The Erickson Homestead is significant under Criterion A. Neil and Emma Erickson were not the first to homestead Bonita Canyon or this general region, following J.H. Stafford by seven or eight years, as well as others.

Erickson’s homestead was nevertheless one of the early and pioneer homesteads in the region, and proved to be much more permanent than the Stafford homestead, which eventually it absorbed, and many others. The main house of Faraway Ranch has significance representing a blending over a period of time in architectural styles and materials of the indigenous adobe and stone Pueblo/Spanish/Mexican and the more recent wood frame European/American. Furthermore, as an example of vernacular frontier construction of a house built by the family that was to live there, with no particular training for such a task, and representing a continual history of addition and subtraction from 1887 to the late 1920s, the main house of Faraway Ranch is of at least regional significance under Criterion C.

The Faraway Ranch is significant under criteria B and A for its association with Neil Erickson and the early development of the U.S. Forest Service in Arizona. Early in the 20th Century, Neil Erickson began a third career (after the military and ranching) as a forest ranger in the Chiricahua section of Coronado National Forest, part of which would one day become Chiricahua National Monument. He carried on U.S. Forest Service management and paperwork from his ranch, using at different times a room in the main house, and a separate small office building, as his headquarters. Subsequently, he was transferred to the Dragoon and Whetstone Mountains sections of Coronado National Forest, on the far side of the Sulphur Springs Valley, and still later to Walnut Canyon National Monument in northern Arizona. Neil Erickson was one of the earliest forest rangers in the Coronado National Forest and in Arizona.

Faraway Ranch is significant under Criterion A for its association with the founding of the Chiricahua National Monument. While Neil Erickson was familiar with the strange and wild rock formations southeast of his ranch, it remained for his son-in-law Ed Riggs, who had married Lillian Erickson, to promote the "Wonderland of Rocks" as a tourist attraction and to seek its preservation as a national monument. Undoubtedly conservationist motives were mixed with commercial motives for the prosperity of the ranch, however the result of Ed Riggs’ promotion of these natural wonders was the establishment of Chiricahua National Monument in 1924. Subsequent to the creation of the Monument, Riggs was hired by the National Park Service to supervise construction of new horse and hiking trails in 1934 and 1935, including trails up Rhyolite Canyon, to the summit of Sugarloaf, and up Echo Canyon to Echo Park. Thus in the 1920s and 1930s, Faraway Ranch, through its relationship with the founding of Chiricahua
National Monument, had a significant impact on tourism, conservation, and the preservation of natural features in southern Arizona.

The events surrounding Faraway Ranch are also significant to Women’s history under Criterion A. Lillian and Hildegard Erickson assumed management of the ranch from their parents. Before they bought the Stafford property, the sisters maintained orchards and gardens, selling the produce from these to support themselves and the ranch. After acquiring the Stafford property, they developed the guest ranch. Although Hildegard moved away shortly after the founding of the guest ranch, Lillian remained the primary decision-maker and ran the business even after marrying Ed Riggs. Lillian became totally blind in the 1940s, and lost her husband several years later, but with the aid of hired hands, continued to run Faraway Ranch as a combined cattle and "dude" ranch well into the 1960s. As "The Lady Boss of Faraway Ranch," she was the subject of a feature article in The Saturday Evening Post of March 15, 1958.

The Faraway Guest Ranch is significant under Criterion A as one of the first guest ranches to open in Arizona. Kumble describes eight guest ranches in the San Simon and Sulphur Springs Valleys of southeastern Arizona, including Faraway Ranch, that were in operation at the same period of time, the 1920’s and 1930’s. In advertising literature the ranches promised visitors beautiful mountain vistas, a very agreeable climate, home cooking, and entertainment such as horseback riding, hiking, hunting, and moon-light campfires. Vacationing on a working ranch in “Apache country” had a romantic appeal to easterners. The guest ranch operation allowed Lillian and Ed Riggs a means of support, beyond that of their relatively small cattle business, in the rugged and isolated Bonita Canyon.

PERIOD OF SIGNIFICANCE 1879 to 1977

A period of significance of 1879 to 1977, as developed in the National Register Nomination, encompasses the full spectrum of history at the ranch. The period begins with the first homesteading activities by Stafford in 1879, extends through the final military campaign against the Apaches and the heyday of the guest ranch, and continues until the ranch was no longer occupied. The period of significance formally ended with the transfer of ownership and management to NPS in 1977.

The period of significance for Faraway Ranch recognizes the role that the ranch played in the developmental history of the area. The physical aspects of the district are manifested in the extant built features that comprise the ranch – the buildings, ranch structures (including wells and corrals), fences, walls, roads, views and vistas, landscape plantings, and clearings. All of these features tell the story of Faraway Ranch through their presence in the landscape.

The CLR recognizes periods of landscape development within the overall period of significance. The beginning and end of each period correspond with major physical changes, typically the addition (or loss) of historic features integral to the cultural landscape. These periods take into account the social history of the ranch. However, there are instances where the social history differs from the periods of landscape change; physical change in the cultural landscape is the primary rationale for defining the beginning and end of a period. Five

---

7 Kumble, "The Vernacular Landscape of the Southwestern Guest Ranch," 39-41.
periods of landscape change define the evolution of Faraway Ranch cultural landscape. Of these periods, four are within the period of significance.

Stafford Homestead Period 1879 – 1888

This period includes the initial development of Stafford’s 160-acre homestead. The cabin was constructed and a few acres around the cabin were cleared and planted with fruit trees and vegetable gardens. Roads and trails were established to support access to the homestead and daily activities. The Buffalo Soldiers camp occupied lower Bonita Canyon in 1885-86.

1889 – 1916  Erickson Ranch Period

This period begins with the establishment of the Erickson homestead and the construction of the main Faraway Ranch house (box house) to the west of the Stafford homestead. The two adjacent homesteads grew with the addition of structures, fences, and working features. By the end of this period the homesteads were connected by roads and trails with an open meadow and orchard between the two building clusters. The two homesteads were managed as one landscape with extensive orchards, roads, and fencing for livestock. By 1900 the meadow between the Stafford cabin and Erickson house was fully cleared and planted as an orchard.

1917 – 1960s  Guest Ranch Period

At the beginning of this period the Stafford homestead was purchased by the Ericksons and the ranch began operations as a guest ranch in addition to traditional livestock ranching. By the end of this period the orchards began to decline and native trees were allowed to encroach into the meadow. Additions to the cultural landscape were made to better accommodate the activities of guests including the swimming pool, corrals, fences, and outbuildings. The bunkhouse was added to the west of the Main House, the Cowboy House was built, and the second story was added to the main house. The guest ranch was most active and developed in the late 1920s, following the 1924 establishment of Chiricahua National Monument and the completion of the park road.

1960s – 1977  Ranch Twilight Period

This period documents the decline of the Faraway Guest Ranch as a business operation. Guest ranch visitors declined during this period and some of the landscape features of the ranch were lost. Fences and outbuildings deteriorated or were removed, and landscape and orchard plantings declined as the source of irrigation water was reduced. Lillian Riggs left the ranch in 1974 and passed away in 1977.
1978 – 2012  NPS Period (outside the Period of Significance)

In 1978 the ranch was incorporated into CHIR and NPS management began. The ranch continues to be managed by the NPS as a part of CHIR.

CONTRIBUTING FEATURES

A feature is a prominent or distinct quality or characteristic of a cultural landscape. The following tables lists those features which contribute to the character. Because some features have been previously identified, associated inventory numbers are listed. If they do not appear on previous inventories, they are identified by character areas.

LIST OF PRIMARY CONTRIBUTING FEATURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>IDLCS #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stafford Cabin</td>
<td>07653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch “Neil’s Office”</td>
<td>210300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Barn and Tool Shed</td>
<td>16036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Bunkhouse</td>
<td>07652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Cowboy House</td>
<td>16034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Garage and Shed</td>
<td>12964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Power House</td>
<td>16038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Storage Shed</td>
<td>16035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Tool Shed</td>
<td>16037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Main House</td>
<td>16033</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


9 This table has been drawn from four major sources: Chapell, "National Register of Historic Places Nomination/Faraway Ranch."; Kumble, "Faraway Ranch, Chiricahua National Monument, Historic Landscape Restoration Report."; Johnson and Zube, "Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ."; Service and al., *Cultural Landscape Inventory, Faraway Ranch, Chiricahua National Monument*. In addition, contributing vegetation has been added to the list based on observation of the District in 2010 – 12.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Description</th>
<th>Feature Identification Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cobblestone-edged Paths</td>
<td>56624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Ranch Roads</td>
<td>56625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Water Tank</td>
<td>56626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Well</td>
<td>56627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Ditches (Feature Identification Number – 105915)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pool</td>
<td>16041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Tank</td>
<td>56618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Troughs</td>
<td>56622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windmill</td>
<td>56617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cemetery Fence (Feature Identification Number – 105920)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emma Erickson Grave Marker</td>
<td>60161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraway Ranch Stone Fence</td>
<td>60163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fences, Chutes and Gates</td>
<td>56620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Footbridge</td>
<td>16042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant Daughter’s Grave Marker</td>
<td>56633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis “Ben” Erickson Grave Marker</td>
<td>56629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Prue Grave Marker</td>
<td>56630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Erickson Grave Marker</td>
<td>56631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig Pen Ruins</td>
<td>56623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone Walls</td>
<td>56619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martha Stark cottage foundation remnants</td>
<td>Meadow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feature</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pile of Wood Posts</td>
<td>Meadow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Piles (perhaps from field clearing or ditch digging)</td>
<td>Meadow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soap Tree Yuccas (2) (Yucca alata)</td>
<td>Meadow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embankment Walls</td>
<td>Creek and Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Outlook</td>
<td>Southern Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base of Garfield Monument</td>
<td>Southern Hills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remains of Rock Alignment/Enclosure</td>
<td>Stafford Cabin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock-Ringed Hearth</td>
<td>Stafford Cabin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fence Post Bases</td>
<td>Stafford Cabin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fence Posts</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining Walls</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row of 8 Arizona Cypresses (Cupressus arizonica)</td>
<td>Stafford Cabin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3 Persimmon Trees (Diospyros virginiana)</td>
<td>128853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo Grass (Buchole dactyloides) Lawn</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periwinkle (Vinca minor) Ground Cover</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Creeper (Parthenocissus quinquefolia) Vine</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridal Wreath Spirea (Spiraea vanhoutii) Shrub</td>
<td>105931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotoneaster (Cotoneaster hupehensis) Shrub</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyracantha (Pyracantha sp.) Shrub</td>
<td>105931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row of 5 Arizona Cypresses (Cupressus arizonica)</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velvet Ash (Fraxinus velutina)</td>
<td>105935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberian Elm (Ulmus pumila) (2)</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table of Contributing Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pear (<em>Pyrus communis</em>) (1)</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apricot (<em>Prunus sp.</em>) (3, possibly 4)</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberian Elm (<em>Ulmus pumila</em>) (2)</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak (<em>Quercus sp.</em>) (grove)</td>
<td>Working Ranch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pear (<em>Pyrus communis</em>)</td>
<td>Working Ranch, Creek and Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona sycamore (<em>Plantanus wrightii</em>)</td>
<td>Creek and Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Oak (<em>Quercus alba</em>)</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona cypress (<em>Cupressus arizonica</em>)</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alligator juniper (<em>Juniperus deppeana</em>)</td>
<td>Cemetery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS Visitor Parking Lot</td>
<td>Working Ranch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Vegetation</td>
<td>Creek and Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comfort Station</td>
<td>Working Ranch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail from Parking Lot to Working Ranch</td>
<td>Working Ranch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS Benches</td>
<td>Meadow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage</td>
<td>Main House, Cemetery, Stafford Cabin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorial Tree</td>
<td>Main House</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4 - 1. Table of Contributing Features.

Stone walls (56619) and historic ranch roads (56625) eventually should be listed individually according to location, because it is difficult to determine to which feature the inventory refers. Vegetation is identified in some cases by an IDLCS number, or, if one has not been assigned,
Existing native vegetation is identified as a group by IDLCS # 105932. This group includes Juniper (Juniperus sp.), Dropseed Grass (Sporobolus sp.), Horsetail (Equisetum sp.) Buffalo Grass (Bouteloua dactyloides), Oak (Quercus sp.) and Cholla (Opuntia bigelovii).

---

10 Much of the information about vegetation at the Main House has been drawn from Kumble, "Faraway Ranch, Chiricahua National Monument, Historic Landscape Restoration Report."
Chapter 5
Treatment Recommendations

PRIMARY TREATMENT APPROACH

The treatment section of the CLR describes a strategy for the long-term management of the cultural landscape and historic features of Faraway Ranch. The strategy is based on the analysis of the cultural landscape’s characteristics, the history and period of significance, the existing condition of the historic features, and contemporary use of the ranch.

The Secretary of the Interior’s Standards recognize four treatment definitions:¹

- **Preservation**: the act or process of applying measures necessary to sustain the existing form, integrity, and materials of an historic property. Includes initial stabilization work, where necessary, as well as ongoing preservation maintenance and repair of historic materials and features.

- **Rehabilitation**: the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.

- **Restoration**: the act or process of accurately depicting the form, features, and character of a property as it appeared at a particular period of time by removing features from other periods in its history and reconstruction of missing features from the restoration period.

- **Reconstruction**: the act or process of depicting, by means of new construction, the form, features, and detailing of a non-surviving site, landscape, building, structure, or object for the purpose of replicating its appearance at a specific period of time and in its historic location.

A general management philosophy of **rehabilitation** has been identified as the most appropriate approach for the Faraway Ranch cultural landscape. Rehabilitation is defined as the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features which convey its historical or cultural values.²

² Ibid.
The Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation include the following:3

1. A property will be used as it was historically, or be given a new use that maximizes the retention of distinctive materials, features, spaces, and relationships.

2. The historic character of a property will be retained and preserved. The removal of distinctive materials or alteration of features, spaces, and spatial relationships that characterize a property will be avoided.

3. Each property will be recognized as a physical record of its time, place and use. Changes that create a false sense of historical development, such as adding conjectural features or elements from other historic properties, will not be undertaken.

4. Changes to a property that have acquired historic significance in their own right will be retained and preserved.

5. Distinctive materials, features, finishes, and construction techniques or examples of craftsmanship that characterize a property will be preserved.

6. Deteriorated historic features will be repaired rather than replaced. Where the severity of deterioration requires replacement of a distinctive feature, the new material will match the old in composition, design, color, texture, and where possible, materials. Replacement of missing features will be substantiated by documentary and physical evidence.

7. Chemical or physical treatments, if appropriate, will be undertaken using the gentlest means possible. Treatments that cause damage to historic materials will not be used.

8. Archeological resources will be protected and preserved in place. If such resources must be disturbed, mitigation measures will be undertaken.

9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction will not destroy historic materials, features and spatial relationships that characterize the property. The new work will be differentiated from the old and will be compatible with the historic materials, features, size, scale and proportion, and massing to protect the integrity of the property and its environment.

10. New additions or related new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

Rehabilitation will allow for repairs, alterations, and additions that will be necessary for the compatible use of the ranch, while preserving the characteristics and features that convey the ranch’s historical, cultural and architectural values.4 These actions will enable CHIR to preserve the contributing resources of the cultural landscape, while allowing for specific alterations to accommodate contemporary use and interpretation

---


of its history. The overall approach places an emphasis on the preservation of cultural resources and minor restoration of small scale features from the Guest Ranch period when the Ranch was most active and vibrant. The treatment goals (as proposed by the consultant team) for the cultural landscape of Faraway Ranch are:

- Reveal the cultural landscape by representing the important characteristics from the period of significance.

- Preserve extant contributing cultural resources from the period of significance.

- Improve the understanding of the development of Faraway Ranch for both visitors and park staff by facilitating the opportunity to interpret the cultural landscape.

- Aid in the preservation of Faraway Ranch’s natural resources by monitoring and controlling invasive plant material, establishing native grasses and vegetation, and minimizing soil erosion.

Treatment recommendations for the individual character areas of the District are addressed in detail in separate chapters.

DISTRICT-WIDE TREATMENT

Landscape Mapping and Documentation

The last landscape-wide survey of archeological and historic resources took place before the publication of the 1984 Torres and Baumler Report. Field visits reveal that this early survey did not include all cultural resources at Faraway Ranch. The map of the district used as the base map for all historic and archeological studies is derived from a 1978 construction map. Documentation of historic vegetation exists in a number of different resources, including the 1998 and 2010 CLIs and the 1993 Kumble Landscape Restoration Plan, but there is no comprehensive map of all historic vegetation resources. Given the advances in mapping and survey practice over the years.
past thirty-five years, a comprehensive survey is recommended to take advantage of GIS mapping, new metal tracing technology, etc.

Faraway has an extensive photograph collection, housed partially at the Administrative Building at CHIR and partially at WACC (Western Archeological and Conservation Center). Some photographs are identified by CHIR numbers and some by WACC numbers, and some do not appear to have any catalog numbers. In addition, date attributions vary, and the source of date attributions, in most cases, are not known. Cataloging, dating and scanning these photographs will provide an essential resource for future work.

Vegetation

Treatment must take into account the role played by character defining vegetation in this landscape.

1. Historic and existing vegetation should be comprehensively inventoried and monitored.

2. Conservation and rehabilitation issues related to climate change must be taken into account in overall resource management. The lowered water table is having a significant negative impact on native riparian vegetation, especially on Arizona sycamore \( (Platanus wrightii) \) and ash \( (Fraxinus velutina) \). Native Arizona perennial grasses should be encouraged in a revegetation plan, while Invasive non-native grasses should be removed. This will not only contribute to a more historically accurate landscape, but will also reduce fire load. Ecological restoration within CHIR as a whole should be considered in relationship to the management of historic vegetation within the district.

3. The CHIR fire management plan must incorporate strategies for protecting character defining vegetation. Strategies for vegetation protection will be listed as recommendations for individual character areas.
Topography and Settlement Patterns

The Faraway Ranch Historic District provides an opportunity to demonstrate the striking contrast between natural and human boundary delineation, as revealed by the juxtaposition of the natural topography of the landscape (the hills, the creek and the wash) and the imposed settlement grid, as delineated by boundary and field lines. There is additional opportunity to link settlement patterns with the availability of water in Bonita Canyon. The following recommendations will enhance the presentation of such patterns.

1. Maintain fence lines.

2. Maintain retaining walls along creek and wash.

3. Remove smaller scrub junipers which blur historic field outlines.

4. Maintain cleared fields, perhaps by reseeding them with native grasses, as seen in historic photos.
5. Clear vegetation directly adjacent to the Stafford irrigation ditch if it is obscuring visibility of the feature.

6. Create a three-dimensional model of the district to demonstrate the relationships between the topography and the various human settlement patterns and other uses of Bonita Canyon. This could perhaps replace two-dimensional introductory signage, or it could be part of interpretive materials located at the visitor center.

Circulation

When the Faraway Ranch Historic District was acquired by NPS, the entrance station to CHIR shifted west to include the property. Formerly located over the ridge roughly to the south of Neil’s Rock, the entrance was moved to a position just to the west of the Cemetery. As a result of this relocation, the territory of Faraway Ranch became a prelude to the park as a whole, rather than a private property outside the boundary.

1. Minimize the entrance to the Cemetery pullout, possibly with vegetative screening or with signage that clarifies the location of the Visitor Center. This will avoid using the Cemetery as the first stop on the visitor’s experience of Faraway Ranch.

2. Reorient the trail from the Faraway Ranch Parking Area to follow the alignment of the original ranch road. This will lead visitors directly to the main house – as would have been the case during the Period of Significance – rather than compelling them to arrive through the “back door” of the working ranch (see Figure 5 – 2).

3. Give visitors a variety of options for experiencing the historic landscape by providing guidance for longer or shorter loop trails to accord with visitor interest and available time. A short visit could include the main house and working ranch areas; a longer visit could include the satellite cabins – the bunkhouse and the Stafford ranch (Figure 5 -3).

4. Investigate ways to provide electronic interpretation options for those who have come to depend on readily available internet resources for information. Because of the lack of phone and internet service in the park, downloadable information could be provided through a docking station, perhaps located at the Visitor Center. Materials could include an overview of Faraway Ranch history, specific information linked with historic resources by means of a qr code or location code, or information about park initiatives. Materials linked to GIS references would be particularly useful.
Figure 5 - 2. Recommended circulation routes for Faraway Ranch (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).

Figure 5 - 3. Proposed visitor circuits for Faraway Ranch (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).
Interpretation Enhancement

The Faraway Ranch Historic District has a long period of significance, but present interpretation focuses largely on the Guest Ranch Period. Greater breadth of interpretation would serve to attract a wider range of visitors. Important eras which could support greater exposure are the Stafford Homestead/Buffalo Soldiers period, the cattle ranch period, the development of the Chiricahua National Monument period, and even the twilight guest ranch period. The presence of the Chiricahua Apaches in Bonita Canyon should be also included in the story of the landscape, as recommended by the 2012 *Long Range Interpretive Plan* for CHIR. Relationships between the Apaches, the Buffalo Soldiers, the homesteaders, the ranchers and guest ranch visitors can be traced on the landscape.

1. Take advantage of the contrast between the eastern and western elevations of the Stafford Cabin (log cabin contrasted to frame construction) to trace the development from initial homestead to guest ranch cabin. It has been suggested by some park staff that the Stafford Cabin may be unique in Arizona as a log structure; this should be investigated. An additional option under the treatment of rehabilitation might be to restore the cabin to its original condition (as a selected restoration), should this turn out to be the case.

2. Mark the site of the Garfield Monument through signage or other interpretive option to provide a primary locational focus on the Buffalo Soldiers Camp in the Canyon. The military strategy of the “Water Hole Campaign” and the end of the Apache wars in the Chiricahuas opens a window for interpretation of the natural amenities of the valley and the resulting attraction for a number of different populations.

3. Bring the Ranch Twilight Period into focus through activities such as the Ice Cream Social or canning summer produce.

Signage

The role and placement of signage in interpretation should be reevaluated. At present, signs tend to obscure the features themselves, both because of size and because of placement (Figure 5-4). In addition, there is no discernible sequence to the information presented. Additional information technology should be considered to

---


10 The Garfield fireplace in the Main House, where the carved stones were moved, should be used for secondary exposition. Because the stones were moved from their original location, using the fireplace as the primary locus of interpretation for the Buffalo Soldiers obscures the function of the historic landscape for military purposes.

enhance typical signage, such as providing QR codes which could be linked to information downloaded at an electronic docking station.

Overall, signage should be planned to provide the greatest amount of information in those locations with fewer historic landscape features, i.e., the parking lot or the Visitors Center. Large signs may create barriers between visitors and resources if they are placed in such a way as to obscure the resources themselves. Smaller signs, linked to maps or to electronic downloads, avoid creating such barriers to experience, while offering an opportunity to tailor information to the needs of individuals. Some visitors may want extensive information at each step of the way; others may simply want to soak in an uncluttered historic landscape. By linking small signs to more extensive maps or to electronically downloaded information, it is possible to provide a more customized experience to individual visitors.

Unobtrusive wayfinding – perhaps as simple as colored stakes to indicate routes – could guide visitors into longer or shorter loop walks, permitting them to choose the length and depth of their experience according to available time and interest.

1. Reevaluate the role of signage in landscape interpretation. Existing signage is often placed in such a way that it encourages visitors to substitute information for the experience of viewing actual landscape features.

2. Consider using signage to provide a sequential narrative rather than simply to supply information.

3. Place more extensive information in the Faraway Ranch Parking Area or the Visitors Center, permitting visitors to preview landscape history and technologies before visiting the site itself and to review the experience of the visit on their return.

4. Place smaller signage in strategic locations throughout the character areas to identify specific features (with optional links to additional printer or electronic information), rather than crowding large amounts of information onto one sign.

5. Install unobtrusive directional signage to aid wayfinding. This would offer circulation routes of varying length to accommodate individual time and interest.
Program and Exhibits

The development of programmatic activities for Faraway Ranch need to take into account both staff and material resources. Expanding the interpretive focus to include all historic periods offers a wider range of possibilities.

Single events, featuring park staff or developed in conjunction with local historical groups, are perhaps the simplest option. A yearly series of lectures, or a monthly schedule of interpretive presentations, might complement tours of the Main House.

Topics might include

- History of ranching in southeastern Arizona with special reference to environmental impacts – vegetation changes, soil compaction, etc.
- Grazing permits and the Coronado National Forest; the location of the Ericksons’ ZZ¹² grazing lease; grazing in Bonita Canyon.
- Water and water rights.
- The guest ranch experience – travel, length of residence, facilities, activities, wardrobe; importance to Arizona economy.
- Daily life of an Arizona rancher.
- History of the Apache/Mexican/Anglo conflict.
- The Buffalo Soldiers – who they were, where they came from, their role in Arizona history.

¹² This is the Erickson brand. The location of the grazing permit is said to be just west of Fort Bowie, but this location has not been confirmed.
To maximize interpretive opportunities available to a small staff, one-time events or small, simple and temporary exhibits are recommended. Temporary exhibits require less maintenance, yet respond in a flexible way to the needs of interpretation with current interest topics or seasonal activities. A year-long special focus on one of the historic periods outside of the guest ranch period would provide more incentive for return visits to the site (these could be done cyclically). All exhibits should be removeable and should not impact historic fabric.

Potential events might include

1. A one-day or weekend display of cars from the 20s and 30s in front of various guest house cabins, or in the area of the garages behind the Main House (coordinating with local antique car associations). The number of garages on the ranch increased during this period when cars were still carefully stored while not in use.

2. An ice cream social, as was historically featured in the 40s and 50s, with lawn games of the period.

3. An evening showing on the side of the Main House of the Rex Bell movie *Wild West Romance*, which was filmed on site, or other appropriate films from the Guest Ranch period. An outdoor showing would demonstrate a historic practice and provide an evening experience of the resource.

4. A campfire singalong.

5. A storyteller to recreate tales of any of the ranch’s historic periods.


7. An occasional visiting horse in the corral or parking lot area.

Potential exhibits might include

1. A selection of period ranch photographs, displayed in the Stafford Cabin or Bunk House.

---

14 This film is not commonly available; but see [http://www.tcm.com/tcmdb/title/503819/Wild-West-Romance/](http://www.tcm.com/tcmdb/title/503819/Wild-West-Romance/). A copy with Czech subtitles is held by the Tucson Historic Preservation Foundation.
2. A clothesline with period clothing linked between trees behind the Main House. This should be identified as an exhibit, to avoid confusion with historic resources.

3. A section of the meadow mown to temporarily delineate orchard planting rows and highlight the rectangular character of the traditional grid of agriculture.

4. The technology of the generator house.

5. Reminiscences of visitors (there are numerous postcards in the collection from visitors writing back to Lillian).
Chapter 5A
Northern Hills Character Area

Figure 5A - 1. Location of Northern Hills Character Area (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).

Figure 5A - 2. Schematic plan of Northern Hills Character Area (after Torres and Baumler, 1984) ¹

HISTORY

The Northern Hills, which lie to the north of Bonita Creek, were used as grazing land both by Ja Hu Stafford, who filed a homestead claim on the area in 1880, and by Neil (and Emma) Erickson, who filed a homestead claim in 1886. This area was never

¹ The map as adapted by Louis Torres and Mark Baumler in the 1984 Historic Structure Report has been used as the base map for the 1998 and the 2010 CLIs and for the 2009 National Register Nomination Amendment. The version of this map included in the Johnson and Zube 1998 CLI indicates that Torres and Baumler had based their map on a 1978 construction map. See Lauri MacMillian Johnson and Ervin H. Zube, "Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ," (1998), 9.
utilized during the Period of Significance in any other way. The linear dry stacked stone wall that runs from north to south along the property line between the Erickson and Stafford homesteads may have been built in 1886 to keep the Erickson cattle and horses from entering Stafford’s orchard. The wall was topped by a barbed wire fence supported by posts of various materials, including juniper and metal. The C.C.C. dump site, including a large number of rusted cans, buckets and other objects, offers potential archeological information, while documenting trash disposal practice of the period of significance.

![Rough Schematic of the Cultural Landscape Boundary of Faraway Ranch](image)

Figure 5A - 3. Boundary between Erickson and Stafford homesteads.

---


3 Johnson and Zube, "Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ," 8.
Figure 5A - 4. Main House in snow, ca. 1920s (annotated, from WACC 24:2037).

Figure 5A - 5. Main House, 1922 (annotated, from Arizona Historical Society #63648.)
Figure 5A - 6. Main House with field wall in background (Erickson, 2011).

Figure 5A - 7. Field wall on hillside, looking southeast across Bonita Creek (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5A - 8. Hillside wall, looking downslope toward Bonita Creek, from the Northern Hills (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5A - 9. Closeup of hillside wall (Erickson, 2012).
EXISTING CONDITIONS

From the creek, the valley rises sharply into the northern hills. This is a section of sparse grassland, punctuated by rocky outcrops. The view of the hills from the valley is a significant feature of the Faraway Ranch Historic District. A linear wall running from north to south perpendicular to the incline marks the boundary between the Erickson and Stafford homesteads.

According to the 1984 *Historic Structure and Archeological Data Report*⁴ there are a number of archeological sites located here. Among them is an extensive dump site, recently uncovered by the Horseshoe 2 Fire in 2011. Baumler links this dump to the C.C.C. camp located north of the district, but has not been excavated.⁵ A full survey of archeological sites in this area, historic and prehistoric, has not yet been undertaken.

---


ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION

The Northern Hills provide the most notable viewshed for the District, serving as backdrop for the property as a whole. It is likely that this site contains a number of unsurveyed archeological sites.

The alignment of fieldstone wall illustrates characteristic property division under the Homestead Act of 1962. Its construction is typical of the Period of Significance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property line dry stacked stone wall</td>
<td>5A – 7-9</td>
<td>Field stone wall, probably designed to keep Erickson cattle from crossing into the Stafford orchard</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.C.C. dump site</td>
<td>5A - 10</td>
<td>A large trash mound listed by Bauml er (1984)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Views</td>
<td>5A - 6 5A - 7</td>
<td>Views of hillside provide settings for the district.</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5A - 11. List of contributing features, Northern Hills Character Area.

INTEGRITY

The undeveloped Northern Hills maintain the viewshed of the period of significance. The steep, rocky hillside provided the essential context and viewshed for the development of the guest ranch. The stone wall running north/south along the original property line illustrates the historic divisions of homesteaded land as well as characteristic construction methods. The Northern Hills retain Integrity of location and setting, feeling and association. The wall, even in poor condition, retains Integrity of location and setting. The character area as a whole retains integrity. The integrity of the dump and archeological sites (as surveyed by Bauml er in 1984) cannot be determined at this time. A future survey may uncover additional archeological sites in this area.

CONDITION ASSESSMENT

The grassland on the slope of the Northern Hills shows past impact of grazing and erosion. It was burned over by the Horseshoe 2 Fire of June 2011. The alignment of the wall is clearly visible from the valley, and a close-up examination by the author of
this CLR in August 2012 indicates that the condition of the wall is fair. While a number of stones appear to have fallen from their original positions, they remain adjacent to them. The C.C.C. dump site appears to be undisturbed. Other archeological remains in this area were surveyed by Baumler in 1984.8

ANALYSIS OF INTERPRETIVE POTENTIAL

The importance of the northern hills in the interpretation of the full span of the period of significance should not be underestimated. The sharp slope to the south of the district cuts off any larger view, while the hills across Bonita Creek to the north establish the relationship of ranch and mountains. It is likely that the National Register Nomination decision to place the boundary of the site at the 5,600 contour line was influenced by this understanding of place. Arriving from the valley and riding or driving into the canyon, the northern hills presented the visitor with a view that sparked imagination of the western past. This is still true today.

The north-south line of the wall, mirrored by the north-south line of fence on the (opposite) south side of Bonita Creek, makes visible on the land the grid that dominated the homesteads of families like the Ericksons and Staffords. The standard unit claim of 160 acres did not follow the lines of the landscape.

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

The Northern Hills are a very fragile portion of the historic landscape, threatened by erosion due to the steep slope and minimal vegetative cover. This CLR recommends that the area be maintained as a viewshed for other areas with level topography. The stone field wall should be maintained as a visual resource; the C.C.C. trash mound should be maintained as an example of how trash was handled during the period of significance.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Under the overall treatment approach of rehabilitation, the recommendations for the Northern Hills character area focus on the preservation and minor restoration of features and relationships of the cultural landscape that were present during the period of significance. Individual recommendations follow.

Views and Vistas

- Permit the grassland to develop naturally, unless erosion control measures are deemed essential.

8 Ibid.
• Monitor for erosion. Take steps to preserve archeological sites as required.

• Encourage visitors to view the hills from the southern side of Bonita Creek by creating appropriate ‘windows’ by selective pruning of vegetation along the creek.

Visitor Access

• Discourage visitor access to this area by providing no trails in this area. The steep slopes and fragile soils can be easily damaged by foot traffic; and visitors may damage undocumented archeological sites.

Stone Wall

• Document the resource through drawings and photographs.

• Replace stones in original positions if these are documented.

• Maintain posts and barbed wire.

Archaeological Resources

• Avoid disturbance of known sites (site designated as CCC trash dump, for example).

• Survey area for additional sites.

• Stabilize areas of archaeological sites if required.
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CHAPTER 5B
CREEK AND WASH CHARACTER AREA

Figure 5B - 1. Location of Creek and Wash Character Area (adapted from Google Earth, 2011).
HISTORY

Bonita Creek and Newton’s Wash are determining factors in the history of the Faraway Historic District, for in the arid West water sources have always determined patterns of settlement and routes of travel. The availability of water in the valley made the valley of importance to native peoples, homesteaders and the military.

---

1 Louis Torres and Mark Baumler, Historic Structure Report: Historical and Archeological Data Sections; a History of the Buildings and Structures of Faraway Ranch (Denver, CO: United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Denver Service Center, 1984). This map, according to the 1998 CLI, was created on the basis of a 1978 CHIR construction drawing; see Lauri MacMillian Johnson and Ervin H. Zube, “Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ,” (1998), 9. All schematic plans of the character areas are based on this source.
Who originally named Bonita Creek (the Spanish ‘Bonita’ means pretty) is not known, but Newton’s Wash was named for the man who built the first cabin on the site of the existing Main House before 1885. This cabin, purchased by Emma Erickson in 1886, was no doubt sited at the junction of Newton’s Wash and Bonita Creek to be out of the flood plain yet close to water. Both Bonita Creek and Newton’s Wash flooded from time to time during the period of significance, as they continue to do today.

From the 1600s until 1886, the area of the wash and creek was home to the Chiricahua Apaches, although archeological remnants of their presence are minimal. During the 1870s, homesteaders, including Louis Prue and Brannick Riggs, began to settle along the creek where it ran into the flat land beyond the mouth of the canyon to the west. By 1880 Ja Hu Stafford built a cabin on a high spot next to the creek, and by 1888 he had established an orchard irrigated by water from a spring in the eastern section of his homestead. When the spring dried up after the earthquake of 1887, he dug irrigation ditches and watered the orchard with water diverted from the creek. Investigation of the site and the resulting comparison between existing conditions to historic photographs (see Figure 5B – 4) suggests that the level of the creek was as much as six feet higher when this irrigation canal was dug. The outline of the canal remains visible in the Meadow character area today.

At some point a dam was built on Bonita Creek just to the east of the Stafford cabin. This dam may have been constructed by 1896, when Stafford claimed all the water to the north of his homestead, including a right of way for an irrigation ditch over the same land to his garden. Around 1920, Ed Riggs was involved in the repair of a dam in this area to provide a swimming hole for guests. Further investigation is required to provide accurate information on this feature.

---

3 Emma purchased the cabin before Neil filed on the homestead which included it.
6 Ibid.
During the final U.S. military campaign against the Apaches in 1885-86, the presence of water in the valley determined the site of the Buffalo Soldiers Camp. Called the “Waterhole Campaign”, the strategy devised by General Crook mandated control of all water resources in the area, thereby restricting the movement of hostile Apaches. During this period Captain Hooker and his family occupied the cabin on Newton’s Wash. The wash served as a social barrier, separating the camp of the enlisted men from the officers quarters.\(^8\)

In 1894, Neil Erickson claimed the land surrounding Emma’s cabin as a homestead, initially using the land as a ranch and market garden. A cattle tank was installed across the wash from the Main House at some point before 1920.\(^9\)

In 1917, Hildegard and Lillian Erickson began to develop the property as a guest ranch, and in 1918 they purchased the Stafford property. It may have been Ed Riggs who, after his marriage to Lillian in 1923, built a dam just to the east of the Stafford Cabin to

---


create a swimming area for visitors. Later in the 1920s, the swimming hole created by the dam was replaced by a swimming pool constructed adjacent to the Main House.

The corrals and tack room were located to the west of Newton’s Wash, which would have helped to separate noise and smells from the Main House. Although little is known about the date of their original construction, it was probably early. Around 1930 the Cowboy House was built, separated from the Main House by Newton’s Wash and designed to lodge ranch hands. A bridge was constructed at some point during the 1930s (possibly by 1937), and additional structures were constructed on the Main House side of the wash to provide an office for Neil Erickson and to offer garage space for guests’ automobiles.

![Possible meandering path of Bonita Creek](image)

Figure 5B - 5. View of the valley from Neil’s Rock, looking west, ca. 1908, showing Bonita Creek, right, and Newton’s Wash, in center (CHIR 86:16:B2F8).

A 1908 photograph (Figure 5B-5) shows bulging areas of vegetation along the line of Bonita Creek, suggesting that the channel may originally have meandered before it was canalized by means of the construction of the stone embankments visible on the

---

10 Ibid., 85.
11 Although it was later used to house guests, when cattle were no longer run in this area but rather in other locations obtained through grazing leases.
landscape today. A second, unnamed wash enters Bonita Creek just to the east of the Stafford Cabin and below the dam. The historic importance of this additional wash is not clear (Figure 5B-3).

After heavy rains, Newton’s Wash often held considerable water, overrunning its banks. A bridge across the wash was built by 1937, of which the footings remain, some twenty feet south of the existing bridge.\(^{13}\) There is some confusion about the integrity of the existing bridge (Figures 5B-7, 5B-8, 5B-9).\(^{14}\) Figure 5B-7 (1983) shows a deteriorated bridge in the location of the bridge in 2011. CHIR staff suggest that this bridge was rebuilt after 1983 by NPS, but it is unclear whether it was completely rebuilt or whether it was restored. There may well have been a sequence of bridges in this location\(^ {15}\); a bridge in this location would be very useful when the wash was running. The ranch road and the road linking the Main House and Working Ranch areas crossed the wash by means of fords, presumably used as weather permitted.

The date of the stone embankments along the creek and wash is not known, although the extent of the work suggests that this construction took place over a period of time. The course of Newton’s Wash between the Main House and Working Ranch is completely canalized (Figures 5B-10, 5B-11 and 5B-12). It is likely that the tendency of both creek and wash to change course led to the construction of the intermittent reinforcements along their banks. These reinforcements, in their turn, helped to facilitate the scouring of the stream and wash beds to the depth they display today.

---

\(^{13}\) Torres and Baumler (1984, 54).


Figure 5B - 6. Faraway horses along Bonita Creek, 1938. The embankment wall is visible in the center right of the photograph. There are few identifiable historic photographs that include the creek or wash (WACC 86: 16:0728).
Figure 5B - 7. Bridge across Newton's Wash, 1983 (Illustration 41 from *The Archeology of Faraway Ranch*).

Figure 5B - 8. Bridge across Newton's Wash, looking west (Erickson, 2011).

Figure 5B - 9. Bridge across Newton's Wash, with footings of older bridge visible in foreground (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5B - 10. Stone-reinforced channel of Newton’s Wash to the left of the Cowboy House, bridge in background. Note large junipers (*Juniperus deppeana*) along bank, as well as remnant apricot tree (*Prunus sp.*) in center (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5B - 11. Old Bridge Foundations and ranch road ford across Newton’s Wash, looking south from existing bridge (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5B - 12. Stone embankment wall along Newton’s Wash, south of ranch road, looking south (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5B - 13. Bonita Creek retaining wall to the west of the corral in Working Ranch area (Erickson, 2012).
EXISTING CONDITIONS

Bonita Creek runs roughly from east to west down the canyon, joined by Newton’s Wash at a point to the north of the Main House. Both creek and wash are edged by intermittent walls on the southern side of the creek and on both sides (although mostly on the eastern side) of the wash. It seems likely that these walls were built in an effort to prevent flooding or changes in the course of the creek or wash. On-site observation reveals that the uncanalized channel of Newton’s Wash to the south of the Main House has shifted a number of times in the recent past.

A footbridge across Newton’s Wash links the Cowboy House to the Main House area. The existing bridge is located north of the site of one or possibly more former bridges dating back to the 1930s. There are fords across the wash to both north and south of the bridge, where the wash is intersected by the Ranch road and the utility road that links the Main House and Working Ranch (see Figure 5B-11). There is no evidence of a bridge across Bonita Creek.

A significant number of mature trees, including valley oak (Quercus sp.), juniper (juniperus monosperma) and ash (Fraxinus velutina), grow along the creek and wash.
single apricot tree (*Prunus sp.*), said to be one of a group originally planted on the west side of the wash, is located near the bridge (see Figure 5B-10).\(^{16}\)

A cobble-lined path runs along Bonita Creek between the Main House and the Stafford Cabin, providing open views of the creek bed.

To the east of the Stafford Cabin, Bonita Creek is interrupted by a dam, now in poor condition (see Figure 5B-15). The dam consists of poured-in-place concrete slabs on a river rock base. Barbed wire – so-called cowboy reinforcing – was used in its construction. When whole, the dam measured 38’ across; it is now broken into three pieces.

An additional, unnamed wash flows into Bonita Creek just to the east of the Stafford Cabin but west of the dam.

![Concrete dam on Bonita Creek, east of Stafford Cabin (Erickson, 2012).](image)

\(^{16}\) Johnson and Zube, "Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ," 9.
ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION

The presence of a reliable water source was the catalyst for human presence in Bonita Canyon from prehistoric times until the present.

The alignment of the creek and wash provide an overall landscape framework by delineating drainage patterns. Along with the northern and southern hills, they serve as wayfinding elements for the District.

Flooding is typical of watercourses such as these, and the choice of site for the Main House and Stafford Cabin reflect the desire to be located near water, yet above the floodplain. The meandering channels of creek and wash were regularized over time, giving greater environmental control to adjacent property owners like the Ericksons and Staffords. Straightening the channels no doubt helped to scour the stream beds deeper, eventually making the irrigation channels leading into the Stafford orchard useless.

The eventual effects of the Horseshoe 2 Fire on watercourse bed scouring, silt deposit and flooding remain to be determined.

Contributing Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Circulation</td>
<td>SB-1,</td>
<td>Drainage patterns of wash and creek</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB-2,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB-3,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Vegetation</td>
<td>All, plus SB-17, SB-18</td>
<td>Oak, ash, sycamore and juniper</td>
<td>Good to Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embankment Walls</td>
<td>SB-3,</td>
<td>Fieldstone walls constructed along edges of water</td>
<td>Fair to Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB-6,</td>
<td>channels to minimize flooding and erosion of banks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB-10,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB-12,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB-14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Foundation</td>
<td>SB-3</td>
<td>Two stacked stone footings for earlier bridge across Newton's Wash</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[17\] C = Contributing; NC = Non-contributing; NCC = Non-contributing but compatible; NCI = Non-contributing and incompatible.

\[18\] Assessment of damage caused by the Horseshoe 2 Fire of 2011 is not completed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Footbridge 19</td>
<td>5B-3</td>
<td>Compatible wooden footbridge across Newton’s Wash, linking the Main House and Working Ranch character areas</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>CNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5B-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5B-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5B-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5B-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5B-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5B-15</td>
<td>Wire-reinforced concrete slabs set on stone foundation</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation Channel</td>
<td>5B-3</td>
<td>Ditch to carry water from Bonita Creek into vegetable fields and orchards</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5B-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranch Road and Utility Road Fords</td>
<td>5B-11</td>
<td>Road bed raised to make crossing Newton’s Wash easier; flooded during storms</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeological Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>Surface and subsurface remains of prehistoric and historic site use</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5B - 16. List of contributing features, Creek and Wash Character Area.

INTEGRITY

The channels of the creek and wash maintain their integrity, surrounded by riparian vegetation. Although the vegetation was damaged in a number of areas by the Horseshoe 2 Fire, the water channels still preserve a green accent along their perimeters. Location and setting remain uncompromised. The presence of these channels provides an essential environmental context for the District.

According to CHIR personnel, longstanding drought conditions have minimized the regular flow of the creek, and on-going weather events have caused erosion in both creek and wash. Where the banks of Newton’s Wash were not reinforced, its course has shifted over time. This may have caused deterioration of archeological resources located along its banks. Yet, overall, the ways in which water moves through the landscape is unchanged.

The dam, despite its poor condition, continues to tell the story of early water engineering in the valley. The course of the Stafford irrigation ditch can still be traced in the topography of the Meadow Character Area, although the change in the level of the creek bed makes it difficult to determine the exact location of the junction.

The extant NPS footbridge may be either a compatible replacement for a previous bridge in terms of scale, design and materials, or a rehabilitation or reconstruction of an earlier bridge.

19 The existing footbridge is listed as a contributing feature in the 2010 CLI (Anon. and al., Cultural Landscape Inventory, Faraway Ranch, Chiricahua National Monument: 25.), but discussions with CHIR staff suggest that it may be non-contributing but compatible.
Overall, the Creek and Wash Character Area retains integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling and association.

CONDITION ASSESSMENT

The creek, which during the early part of the period of significance was a perennial stream, now flows only following rain or snow in the surrounding hills. The wash, which was active only seasonally, is now almost always dry. Flooding is still a possibility for both creek and wash, however. Comparison with photographs suggests channel scouring has taken place over time.

Stone embankments constructed along the sides of the creek and wash channels are intermittent throughout the landscape. Adjacent to cultivated areas and to areas containing structures, channels have been straightened through the construction of these embankments to control erosion and flooding. Some sections have deteriorated, while others appear to be in good condition. In some areas, the embankments merge into walls which at one time were apparently topped by wire fences; in other areas they simply reinforce the edges of the water channel. These embankments are intermittent throughout the site.

The footings for a non-extant bridge (possibly in place by 1937)\(^{20}\) across Newton’s Wash are in poor condition. The extant NPS bridge is in fair condition.

Fords across Newton’s Wash for the ranch road and the utility road which links the Main House and Working Ranch have been well maintained.

Vegetation along both Creek and Wash was damaged by the Horseshoe 2 Fire in June 2011. Trees, in some cases, appear to have been have been severely damaged. The extent of this damage remains to be determined fully.

The dam is in poor condition. Part of it has collapsed, and the area behind it is filled with silt.

Figure 5B - 17. Fire-damaged vegetation along Bonita Creek, near Meadow area, looking northeast (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5B - 18. Fire-damaged vegetation, looking north along Newton's Wash from ranch road ford (Erickson, 2012).
ANALYSIS OF INTERPRETIVE POTENTIAL

A recognition of the creek and wash as a separate character area affords visitors an important insight into the development of the Historic District throughout the Period of Significance. Water as the foundation of life in this valley provides a basis for multiple interpretive strategies. Among these are the role of the spring-fed creek and the seasonal wash in supporting subsistence market gardening and ranching; the development of water technology ranging from irrigation to flood control; the social and pragmatic division of the landscape into subsections; and the achievement of military objectives in the final “Waterhole Campaign” against the Apaches. This character area also opens a window on issues of environmental change, both during the Period of Significance and subsequently.

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

Management objectives for this character area focus on ways to maintain and interpret those features characteristic of the period of significance such as water courses, structures to control or take advantages of water resources, relationships between drainage patterns and human uses of the land and riparian vegetation.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Under the overall treatment approach of rehabilitation, the recommendations for the Creek and Wash character area focus on the preservation and minor restoration of features and relationships of the cultural landscape that were present during the period of significance. They allow compatible uses of the ranch for visitors, while preserving the characteristics and features that convey the ranch’s historical, cultural and environmental values. Visitor access to specific locations within this character area is deemed a compatible use. Individual treatment measures are organized and presented by significant landscape features.

Treatment recommendations were developed in discussion with CHIR staff, with reference to Routine Maintenance as a Preservation Stewardship Strategy (2009).

---


General

- Maintain the stream and wash channels and associated riparian vegetation as a visible reminder of their importance during the period of significance.

- Develop ways to present the story of change over time in this character area, illuminating the interactive roles of human intervention and environmental change.

Views and Vistas

- Create unobtrusive trail links and interpretive information to permit visitors to view significant features, such as embankment walls, bridge footings and the dam.

- Selectively prune low-growing riparian vegetation to permit views of the creek bed.

Water Channels

- Document current condition with photographs and graphics.

- Evaluate silting or erosion.

- Develop an appropriate program of preventive maintenance to prevent shifting channels from damaging historic features (such as the original bridge footings or the dam) or archaeological resources (such as the Buffalo Soldiers recreation area north of the ranch road).

Stone Embankment Walls

- Document current condition with photographs and graphics.

- Replace fallen stones to previous positions within the wall, consistent with other wall sections.

Riparian vegetation

- Identify, list and document vegetation with photographs and drawings.

- Evaluate the effect of Horseshoe 2 fire on vegetation.

- Identify and preserve surviving mature trees. Trim/thin and remove dead wood as needed to visitor health and safety as well as for ecological health.
Integrate management of vegetation, especially character-defining mature trees, into the CHIR Fire Management Plan. Vegetation may be thinned or even backburned if deemed essential, but major mature trees should be protected.

**Dam**
- Document current condition.
- Monitor regularly for change.

**Bridge**
- Inspect and maintain as needed.
- Determine status of the feature: is this bridge a reconstruction of the historic bridge?

**Archaeological Resources**
- Consider impact on potential archaeological resources caused by any site modification.
- Consider strategies to avoid disruption of archaeological and historical resources caused by the shifting channel of Newton’s Wash.
Chapter 5C
Southern Hills and Ranch Road Character Area

Figure 5C - 1. Location of Southern Hills and Ranch Road Character Area (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).
HISTORY
The Southern Hills and Ranch Road Character area has significance in several different periods of development of the Faraway Ranch Historic District. These periods of development are:

- The Buffalo Soldiers encampment established in Bonita Canyon in 1885-86.
- The road to the Stafford Cabin during the homestead years, extending throughout the entire period of significance.
- The water tanks constructed by Ed Riggs during the 1920s.
- The use of the site as part of the Dude Ranch experience 1917-1973, as seen in photographs taken from this vantage point.

The western end of the Southern Hills and Ranch Road Character Area includes the 1885-86 military camp of the Buffalo Soldiers. Members of the 10th U.S. Cavalry were stationed at Bonita Canyon, the Buffalo Soldiers were African-American enlisted men led by white officers. Under the direction of General George R. Crook, the Cavalry participated in the final campaign against the Geronimo and the Apaches in Arizona, which included the establishment of semi-permanent camps near springs and passes. Sources of water were essential to Apache movement throughout the southwest. The spring in Bonita Canyon was one such site, including a spring to the north of the

---

1 Louis Torres and Mark Baumler, *Historic Structure Report: Historical and Archeological Data Sections; a History of the Buildings and Structures of Faraway Ranch* (Denver, CO: United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Denver Service Center, 1984). This map, according to the 1998 CLI, was created on the basis of a 1978 CHIR construction drawing; see Lauri MacMillian Johnson and Ervin H. Zube, "Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ," (1998), 9. All schematic plans of the character areas are based on this source.
Stafford Cabin (apparently this spring ceased to flow after the earthquake of 1887). Often referred to as the ‘Waterhole Campaign’, military action consisted largely of observation and courier service, with occasional forays to track reported sightings of Apaches. In addition, the Buffalo Soldiers worked on the completion of a road through the canyon to create a link through White Tail Pass and on to Fort Bowie. A military lookout associated with this campaign is located in the hills to the south of the Main House. This post provided an overview of the valley, including the site of the spring.

A long tradition of African American cavalry being led by white officers went back to the time of the American Civil War. Many of these officers, recognizing the military capacity of their men, held their troops in considerable respect. Among these cavalry officers was President James A. Garfield, who was assassinated only a few months after his inauguration in 1881. In his memory, the Buffalo Soldiers constructed a memorial in the center of their camp during their stay in Bonita Canyon. But by the mid-1920s the monument of individual carved stones had deteriorated so badly that the remaining stones were moved to the Main House and incorporated into a fireplace. A survey of archeological remains of the military camp was undertaken in the early 1980s, but the site has not been fully investigated.

During this same period, Ju Hu Stafford and his young wife Pauline were living at their cabin to the east, raising produce both for the Buffalo Soldiers camp and for nearby Fort Bowie. The original Ranch Road provided access to the Stafford Cabin.

After the establishment of the Chiricahua National Monument in 1924, a new road was constructed forking off to the southeast from the original Ranch Road to permit access to the Monument Entrance and Visitor Center. The original Ranch Road continued to serve the Main House and Stafford Cabin.

---


EXISTING CONDITIONS

The western section of the site is part of the flood plain of Bonita Creek. In the eastern section, the Southern Hills rise sharply just to the south of the ranch road, to a rocky ridge with sparse vegetation some 150’ above the valley floor. The area includes the 1885-86 Buffalo Soldiers Camp, guest ranch period water tanks, the Bunkhouse, and the ranch road which provides access to the Stafford Cabin, the Main House and the Bunkhouse. It is closed to public traffic by a gate located just to the west of Newton’s Wash. It is well-maintained, graded clay. Aside from the area threatened by Newton’s Wash, the road appears to be far enough above the level of Bonita Creek to be seriously threatened by flooding. Today the Ranch Road accommodates a single lane of motor vehicle traffic.

ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION

The alignment of the Ranch Road runs along the edge of the Southern Hills, above the level of the Bonita Creek flood plain. At the western end of the district it at one time forked into two branches for a short stretch north and south of the Garfield monument. These alignments were documented in archeological investigations in the 1980s. A photograph taken before a tree sprouted at the base of the Garfield Monument (and before a wire fence was installed to the south of it) gives visual evidence (see Figure 5C – 9). By the 1920s the Ranch Road ran to the south of the Monument. It is possible that the north branch was closed and a fence installed between the Monument and the road to afford some protection, because the Ericksons recognized its historic importance. Eventually, as the Monument

---

deteriorated, the remaining stones were removed and incorporated into a fireplace at the Main House during the early 1920s. The Monument foundation remains in place.6

The eastern section of the ranch road appears to have been a dirt tire-track path sited high enough above the flood plain to avoid washouts. A stabilized ford was constructed at Newton’s Wash, but in floods that part of the road may have been impassible. At times it appears to have been graded (see Figures 5C – 4 and 5C -5).

Figure 5C - 4. Anna McKay Riggs on Ranch Road, perhaps in the late 1940s, looking northwest (WACC 17:97:1352).

---

Figure 5C - 5. Ranch Road, perhaps in the 1930s, looking northwest (WACC 17:97:1352).

Figure 5C - 6. Ranch Road after Horseshoe 2 Fire, looking west (Erickson, 2011).
The Buffalo Soldiers Camp was sited, for the most part, in the flat flood plain to the west of Newton’s Wash, although officers used the cabin on the site of the Main House. The section of the camp to the north of the Ranch Road was located more or less where the comfort station was installed. In addition, there may have been stables just to the south of the ranch road and east of Newton’s Wash. A recreation area, situated away from the camp, was associated with a significant number of bottles, originally containing beer or other alcoholic beverages. Much of the camp area has not been excavated, although surveys were done in the 1980s.

---


8 Ibid., 208.

Figure 5C - 8. Garfield Monument ca. 1910 (WACC 17:19:0854).

Figure 5C - 9. Remains of Garfield Monument and ranch roads ca. 1910 (CHIR 86:16:0851).
Figure 5C - 10. Garfield Monument and ranch road in 1920s (WACC 17:19:0854).
A well-constructed lookout post, dating from the time of the Buffalo Soldiers Camp, is located in the hills to the west of the Bunkhouse. Founded on a natural rock outcrop,
it is built of large rectangular stones, forming a wall and sitting area. A rock-lined pathway leads off to the east. This lookout is consistent with the period of the Military Camp based on the age and type of artifacts identified in archeological investigations by Tagg. Subsequently, this lookout was also a favored site for photographs of the Main House and the valley, as reflected by surviving visitor and Erickson photos.

Figure 5C - 13. Drawing of Military Lookout (after Tagg).

---


11 Ibid., 107.
Figure 5C - 14. Ed Riggs (right) and unidentified man looking north from the Military Lookout, ca. 1930 (WACC 17:92:0748).
Water tanks, located on the sharply-rising slope south of the Main House, were constructed by Ed Riggs as part of a system to bring water from the area of the Stafford Ranch to the Main House.\textsuperscript{12} Riggs kept improving the system over time.\textsuperscript{13} The tanks remain on the hillside today, although they are no longer operative (see Figure 5C – 16).

Approximately 200 feet to the southeast of these water tanks is another concrete and stone structure that likely served a similar function (See Figure 5C – 17). Nothing appears to have been written about this additional structure.


Figure 5C - 16. View of Main House and water tanks from Military Lookout, facing north (Erickson, 2011).

Figure 5C - 17. Unidentified features, possibly water tanks, in the Southern Hills (Erickson, 2012).
In addition to the Buffalo Soldiers Lookout, a rock formation at the eastern end of these hills – often referred to as “Neil’s Rock” – offers a dramatic view looking over the ranch buildings and along the creek toward the canyon entrance (See Figures 5C-19, 5C-20 and 5C-21). Many photographs were taken from this location as well. Behind the outcrop projecting over the valley is a relatively flat area, punctuated by another collection of large (over 8’) boulders.
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Figure 5C - 19. Neil Erickson at Neil’s Rock at the eastern end of the Faraway Ranch District, looking west with valley view below (CHIR 86:16:0856).

Figure 5C - 20. View of Neil’s Rock, looking west, historic juniper on left (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5C - 21. View from Neil's Rock area, looking west, 1927 (CHIR 86:16:B2F8).

Figure 5C - 22. View looking west across valley from Neil's Rock (Erickson, 2011).
In the mid-1920s, the Bunkhouse, which had originally been constructed as an addition to the Stafford Cabin, was detached from the cabin and moved to its present location to provide additional housing for guests.\textsuperscript{14} An addition was built in the early 1930s.\textsuperscript{15}

### Contributing Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing\textsuperscript{16}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ranch Road</td>
<td>5C-3</td>
<td>Dirt road maintaining alignment, route, width and surface of the historic access to the site</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military Lookout</td>
<td>5C-7</td>
<td>A walled observation post in the hills directly south of the Main House; linked to Buffalo Soldiers Camp</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{14} Johnson and Zube, “Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ,” 17.

\textsuperscript{15} Torres and Baumler, \textit{Historic Structure Report: Historical and Archeological Data Sections; a History of the Buildings and Structures of Faraway Ranch}: 82.

\textsuperscript{16} C = contributing; CNC = compatible but non-contributing; NC = non-contributing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neil’s Rock</td>
<td>5C-19</td>
<td>An overlook in the Southern Hills to the southeast of the valley; multiple historic photos from this site</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Tanks</td>
<td>5C-16</td>
<td>Concrete and steel tanks with steel roofs; provided water to the ranch until the 1950s</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified Structures, probably Water Tanks</td>
<td>5C-17</td>
<td>Stone block and concrete tank; cylindrical metal tank</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane Tank</td>
<td>5C-18</td>
<td>Elongated, cylindrical metal tank</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunkhouse</td>
<td>5C-23</td>
<td>Guest housing derived from a former addition to the Stafford Cabin; moved to this site between 1925 and 1929</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheltering Hills</td>
<td>5C-18</td>
<td>Steep escarpments to the south of the valley provide a dramatic backdrop for the district</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garfield Monument Site</td>
<td>5C-7</td>
<td>Subsurface footings remain in place; interpretive opportunity</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
<td>5C-19</td>
<td>Grassland with juniper, yucca and prickly pear; note historic juniper at Neil’s Rock</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Views</td>
<td>5C-5</td>
<td>View from valley to hills and from hills to valley</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Organization</td>
<td>5C-2</td>
<td>Layout of ranch road determined by location of valley and creek</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5C-21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeological Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>Surface and subsurface remains of prehistoric and historic site use</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5C - 24. List of contributing features, Southern Hills and Ranch Road Area.

<sup>17</sup> C = Contributing; NC = Non-contributing; NCC = Non-contributing but compatible; NCI = Non-contributing and incompatible.
INTEGRITY

Photographs of the Southern Hills and Ranch Road indicate that their appearance is largely the same as it was during the historic period.

The lookout appears much as it would have been during the period of significance. The stonework, which is fitted together without mortar, is characteristic of military constructions of the period. It provides a 360° view of the surrounding landscape, although the landscape itself has evolved over time – from a “natural” landscape to an agricultural landscape to a landscape with increasing numbers of junipers.

Neil’s Rock – the rocky outcrop to the east of the Historic District – remains much as it was during the period of significance, even to the long-lived juniper which appears in the foreground of several photographs. A photograph of Neil Erickson on this rock with the valley in the background suggests the origin of the common label for this feature. Photographs taken from the outcrop over a period of time show a remarkable consistency in the choice of composition for valley views.

The course of the road evolved over time. It tells the story of how a road was built along a stream – not on the bank, where there would have been danger of flooding, but at a slight elevation along the edge of the hillside. Its natural curves, especially as seen from the hills, are characteristic of farm roads of the period. Aside from the section coinciding with the entrance road - which has been widened to accommodate two-way traffic, its width provides a single motor vehicle lane. This in itself suggests an era before automobiles, or certainly an era of low-automobile traffic, and closing of the road to motor vehicle traffic other than service vehicles reinforces this. It is important to differentiate the course of the road from that of the path leading from the parking lot to the Working Ranch.

The water tanks, even in their poor condition, present the water technology of the 1920s in an effective and visual way. The sump just below, on the north side of the ranch road, may be related to this system, likewise the sump near the spring on the far eastern side of the District. Whether these sumps are in working condition, are linked to pipes, or have been removed is unknown.

Aside from the major part of the Buffalo Soldiers Camp site (where integrity is retained as an aspect of an archeological site), this character area retains integrity of views, location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling and association.

CONDITION ASSESSMENT

The road leading to the ranch is in good condition and well-maintained and appears to be of modern construction. It accommodates a single lane of traffic. Traces of a
road branching off from the main entrance road to serve the Working Ranch is faintly visible in site inspection (see Figure 5C – 2). Remains of the Buffalo Soldiers Camp and the Garfield Monument are not visible, although a number of these lie close to the surface, as documented through archeological investigation.\(^{18}\)

The Buffalo Soldiers lookout is in good condition, as is the rocky outcrop at the eastern end of the area, but the rock-lined pathway leading to the lookout has become overgrown.

The water tanks are in poor condition, and the roof of one of them has fallen in. It is not known at this time whether the water system linking the tanks to the spring in the eastern part of the Historic District remain functional, or, indeed, if they remain in place.

The hills show only natural affects of erosion and the Horseshoe 2 fire of 2011. They are very steep, and with no trail indicated, no doubt are rarely climbed.

**ANALYSIS OF INTERPRETIVE POTENTIAL**

The area of the Southern Hills and Ranch Road challenges interpretation if considered only in terms of artifacts *in situ*. Only by framing it within the context of a larger topographic view – an integral part of the landscape as a whole – can it become a meaningful part of visitor experience. At the same time, it is imperative to protect and respect the archeological resources in the area. Yet there are a number of interpretive options that could be productively explored by linking aspects of the landscape to historic significance. These aspects include:

- sources of water in the Chiricahua Mountains and the strategic location of the Buffalo Soldiers Camp.
- how the siting of the Lookout made it possible to monitor the spring and surrounding countryside while providing direct communication with the camp as a whole.
- the role played by the Garfield Monument in the Buffalo Soldiers camp.
- the link between the military camp and the Main house occupants, and the subsequent link between the Garfield Monument and the Main House Fireplace as an act of historic preservation.

The first three items could be explored through maps – either in the Faraway Parking Area or at the Visitors Center. A balance would have to be struck between the provision of visitor information and the protection of archeological materials.

The Garfield Monument site offers an opportunity for interpretation. Outlining the base or indicating the location by a sign would serve not only to explore this aspect of the character area, but also would link the Buffalo Soldiers to the Erickson Ranch by revealing how Neil Erickson decided (after failing to interest the Army in saving this historic resource) to preserve a decaying monument by incorporating its materials into the Main House chimney. Scavenging materials from decaying monuments or buildings, termed *spolia*, is characteristic in most human societies, and the integration of the stones of the Garfield Monument into the Main House porch fireplace affords a point of departure for a discussion on what can be considered appropriate reuse.

The lookout likewise has significant interpretive potential. It would be difficult, however, to maintain the balance between making it more accessible and preserving its integrity, as a major part of its integrity lies in its isolation. If ever it were to be made more accessible, it would have to be reached from the Park entrance road rather than from the Ranch Road within the district. The northern slope falls away too steeply to make access from that side a possibility.

The water tanks offer potential for interpreting the water engineering aspect of this character area. The system of sumps linking the tanks to the spring in the eastern part of the District also offer a point for departure for this aspect of the site. There may be further engineering resources not yet documented in this area.

**MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES**

Overall management objectives for this character area focus on maintenance of historic circulation routes and views, as well as historic structures (the military lookout) and natural viewpoints (Neil’s rock). Significant archeological resources dating to the period of the Buffalo Soldiers Camp should be preserved and interpreted.

**TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS**

Under the overall treatment approach of rehabilitation, the recommendations for the Southern Hills and Ranch Road character area focus on the preservation and minor restoration of features and relationships of the cultural landscape that were present during the period of significance. Recommendations allow compatible uses of the ranch for visitors, while preserving the characteristics and features that convey the
ranch’s historical, cultural and environmental values. Visitor access to specific locations within this character area may or may not be a compatible use, depending on potential for trail stabilization and erosion containment. Individual treatment measures are organized and presented by significant landscape features.

Treatment recommendations were developed in discussion with CHIR staff, with reference to Routine Maintenance as a Preservation Stewardship Strategy (2009).

General

- Continue preservation maintenance as listed in preservation plan.

Boundaries

- Expand the Faraway Ranch Historic District southern boundary to the 5400 or 5600 contour line on Erickson Ridge, as recommended in Torres and Baumler (1984).

Archeological Resources

Implement a comprehensive survey of archeological resources associated with the Buffalo Soldiers Camp.

- Monitor known resources annually.

- The impact on archeological resources should be considered if construction of any kind is undertaken.

- Offer more information to visitors about the site of the Buffalo Soldiers Camp can be done as long as there is no impact to archeological resources.

Road

- Maintain dirt surface as required for safety and aesthetics.

---

21 Ibid.
• Inspect and maintain road closing gate.

• Minimize further off-road intrusions just to the west of the gate (potentially through the placement of boulders).

Hills

• Document and monitor vegetation.

• Monitor and document soil erosion.

• Stabilize steep slope areas as needed.

• Follow general recommendations of CHIR Fire Management Plan, but add protection for historic juniper at Neil’s Rock.23

Lookout

• Document adjacent path.

• Monitor vandalism.

• Monitor erosion; consider intervention if needed.

• Remove encroaching vegetation from base of lookout only if it presents a structural threat (e.g. cracking or dislodging stones).

Neil’s Rock

• Document and monitor vegetation, in particular that visible in historic photographs (such as the juniper noted in Figures 5C-19 and 5C-20).

• Monitor and document soil erosion in area.

• Monitor vandalism.

Water Tanks

• Visually inspect and monitor annually.

---

• Repair/replace roof.

• Paint as needed.

• Carefully remove any small encroaching vegetation that appears likely to damage the base.

• Monitor erosion and stabilize as needed.

Garfield Monument Site

• Visually inspect and monitor annually.

• Stabilize resource as required.
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Chapter 5D
WORKING RANCH CHARACTER AREA

Figure 5D - 1. Location of Working Ranch Character Area (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).
Figure 5D - 2. Schematic plan of Working Ranch Character Area (after Torres and Baumler, 1948).  

1 Louis Torres and Mark Baumler, *Historic Structure Report: Historical and Archeological Data Sections; a History of the Buildings and Structures of Faraway Ranch* (Denver, CO: United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Denver Service Center, 1984). This map, according to the 1998 CLI, was created on the basis of a 1978 CHIR construction drawing; see Lauri MacMillian Johnson and Ervin H. Zube, "Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ," (1998), 9. All schematic plans of the character areas are based on this source.  
HISTORY

This area most likely served as a work area from the time of Newton’s homestead, but very little is known about many of the original structures. In keeping with its role as a practical area, facilities were built, altered, torn down or rebuilt over time. For instance, judging from its foundation, the Cowboy House was originally two structures,\(^2\) later combined into one. Most of the structures in existence today are said to date from the 1920s or 1930s, i.e., from the Guest Ranch period, but may well be

descendants of similarly-placed structures that served the same function in an earlier period. It is suggested that the windmill was still in operation in 1957, but the stock tank – possibly dating back to 1913 - may have been abandoned before that time.3

Large trees grew along the creek and wash, especially valley oak (Quercus sp.), but also Arizona sycamore (platanus wrightii), ash (Fraxinus velutina) and juniper (Juniperus deppeana). These characteristic features of the site are visible in many historic photographs (see Figures 5D-6, 5D-8, 5D-12, 5D-13, 5D-15 and 5D-18).

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The Working Ranch area is located to the southwest of the junction of Bonita Creek and Newton’s Wash, bounded by the Ranch Road to the south and by the remains of a wire fence near the visitor parking area to the west. Newton’s Wash separates this area from the Main House Area to the east.

Access to this character area is by means of a slightly curved, level path from the Faraway Ranch Parking Area. The path is approximately eight feet in width. Such a path did not exist during the period of significance, when the Working Ranch was accessed by means of a dirt road/path along the east side of the stock tank and by a dirt road fording Newton’s Creek from the Main House area to the east (see Figure 5D-3). Today the path along the stock tank serves as an unmaintained trail, while the dirt road linking the Working Ranch to the Main House remains accessible to vehicles.

The central part of the area remains relatively free of vegetation, as no doubt would have been the case throughout the period of significance. This central area is surrounded on three sides by the riparian vegetation of creek and wash. A number of mature oaks (Quercus sp.) are located to the south of corral area and to the north in the angle of the creek and wash. Photographs suggest that an oak appearing in historic photographs of the cattle tank remains today (Figures 5D-13 and 5D-14). A flat area to the west between the Working Ranch area and the Park Service visitor parking lot appears to have been used as pasture, or it may have been part of the orchard located to the west of the wash and watered by the same well that served the cattle tank.4 Today this is an area of grassland.

Features include a barn/tack room, an earthen stock tank with walls reinforced with dry piled fieldstone, an associated windmill, a corral with loading chute, wire fences, a concrete watering trough, several storage sheds, the Cowboy House, and sections of the original ranch road leading from the Working Ranch to Main House. A fieldstone

---

4 Ibid., 105.
dry piled wall runs along the west side of Bonita Creek, serving double duty as stream embankment and an enclosure fence (some remaining posts and wire fencing remain visible on top of it). A number of different fence styles are found in this area: flat board corral fencing, pole fencing, stone fencing and wire fencing strung between juniper posts. The barn and storage sheds employ corrugated metal siding and roofing; the Cowboy House features a concrete foundation and wooden siding.

Figure 5D - 4. Entrance trail to Working Ranch from NPS parking lot (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5D - 5. Faraway cattle, 1933, location unknown (CHIR 86:16:0724).

Figure 5D - 6. Horses at watering trough in corral (CHIR 86:16:0724).
Figure 5D - 7. Watering trough, pole fences, gate, corral, looking west (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5D - 8. Barn and corral, ca. 1925 (CHIR 86:16:B2F6).
Figure 5D - 9. Bar and corral, looking east (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5D - 10. Barn/tack room, looking northeast (Erickson, 2011).
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Figure 5D - 11. Barn/tack room, looking east (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5D - 12. View of Working Ranch with windmill in center, n.d. but possibly 1920s (CHIR 86:16:B2F8).
Figure 5D - 13. Stock tank, ca. 1920s, looking north (WACC 17:93:0810). Note large oak on left.

Figure 5D - 14. Stock tank, looking north (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5D - 15. Stock tank and windmill, looking north, n.d. (WACC:23:7, ii).

Figure 5D- 15b. Stock Tank and Windmill, looking north (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5D - 16. Windmill and stock tank, looking south (Erickson, 2011).

Figure 5D - 17. Detail of stock tank wall (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5D - 18. Corral with Lillian Riggs, 1918, looking north (WACC 16:91:0796). Note large oak in background.

Figure 5D - 19. Corral, looking north (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5D - 20. Horse with pole fencing a chute in background, 1933 (CHIR86:16:0725).

Figure 5D - 21. Pole fencing, gate and chute (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5D - 22. Tool shed with trash piled nearby (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5D - 23. Piled trash in Working Ranch area (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5D - 24. Bucket and ring on east side of barn/tackroom (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5D - 25. Cowboy House (Erickson, 2011). Note remaining apricot tree (*Prunus sp.*) in center, overshadowed by junipers (*Juniperus deppeana*).
Figure 5D - 26. Stone wall/embankment wall along creek, facing west. (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5D - 27. Types of fencing, looking northeast towards Barn/Tack Room (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5D - 28. Storage shed (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5D - 29. NPS comfort station (Erickson, 2012).
ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION

What remains on site today reflects the role of the space during the Guest Ranch period. The corrals and chute are not designed to handle full-grown cattle, as indicated by pole fences and single-wall fences. The tack room has charming labels for each horse’s gear, and the Cowboy House, originally constructed for ranch hands, has been converted into guest housing.\(^5\)

The historic link between the Working Ranch and Main House have been retained by means of the ranch road/ford towards the northern end of the two areas and by the bridge adjacent to the Cowboy House (see Figures 5D-2 and 5D-3). However, the historic service road into the area, now an unmaintained trail, has been superseded by the NPS trail from the parking area/comfort station (see Figure 5D-3). This level trail (approximately eight feet in width), paved with decomposed granite, provides immediate access to built resources, but it distorts visitor experience of the space by linking it in a linear way to the parking area. Historically, the Working Ranch area would have served as a satellite facility of the Main House.

\(^5\) Ibid., 71.
### Contributing Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cowboy House</td>
<td>5D-25</td>
<td>L-shaped wood and stone building with porch</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barn/Tack Room</td>
<td>5D-8, 5D-9, 5D-10, 5D-11</td>
<td>Wooden building with gabled roof; addition with metal roof</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tool Shed</td>
<td>5D-22</td>
<td>Wood frame with corrugated metal siding and roof</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage Shed</td>
<td>5D-28</td>
<td>Wood frame with corrugated metal siding and roof</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corral</td>
<td>5D-4, 5D-6, 5D-7, 5D-8, 5D-9, 5D-10, 5D-15, 5D-18, 5D-19, 5D-20</td>
<td>Circular containment areas created by juniper posts and milled lumber</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stock Chute</td>
<td>5D-20, 5D-21</td>
<td>A wooden ramp with fenced sides designed to load or unload stock from a vehicle</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fences and Gates</td>
<td>5D-6, 5D-7, 5D-8, 5D-9, 5D-10, 5D-15, 5D-18, 5D-19, 5D-20, 5D-21, 5D-27</td>
<td>Rail and wire fences with juniper posts; gates of milled lumber</td>
<td>Good to Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Reservoir</td>
<td>5D-13, 5D-14, 5D-16, 5D-17, 5D-18</td>
<td>3-sided embankment faced with large fieldstones or small boulders from the creek bed.</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windmill</td>
<td>5D-12, 5D-15, 5D-16</td>
<td>Metal frame and metal blades</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Trough</td>
<td>5D-6, 5D-7</td>
<td>Concrete trough in ranch yard</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Road 1</td>
<td>5D-11</td>
<td>Dirt access road linking area to Main House</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*C = Contributing; NC = Non-contributing; NCC = Non-contributing but compatible; NCI = Non-contributing and incompatible.*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utility Road 2</td>
<td>5D-2, 5D-3</td>
<td>Dirt access road linking area to Ranch Road</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature Oak (Quercus sp., Ash (Fraxinus velutina) and Juniper (Juniperus deppeana) Trees)</td>
<td>5D-13, 5D-14, 5D-15, 5D-16, 5D-17, 5D-19</td>
<td>Located both to the southeast and to the north of built features</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apricot</td>
<td>5D-25</td>
<td>Located to north of foot bridge</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dump Sites</td>
<td>5D-22, 5D-23</td>
<td>Located to the north of the Tool Shed, they include mainly rusted metal materials</td>
<td>Good - Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small, Discarded Items</td>
<td>5D-24, 5D-28</td>
<td>Scattered throughout site</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS Parking Lot, Comfort Station</td>
<td>5D-29, 5D-30</td>
<td>West of site</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS Trail to Working Ranch Area</td>
<td>5D-4</td>
<td>Leading from NPS parking lot and comfort station to center of Working Ranch area</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Views of the Northern Hills</td>
<td>5D-16, 5D-18</td>
<td>Visible in open areas; mature trees generally block views to the south</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fieldstone Wall/Embankment</td>
<td>5D-26</td>
<td>Runs along creek in western half of area</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circulation Pattern</td>
<td>5D-3</td>
<td>Originally accessed from the main Ranch Road or the Main House; now entered directly from NPS Parking Area</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Archeological Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>Surface and subsurface remains of prehistoric and historic site use</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5D - 31. Table of contributing features, Working Ranch Area.

INTEGRITY

This character area remains much as it was during the Guest Ranch period of the 1930s and 1940s. The stock tank and windmill serve as iconic characteristic features on the landscape. Buildings, fences and the corral tell the story of the area’s function during the period of significance. As a relatively enclosed site, surrounded by creek and wash vegetation, views to and from the site remain essentially unchanged from those of the period of significance. Large trees - mostly oak (Quercus sp.) and juniper (Juniperus deppeana), with some ash (Fraxinus velutina) - create a sense of enclosed space and demonstrate the character of a riparian area. One of a row of apricot trees (Prunus sp.) planted along the wash remains, although it is now overshadowed by volunteer junipers. An oak adjacent to the cattle tank was already a mature tree in the 1920s (see Figure 5D-14, 5D-15 and 5D-16). The National Park Service pathway leading to
the area from the parking lot is an intrusion leading to a misinterpretation of the resource, but this is reversible. Location, Design, Setting, Materials and Workmanship are essentially uncompromised. The site retains integrity.

CONDITION ASSESSMENT

The structures are well-maintained, and, overall, their condition is good. The condition of the windmill and stock tank must be considered poor, for the windmill (according to Park personnel) no longer works, and the tank no longer holds water. The condition of smaller features, including the fences within the immediate area of the barn, the corral, and the chute, is good. The condition of the stone wall/embankment in the area to the west of the corral is fair; some stones have fallen from their places, and the wire fence which originally topped it has deteriorated; some segments are missing. The condition of the concrete watering trough, which has been overturned, is poor. The dirt road, although intersected by the path from the NPS parking area, is in good condition, but the original service road to the Working Ranch from the original ranch road is now an unmaintained trail in poor condition. Despite the deterioration of some features, the condition of this character area overall is good.

ANALYSIS OF INTERPRETIVE POTENTIAL

The Working Ranch Character Area offers opportunities for presenting what lay behind the scenes in a guest ranch of the 1920s and 1930s. The need to upgrade typical working ranch facilities into something of a show place is seen by the Tack Room, with its painted labels for the saddle of each horse. The conversion of the Cowboy House in the 1930s as additional guest space highlights the growing economic focus on the guest ranch during this period, along with a correspondingly lesser focus on ranching per se. But overall this remains a utilitarian site, and it can be interpreted as such.

The area’s location has importance in its own right. Separated by Newton’s Wash from facilities catering to guests, the noise and smells associated with livestock were minimized. Originally, as evidenced by its name, the Cowboy House was intended to house ranch hands; but by the time the Cowboy House started to be used as a guest cabin, this area was almost certainly no longer actively associated with cattle. The concrete porch was installed in the 1960s, as an enhancement for guests. The transition from working cattle ranch to guest ranch also offers another interpretive option.

7 The fences, corral and loading chute are lightly built and not strong enough to accommodate grown cattle. Likewise, while there are photographs of cattle at the water tank dating from the 1920s, later photos do not include cattle. See Torres and Baumler, Historic Structure Report: Historical and Archeological Data Sections; a History of the Buildings and Structures of Faraway Ranch: 90.

8 Ibid., 71-73.
This character area, because of its utilitarian role, does not have the documentation of other areas of the Historic District. Its location, however, reveals a great deal about the realities of ranching life. Set aside from the Main House by Newton’s Wash, which served to divide grittier ranch activities from facilities for guests, it is practical rather than cosmetic. The presence of several dump areas to the north of the Tool Shed helps to confirm this.

Economy was important here. The structure of fencing, using locally-available juniper posts and inexpensive wire, or on-site fieldstone, confirms this. Flat boards were used in corrals to prevent injuries to livestock, but milled lumber was not used in other projects. The utility buildings, including the Barn, featured corrugated metal roofing and siding, a comparatively inexpensive material.

Work items (like wheelbarrows) were left in convenient locations, and smaller items, like a rusted bucket and bits and pieces of hardware were scattered about the site. No longer useable items were disposed of in trash heaps. The presence of these small-scale features offers a valuable point of departure for interpretation, illustrating the problem of disposing of unneeded items without garbage collection services.

The stock tank or reservoir provides an opportunity for further discussion (see Section 5B, Creek and Wash) of the overarching theme of the control of water resources for economic reasons.

The area is easily accessible by means of the wide, level path from the parking lot. But while the path permits easy access, it also creates a false perception of the relationship between the spaces that make up the District. Visitors of the Guest Ranch period did not enter through the Working Ranch Area when visiting the Main House.

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

Overall management objectives for this character area focus on maintenance of historic structures and views. Small scale features and objects, such as the trash dumps and other deteriorating items should be left in place. Issues of visitor safety related to the presence of such features or objects should, however, be considered.

The reestablishment of the historic circulation pattern of the Working Ranch as a satellite area to the Main House is recommended. The existing NPS path, which introduces visitors directly to the Working Ranch, is efficient but does not reflect the historic experience of a visit to the ranch.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS
Under the overall treatment approach of rehabilitation, the recommendations for the Working Ranch Character Area focus on the preservation and minor restoration of features and relationships of the cultural landscape that were present during the period of significance, with an emphasis on the Guest Ranch period (1917-1960s). These recommendations allow the compatible use of the ranch for visitors and park operations, while preserving the characteristics and features that convey the ranch’s historical, cultural and architectural values.9

General

- Maintain the Working Ranch Character Area as an example of the service area of a guest ranch of the 1930s and 1940s

Views and Vistas

- Maintain existing vegetation to shelter and screen this character area from views of the ranch as a whole. This area, as a working area, was not intended to dominate views of the ranch, either from the road or from the Main House.

- Preserve focus of views out of the site on the wash and the pasture land to the west by maintenance of vegetation screening the Main Ranch area.

Ranch Road

- Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.

- Maintain as needed for access and safety.

- Consider repair of original link between Ranch Road and Working Ranch.

Vegetation

- Survey, identify and preserve remnant planted trees from Guest Ranch period.

- Survey, identify and preserve identify mature trees to the north and south of the open area.

- Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.

---
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• Develop a plan for cyclical maintenance of historic vegetation.

Buildings

• Inspect annually for signs of deterioration.

• Carefully remove encroaching vegetation from base of buildings.

• Repair foundations as needed.

• Scrape and repaint wood as needed.

• Repair roofs as needed.

• Repair windows as needed.

Corral, Chute and Gates

• Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.

• Note any long-term maintenance needs.

• Inspect annually for wood deterioration, loose segments.

• Replace posts, crosspieces, wire, hinges and fasteners as needed.

Fieldstone Fence/Embankment Reinforcement

• Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.

• Note any long-term maintenance needs.

• Replace stones in position if documented.

• Replace juniper posts as needed.

• Replace wire as needed.

• Remove loose wire from the site if it presents a safety hazard.

Earthen Reservoir

• Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.
• Inspect annually for signs of deterioration.

• Note any long-term maintenance needs.

• Remove encroaching vegetation if it is likely to damage structure.

• Implement erosion controls if required.

• Replace loose stones in original position if documented.

Windmill

• Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.

• Note any long-term maintenance needs.

• Inspect annually for signs of deterioration.

• Inspect after storms for damage.

• Maintain metal frame.

• Repair or replace blades as necessary.

Water Trough

• Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.

• Inspect annually for signs of deterioration.

• Permit to deteriorate in place unless deemed a safety hazard.

Other Small Scale Features (buckets, dump sites, etc.)

• Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.

• Permit to remain as sited unless they present a safety hazard, in which case they should be relocated or possibly fenced off.

Circulation

• Consider options for entering the site other than through the Working Ranch area in order to offer visitors a more authentic spatial experience.
Fire Management

- Incorporate appropriate protection for significant contributing vegetation into the CHIR Fire Management Plan. Vegetation within the immediate area (within 20') of the character area should be protected if possible, but can be thinned selectively, limbed up, or even backburned if deemed essential for the protection of contributing trees.

Archaeological Resources

- Consider impact on potential prehistoric and historic archaeological resources that might be caused by any site modification.
Chapter 5E
Main House Character Area

Figure 5E - 1. Location of Main House Character Area (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).
Figure 5E - 2. Schematic plan of Main House Character Area (adapted from Torres and Baumler, 1984). ¹

¹ Louis Torres and Mark Baumler, *Historic Structure Report: Historical and Archeological Data Sections; a History of the Buildings and Structures of Faraway Ranch* (Denver, CO: United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Denver Service Center, 1984). This map, according to the 1998 CLI, was created on the basis of a 1978 CHIR construction drawing; see Lauri MacMillian Johnson and Ervin H. Zube, “Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ,” (1998), 9. All schematic plans of the character areas are based on this source.
Figure 5E - 3. Main House Character Area (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).

**HISTORY**

The original structure of the main house was a two-room cabin that Emma Peterson Erickson purchased from a man named Newton in 1886. This cabin, which was to form the nucleus of the Main House, had previously served as a residence for Captain Charles Cooper, the commanding officer of the 10th Cavalry Regiment (the Buffalo Soldiers) during the 1885-86 military campaign. At that time Bonita Creek was a perennial stream surrounded by large oak trees, and the grass was three feet high. In 1888 Emma and Neil Erickson, along with their baby daughter Lillian, moved to the

---

2 Emma purchased the cabin before her marriage to Neil Erickson and before she encouraged him to file on the surrounding homestead acreage.

3 The following information is drawn from Torres and Baumler, *Historic Structure Report: Historical and Archeological Data Sections; a History of the Buildings and Structures of Faraway Ranch.*
canyon. It was at this time that Neil filed a homestead claim on the land surrounding the cabin.4

Neil added a third room and a porch to the cabin before building a separate stone house, probably in 1889, to serve as a fort should hostilities with the Apaches resume. Apache attacks, however, did not occur. In the early 1900s the house was once again transformed, this time into a box house. At some point between 1915 and 1917, the house achieved the general appearance it has today. The result was a two-story house, with a concrete foundation, exterior walls of adobe brick, and an asphalt shingle roof. The stone house had been incorporated into the structure.

During this initial period of the ranch, a pig pen and chicken coop were located in the northwest corner of the Main House area,5 and various storage and garage structures were constructed along the side of Newton’s Wash across from the Main House. There were several sequential wells, the last of which is located in the front yard.6 A vegetable garden was planted north of the house.

Around 1917 Hildegard Erickson (Lillian’s younger sister) came up with the idea of a guest ranch. Lillian, who was interested in working with cattle and horses, continued to develop an active ranch while pursuing the goal of a guest ranch. It was at this time that the property received the name of “Faraway”.7

During the 1920s, water tanks were constructed on the hillside to the south and modern plumbing was installed in the Main House. A fuel-run refrigerator replaced the icebox, and a carbide plant outside the house provided acetylene for lighting. In the early 1930s, a gasoline generator was purchased, and the generator house may have been built at this time. Work on the Main House continued through the 1930s, but little else was done after that until it was rewired in the 1960s.

Two additional structures remain on the site today: Neil’s office and a five-car garage. Descendent to a number of storage structures on this side of the property, Neil’s office, which was probably built around 1933, includes a garage and an attic. The large garage was completed during the mid-1930s. That it could accommodate five cars gives an idea of the size of the guest ranch undertaking at this time.

The swimming pool was built at some undocumented point between 1925 and 1929 to further enhance the offerings of the guest ranch. The pool was originally surrounded by a three-foot wooden deck and had a diving board at one end. A plug

---

4 Ibid., 20.
5 The chicken coop was built by 1898 but was eventually removed when it became a nuisance to guests. The pig pen was in existence by 1908, but it is not know when it ceased to be functional. See ibid., 93, 108, 09.
6 Today the well has been covered, and the well housing has been removed.
at the north end, along with an overflow value, emptied into the garden.\(^8\) A tennis court was added, possibly before 1920, near some flowering pears (*Pyrus communis*), which suggests it may have been adjacent to the front yard or the orchard.

The fenced area around the Main House was planted over time with a variety of native and non-native shrubs and trees, some of which survive today.\(^9\) Many photos show a hedge of flowering pears (*Pyrus communis*). A surrounding stone terrace wall topped with a wire fence dates from the 1930s. At some point after the early 1960s, the flagstone entrance path was outlined with cobbles.

The Main House was approached by means of the original ranch road. A driveway or utility road ran north/south between Neil’s office and the Main House past the garages and back to the generator house, where a turn to the west across Newton’s Wash led to the Working Ranch area. A spur off this road to the east gave access to the back door of the Main House.

---


Figure 5E - 5. Main House in snow, ca. 1917 (WACC 17:97:1334).

Figure 5E - 6. Main House with Neil's office, n.d. (WACC 86:16:B2F16).
Figure SE - 7. Main House from military lookout in Southern Hills (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5E - 8. Main House from Southern Hills, with garage, pig pen and chicken coop in middle left, 1920s (WACC 102:1449).
Figure 5E - 9. Main House, possibly with tennis court to right, garage in rear left, 1922 (AHS 63648).

Figure 5E - 10. Tennis court, 1918 (WACC 17:97:1340).
Figure 5E - 11. Chicken coop, n.d. (CHIR 93:880).
Figure 5E - 12. View of Main House from Northern Hills, 1920s (CHIR 18:102:1448).
Figure 5E - 13. View of Main House from Northern Hills (Erickson, 2011).

Figure 5E - 15. Neil’s Den/Office/Garage (Erickson 2012). Note erosion compared to Figure 5E – 14.
Figure 5E - 16. West side of Main House with cotoneaster (*Cotoneaster hupehensis*), n.d. (CHIR 86:16:0889)
Figure 5E - 17. West side of Main House with cotoneaster (*Cotoneaster hupehensis*) (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5E - 18. Northwest corner of Main House Yard with pyracantha (*Pyracantha sp.*) (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5E - 19. Flagstone path and spirea (*Spirea prunifolia*), 1960 (CHIR 17:95:0865).
Figure 5E - 20. Flagstone path and spirea (*Spirea prunifolia*) (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5E - 21. Emma Erickson and child, in front of Main House terraced wall, looking south, 1950 (CHIR 93:877). Note vinca (*Vinca minor*) against wall.

Figure 5E - 22. Main House terraced wall, looking southeast (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5E - 23. Main House front yard terraced wall at gate, with vinca (*Vinca minor*) and wild grape (*vitis sp.*) (Erickson, 2012)

Figure 5E - 24. Lillian Riggs and grandchildren with pear trees and remnant orchard, in front of Main House, looking east, 1973 (CHIR 86:16:0186).
Figure 5E - 25. Main House with flowering pear trees (*Pyrrhus communis*), Irwin post card, 1922 (CHIR 86:16:B2F15).

Figure 5E - 26. Main House with flowering pear trees (*Pyrrhus communis*), Irwin post card, 1920s (WACC – uncatalogued).
Figure 5E - 27. Main House (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5E - 28. Departing guests, n.d. (CHIR 86:16:0323).
Figure 5E - 29. Arizona cypresses (*Cupressus arizonica*) behind Main House (Erickson, 2011).

Figure 5E - 30. Garage (Erickson, 2012).
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Figure 5E - 31. Remains of pig pen (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5E - 32. Generator House and possible Chicken Coop foundation (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5E - 33. Woman (Emma?) feeding chickens north of Main House, looking north, n.d. (CHIR 86:16:0135).

Figure 5E - 34. Looking north towards Bonita Creek, woman with pigs, chickens and turkey, n.d. (CHIR 86:16:0802).
Figure 5E - 35. Swimming Pool, n.d. (WACC 17:97:51).

Figure 5E - 36. Swimming Pool, n.d. (CHIR 86:16:7281).
Figure 5E - 37. Swimming Pool with diving board, garden behind to north, n.d. (CHIR 7281).
Figure 5E - 38. Swimming Pool (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5E - 39. Swimming pool terrace with unknown woman (CHIR 86:16:0781).
Figure 5E - 40. Terracing behind Main House, looking southeast (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5E - 41. Garden behind Main House, looking east/southeast (Erickson, 2012).
EXISTING CONDITIONS

The Main House Character Area is located on a level plain northeast of the confluence of Newton’s Wash and Bonita Creek. Here Bonita Canyon narrows just before it flares into a wider valley towards the west. Surrounded on the north and south by steep hills, the site has long views through the Meadow Character Area to the east, which is set apart by wire fences with juniper posts along the original property line to the east. The northern and western visual boundaries are accented by the riparian vegetation of the wash and creek.

Visitors enter the site from the NPS trail (Figure 5E-42), passing through the Working Ranch area on the way. Arriving on the Main House site, they have a choice either to follow the trail to the edge of Bonita Creek and then on eastward to the Stafford Cabin, or follow the original service road (driveway) to the south in order to reach the front door of the Main House.

![Figure 5E - 42. Trail to Main House through Working Ranch area (Erickson, 2011).](image)

The Main House yard is surrounded by a wire fence, with a stone terrace wall supporting it on the south and west sides. A cobble-lined flagstone path runs from the gate in the southwest corner of the yard to the entrance of the house. Additional gates are found at the southeast corner, to the east and to the north. A covered well is
located in the yard to the east of the house. Remains of a poured concrete swimming pool are found in the northeast corner of the yard area. Pear trees (*Pyrus communis*) originally shaded the pool from the western sun; three remain today, one of which is in poor condition. To the west of these pear trees are the remains of a terrace which was probably connected to a wooden deck on this side of the pool.

To the north is a large, wire-fenced enclosure, which served as a vegetable garden, or at one point an orchard, throughout the period of significance. It was watered with overflow water from the pool.\(^\text{10}\)

Separated from the Main House by the driveway/utility road, an office/garage and a garage/storage building are situated along Newton’s Wash. At the northern end of the driveway stands the generator house. Across the National Park Service trail to the east are the remains of the pig pen.

In addition to the pear trees west of the pool, a number of contributing trees and shrubs remain from the period of significance.\(^\text{11}\) These include a velvet ash (*Fraxinus velutina*) in the garden area, and an apricot (*Prunus sp.*), a Siberian elm (*Ulmus pumila*), and two bridalwreath spireas (*Spiraea prunifolia* in the fenced yard. On the west side of the house is a cotoneaster (*Cotoneaster hupehensis*), and in the northwest corner of the yard, a pyracantha (*Pyracantha sp.*). A row of Arizona cypresses (*Cupressus arizonica*) separates the driveway leading to the back door of the house from the utility areas to the north. The terraced wall to the south of the Main House is planted with vinca (*Vinca minor*). A buffalo grass lawn (*Bouteloua dactyloides*) enhances the front yard. A memorial stone and plaque honoring a lost ranger, Paul Braxton Fugate, is situated in the southeastern quadrant of the yard (see Figure 5E-42).

\(^{10}\) Torres and Baumler, *Historic Structure Report: Historical and Archeological Data Sections; a History of the Buildings and Structures of Faraway Ranch*: 86.

Figure 5E - 43. Memorial tree in front yard honoring lost Ranger Paul Braxton Fugate (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5E - 44. Functional Sections of the Main House Character Area (after Torres and Baumler, 1984).
The Main House area is divided into public and work/support subunits, separated from each other by an access road or by a screen of Arizona cypresses (Cupressus arizonica). Although the cypresses have matured, they were, in my opinion, most likely originally planted to screen the view of the working areas of this part of the landscape.

As seen in Figure 5E-44, there are three subunits in this character area:

(A) The Main House itself, the front yard and the swimming pool. This showy public area served the immediate needs of guests. The landscape included a lawn and a number of non-native ornamental trees and shrubs.

(B) The support structures for the Main House. These provided an on-site office and garage for Neil Erickson and a five-car garage in the 1920s to shelter guests’ cars.

(C) A domestic service area. This comprised the northern half of the site, running back towards the creek. It contained a garden, a pig pen, a chicken coop, and an electrical generator. Other than the generator house and the fenced garden area, only the pig pen ruins remain.

This area is one of three with signage (along with the Cemetery and the Stafford Cabin). Signage is placed in front of the most frequently photographed view of the house. National Register signage is placed in front of historic signage.

Figure 5E - 45. Main House. Note placement of signage. (Erickson, 2011).
Contributing Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main House</td>
<td></td>
<td>House of multiple materials, wood trim</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office/Garage</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wood frame, metal roof</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garage/Storage Building</td>
<td></td>
<td>Wood frame, partially wood/partially metal walls, metal roof</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator House</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fieldstone in concrete with metal roof</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig Pen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Remains of stone foundation</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terraced Yard Wall</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stone terracing topped by chainlink fencing (which replaced wire fence)</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C/NCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pool</td>
<td></td>
<td>Concrete tank on fieldstone base</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swimming Pool Terrace</td>
<td></td>
<td>Remains of stone terrace</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12 C = Contributing; NC = Non-contributing; NCC = Non-contributing but compatible; NCI = Non-contributing and incompatible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flagstone path</td>
<td></td>
<td>Path to front door, may date from 1940s&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drive/Utility Road</td>
<td></td>
<td>Service road connecting to garages, back door of Main House, Working Ranch</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenced Garden Area</td>
<td></td>
<td>Flat cultivated area with wire fence</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riparian Vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mature trees, largely Quercus sp., Fraxinus velutina and Juniperus deppeana</td>
<td>Good to Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Native Vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pyrhus communis, prunus sp., Cotoneaster hupehensis. Spirea prunifolia, Pyracantha sp., Vinca minor</td>
<td>Good to Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawn</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bouteloua dactyloides</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Views of Surrounding Hills and Riparian Vegetation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Enclosure contributed by southern hills and riparian vegetation contrasts with long views across the meadow area and northern hills</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorial Tree and Plaque</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dedicated to a lost CHIR ranger</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS Orientation Benches</td>
<td></td>
<td>Situated adjacent to Neil’s office, across the access drive from the Main House</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPS Signage</td>
<td></td>
<td>In front of Main House</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Register Signage</td>
<td></td>
<td>Under historic sign in front yard</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5E - 47. List of contributing features, Main House area.

**INTEGRITY**

The design, materials and workmanship of this character area retain integrity. The presence of remaining non-native plants surrounding the Main House reveal a decorative yard space from the period of significance. Rectilinear fence lines are of particular importance, and these remain on the ground. Feeling has been compromised to some extent by the relocation of the area’s entry sequence, although the feeling of the area as a whole as been retained. Association with the period of significance is clearly present. Overall, this character area maintains integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association.

**CONDITION ASSESSMENT**

The condition of the Main House, Neil’s office/storage shed and the generator house is good. The condition of the garage/storage shed needs to be evaluated. The swimming pool and the pig pen are in poor condition. Fences are in good condition where they function as barriers for garden or yard. The driveway is in good condition. The wall surrounding the yard is in good condition, as is the ranch road entering the property at this point. The flagpole seen in earlier photographs has been removed. The garden behind the house has been plowed and remains a defined space.

Historical vegetation varies in condition from good to poor. A number of trees and shrubs referenced in historical materials are missing, but there are also a number of survivors. Among these are the apricot (Prunus sp.) near the back door, the cotoneaster (Cotoneaster hypophyes) on the west side of the house, the two bridalwreath spiraeas (Spiraea prunifolia) on each side of the flagstone path, and the pyracantha (Pyracantha sp.) in the northwest corner of the yard. Vinca (Vinca minor) still remains in the terraced wall to the north of the front lawn, although it suffers from drought and from the presence of invasive wild grape (Vitis sp.). The lawn is identified as composed of buffalo grass (Bouteloua dactyloides). An unidentified flowering tree has been planted in the front yard to commemorate Ranger Fugate (Figure 5E-42). The immediate surroundings of the Main House were protected during the Horseshoe 2 Fire of June 2011, and aside from protective limbing up, the vegetation existing before the fire is intact.

The overall condition of the Main House character area is fair.

ANALYSIS OF INTERPRETIVE POTENTIAL

The central complex of the historic guest ranch makes an immediate impact on the visitor as a projection of refinement and gentility as envisioned by Swedish immigrant Emma Peterson Erickson. Although today this importation of Anglo/European style seems very ordinary, at the time, as the home of a farmer/rancher, it was exceptional. Although the 2010 CLI claims no cultural associations other than perhaps the warm buff color chosen for the house (a color signifying an association with affluence or social status in Sweden), it is clear that the Main House area embodies a very definite cultural ideal. Here a comparison can be drawn with the Stafford Cabin, which shows a style quite different from that of the Main House and its surroundings. During the guest ranch period, the Main House and its fashionable yard, pool and tennis court offered visitors from the East and Midwest a familiar point of departure from which to explore wild west ranch activities.

---

The area is laid out on a grid, and rectangular outlines predominate. This arrangement reflects the typical grid-based property patterns characteristic of the end of the nineteenth and first half of the twentieth centuries. When seen from above (for example, from the military lookout in the southern hills or from Neil’s rock to the east), the imposed rectilinear pattern of homesteading practice offers a distinct contrast to the irregularity of the natural forms of creek, wash and hills. This pattern offers an interpretive point of departure for land ownership practice during the homesteading period.

The location of subunits within this character area provides opportunities for discussing the separation of function and the various activities pursued in each. As has been noted already, the greater part of the Working Ranch with its noise and odors was located across Newton’s Wash, providing a better environment for the Main House and yard. Within the Main House area, activity areas were also segregated into three subunits, separated by boundaries such as the drive/utility road or a linear planting of Arizona cypresses (*Cupressus arizonica*). The presence of these subunits illustrate the pattern of what made up a gracious lifestyle during the period of significance.

Existing vegetation from the historic period also offers opportunities for interpretation. Some of the non-native species chosen for economic or decorative value remain on the site, in counterpoint to the native riparian vegetation of the wash and creek. Arizona cypresses (*Cupressus arizonica*) originally provided a screen to the north of the house, separating utility areas from amenities for guests. The surviving non-native plants (bridalwreath spirea, contoneaster, pyracantha and vinca) were very fashionable plants throughout the United States during the first part of the twentieth century. Apricot and pear trees provided spring bloom and summer fruit, and those that remain hint at the spring wonderland that was intentionally created here.

The question of landscape restoration, such as that proposed by Peter Kumble,\(^\text{16}\) could be reconsidered within the parameters of climate change and irrigation requirements. Flowering trees were a major feature of this character area during the period of significance.

The generator house and the swimming pool (with its link to garden irrigation) could be used as a basis for interpretation of changing water technology during the period of significance, complementing other water management such as the irrigation ditch in the meadow area or the stock tank in the working ranch area.

The existing NPS trail from the parking area brings visitors to the Main House through the Working Ranch, unintentionally giving rise to interpretive misrepresentation. In the period of significance, guests would not have arrived at the back door after passing through work areas; rather they would have been greeted at the front

\(^{16}\) Kumble, "Faraway Ranch, Chiricahua National Monument, Historic Landscape Restoration Report."
entrance (see Figure 5E-28). The Main House, surrounded by carefully chosen vegetation, was intended to serve as the introduction to the ranch facilities. This intended circulation pattern is distorted by the existing NPS trail. On the other hand, the siting of orientation benches across the drive to the west side of the house is excellent, ameliorating to some extent the arrival disorientation experienced by visitors who arrive by the NPS trail.

Figure 5E - 48. Proposed Revision of Entry Sequence for the Historic District.

An entry sequence trail from the parking lot could run southwest, linking up with the original ranch road. This would make it possible for visitors to enter the site as visitors did during the period of significance. With the Main House offering a point for orientation and wayfinding on the site, visitors could trace one of the trails to the guest cabins, or go through the main house site to visit the Working Ranch. Not only would this entry sequence replicate visitor experience from the Guest Ranch period of significance, it would provide visitors with more options for viewing the District as a whole. It would provide several loop trails of differing lengths, thus accommodating visitor interest and available time. The National Park Service trail between the parking lot and the Working Ranch area should be closed, except as needed for emergency access.

Main House signage is placed in such a way as to compete with the resource rather than enhance it. The danger with such signage placement is that it has the potential to replace rather than expand interpretation of the resource.\(^\text{17}\) There are other options

for informational signage that could be employed. More concise signs, perceptible at a glance, could be placed beside features rather than in front of them. Such signs could be placed inside the swimming pool, or next to the back door, or on the side of the Garfield Fireplace. It would not be inappropriate to think of such drops of information as points of departure for a visitor’s personal experience. Historic photographs with minimal text could serve this purpose, and for features that must be imagined - such as the garden or orchard - such photographs could explain much. Shrubs and trees remaining from the period of significance might also be identified in this way.

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

Overall management objectives for this character area focus on maintenance of historic structures, vegetation and alignments. Vegetation resources should be inventoried and included in cyclical evaluation and maintenance procedures. Non-contributing vegetation could be thinned selectively, or even backburned if this is required by fire management for the preservation of historic features, but contributing trees and shrubs must be protected. Vegetation features were inventoried by Kumble in 1993, but some have been lost to age or other conditions since that time. A new inventory is an essential first step towards the development of appropriate treatment measures.

In addition to the preservation of the material and vegetation features of this character area, arranging an improved entrance sequence from the NPS parking lot should be explored. While the NPS entrance trail functions well in terms of providing access to features, it distorts the historic experience of visiting the ranch and works against effective interpretation of this character area.

The placement of the large informational sign to the west of the Main House interrupts the view, and, as in the case of signage elsewhere in the Historic District, serves to replace the individual visual experience of the resource with mere information. The siting of this signage should be reconsidered.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Under the overall treatment approach of rehabilitation, the recommendations for the Main House character area focus on the preservation and minor restoration of features and relationships of the cultural landscape that were present during the period of significance with an emphasis on the Guest Ranch Period (1917-1960s). Individual treatment measure are organized and presented by landscape characteristics. They

---

18 Kumble, "Faraway Ranch, Chiricahua National Monument, Historic Landscape Restoration Report."
19 Lowenthal, The Past is a Foreign Country: 269.
allow compatible uses of the ranch for visitors, while preserving the characteristics and feature that convey the ranch’s historical, cultural and architectural values.²⁰

General

- Maintain the area as the introduction and central focus for visitors to the ranch.

View and Vistas

- Change the entry sequence to more accurately reflect the historic experience of visiting the guest ranch.

- Relocate signage as needed to offer an uninterrupted view of resources.

Vegetation

- Survey, identify and preserve remnant planted trees, shrubs and groundcovers from the Erickson Ranch and Guest Ranch periods of significance.

- Survey, identify and preserve mature trees in the northern and western sections of the area.

- Consider reintroducing a small number of flowering trees as documented in historic photographs, taking into account issues with irrigation and sustainability.

- The Arizona cypresses to the north of the Main House should be protected, and because of their contributing status and function they should not be limbed up or thinned unless this is necessary to protect the Main House or other historic structures.

Buildings and Structures

- Inspect annually for signs of deterioration.

- Carefully remove encroaching vegetation from base of buildings.

- Repair foundations as needed.

- Scrape and repaint wood as needed.

• Repair roofs as needed.

• Repair windows as needed.
Terrace Walls

- Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.
- Note any long-term maintenance needs.
- Carefully remove encroaching vegetation that might lead to structural damage.
- Introduce erosion control measures if deemed necessary.
- Replace stones in position as documented.

Fences

- Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.
- Inspect annually for deterioration of posts.
- Replace posts and wire as needed, avoiding restriction of wildlife passage.

Circulation

- Create an alternative entrance to the site in a more appropriate location to simulate the entrance experience of the period of significance.

Access Road to West of Main House

- Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.
- Maintain as needed for safety and access.
- Introduce erosion control measures if deemed necessary.

Fire Management Plan

- Incorporate specific and appropriate protection for significant contributing vegetation into the CHIR Fire Management Plan. Vegetation within this Character Area should be protected if possible, but can be thinned selectively or even backburned if deemed essential for the protection of structures and trees in the immediate area of the site. Trees should be protected with a 20’ radius; shrubs within a 15’ radius.
Archaeological Resources

- Consider impact on potential archaeological resources caused by any site modification.

- Identify and inventory historic archaeological resources within this character area, including the site of a privy and the chicken coop.
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Chapter 5F  
Meadow Character Area\(^1\)

Figure 5F - 1. Location of Meadow Character Area (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).

\(^1\) Some of the material in this section has been adapted from Mundus Bishop Landscape Architecture and Planning, "Cultural Landscape Report, Chiricahua National Monument, Faraway Ranch, Part Two, Phase One," (2011).
Figure 5F - 2. Schematic plan of Meadow Character Area (after Torres and Baumler, 1984).²

Figure 5F - 3. Orthophoto of Meadow Character Area (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).

² The map as adapted by Louis Torres and Mark Baumler in the 1984 Historic Structure Report has been used as the base map for the 1998 and the 2010 CLIs and for the 2009 National Register Nomination Amendment. The version of this map included in the Johnson and Zube 1998 CLI indicates that Torres and Baumler had based their map on a 1978 construction map. See Lauri MacMillian Johnson and Ervin H. Zube, "Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ," (1998), 9.
Figure 5F - 4. Lillian Rigg's sketch of Meadow Area, looking west from Neil's Rock, ca. 1950s (CHIR 86:16:B2F1).
HISTORY

Stafford planted an orchard in this area, fencing off the northwest corner as a vegetable garden (see Figures 5F-5 and 5F-7). ³ Species included common pear (*Pyrus communis*), peach (*Prunus sp.*), apple (*Malus sp.*), and cherry (*Prunus sp.*) trees. The orchard was irrigated from a ditch branching off of Bonita Creek, originating near the Stafford Cabin. During the early 1900s there was also a marshy area to the northeast of the Main House, which may have been caused by the tendency of the creek to meander (see Figure 5F-5). Low stone walls or embankments were constructed along the creek to contain high flows and resulting flooding and erosion, and in time this marshy area transitioned to vegetation typical of the rest of the meadow (see Figure 5F-6).

An access road, about 20 feet wide, ran from the ranch road to the creek along the western border of the Stafford property. Historic photographs indicate a fence bordered the orchard along the north side of the original ranch road, and along the southern edge of Bonita Creek, as well as along the east side of the road (see Figures 5F-7, 5F-8 and 5F-9).

A garden area, enclosed by field walls, lay in the northwest corner (see Figure 5F-7). Early photographs indicate that the Ericksons planted some fruit trees along their side of the homestead boundary, although their main orchard lay to the west⁴ of the Main House (Figure 5F-8). The orchard was oriented in a pattern of north-south rows. Species included common pear (*Pyrus communis*), peach (*Prunus sp.*), apple (*Malus sp.*), and persimmon (*Diospyrus sp.*) trees.⁵ It was irrigated from a ditch branching off of Bonita Creek, originating near the Stafford Cabin (Figure 5F-3). By the 1950s only a few orchard trees remained (Figure 5F-10), and the area became a meadow dotted with junipers (*Juniperus deppeana*).

The first child, a daughter, of Ja Hu Stafford and his wife Pauline died at birth and is buried in the Meadow area. The grave is located to the northeast of the intersection of the Ranch Road and the access road running north/south to the site of the former Martha Stark cabin. Marked by a pile of fieldstones, it includes a roughly rectangular headstone carved with the name R. Stafford. Two irregularly placed yuccas (*Yucca elata*) grow on each side of the headstone. The date of the yuccas is not known.

After Lillian and Ed Riggs began to develop the guest ranch, the Stafford Cabin and two other guest facilities were added, resulting in a circle of cabins around the meadow edge. The Stafford Cabin (Figure 5F-31) is fully discussed in section 5G as part of the Stafford Cabin character area. The Bunkhouse, located in the Southern Hills and Ranch Road area, is discussed on page 5C-18 (Figure 5C-23). The non-extant Martha Stark Cabin (Figures 5F-10, 5F-11).

³ The following information is drawn from Peter Andrew Kumble, “Faraway Ranch, Chiricahua National Monument, Historic Landscape Restoration Report,” (United States Department of the Interior, National Park Service, 1993).
⁴ Ibid., 13.
11, 12 and 13) was purchased by Lillian and Ed Riggs and moved to its site adjacent to the creek before 1950. The cabin burned in 1967.

There was a well to the east of the Main House, in the southwest section of the meadow, originally served by a windmill (Figure 5F-26) and later replaced by a steel pump mounted on a concrete pad (2.5’ x 5’) covering the well. The pump has an electrical connection but is not functional.

A historic photograph from the beginning of the nineteenth century (Figure 5F-5) indicates that the original pathway from the Main House to the Stafford Cabin led diagonally across the Meadow, following a route similar to that existing today. Additional circulation features evolved as Faraway Ranch became a guest ranch, including the access road running directly south from the Martha Stark cabin to the ranch road. Lillian Erickson Riggs sketched Faraway Ranch for a Christmas card during the Guest Ranch period and her interpretation of the pathways and roads at Faraway is clearly represented in her work (Figure 5F-4).

---

Figure 5F - 6. View of valley looking west from Neil’s Rock (MB P1050348.JPG, 2010).

Figure 5F - 7. Orchard and vegetable garden grid, looking west from Neil’s Rock, ca. 1900s (CHIR 130:7242).

7 Photograph by Mundus Bishop Landscape Architecture and Planning (MB).
Figure 5F - 8. Meadow, showing property line road and field grid after 1915-16, looking northeast from military lookout (CHIR 86:16:B1F16).

Figure 5F - 9. Main House and Stafford access road to creek, looking north, 1908 (WACC 17:95:0855).
Figure 5F - 10. View of valley looking west from Neil’s Rock, ca. 1950s (CHIR 86:16:0868). Note location of Martha Stark Cabin.

Figure 5F - 11. Martha Stark Cabin, looking northeast from military outlook, ca. 1950s (CHIR 86:16:0868).
Figure 5F - 12. Martha Stark cabin, looking northeast, 1961 (CHIR 93:862).

Figure 5F - 13. Martha Stark Cabin, looking north, 1962 (CHIR 93:893).
Figure 5F - 14. Location of non-extant Martha Stark Cabin, looking east along Bonita Creek trail (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5F - 15. Field edge wall, looking north (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5F - 16. Closeup of field edge wall, looking northeast, near Bonita Creek trail (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5F - 17. Juniper fence posts in meadow along Bonita Creek trail, at right angle with field edge wall, looking west (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5F - 18. Juniper fence post with coiled wire in meadow, along Bonita Creek trail, looking south (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5F - 19. Level topography of meadow, looking northwest from the Ranch Road (MB P1050155.JPG, 2010).
Figure 5F - 20. Panoramic view across meadow, looking east toward the 'Wonderland of Rocks'; Neil's Rock is in center right (MB P1040959.JPG, 2010).

Figure 5F - 21. View across meadow towards Main House and Southern Hills, from Bonita Creek trail (MB P1040959.JPG, 2010).
Figure 5F - 22. View across meadow, looking toward Northern Hills from Ranch Road (MB P1050144.JPG, 2010).

Figure 5F - 23. Existing circulation diagram for meadow area (MB Meadow Circulation.JPG, 2011).
Figure 5F - 24. Bonita Creek trail, along northern edge of meadow, looking west (MB P1050197.JPG, 2010).
Figure 5F - 25. Bonita Creek trail, looking east (Erickson, 2012). Note remains of Martha Stark Cabin, center top.
Figure 5F - 26. Cobblestone edge on Bonita Creek trail, near Martha Stark Cabin remnants (MB P1050201.JPG, 2010).

Figure 5F - 27. Clearing at diagonal pathway leading northeast to location of non-extant Martha Stark Cabin; typical condition of pathways (MB P1050138.JPG, 2010).
Figure 5F - 28. Windmill, looking west towards Main House, n.d. (CHIR 86:16:0873).

Figure 5F - 29. Well and pump at site of former windmill (MB DSC00592.JPG, 2010).

Well and pump, 2010 (Source: MB DSC00592.JPG)
Figure 5F - 30. Meadow, looking west toward windmill site (Erickson 2012).

Figure 5F - 31. Looking east to cypresses and Stafford Cabin from Bonita Creek trail (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5F - 32. Reveley Stafford gravesite, looking south (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5F - 33. Traces of irrigation ditch near Ranch Road, facing north (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5F - 34. Traces of irrigation ditch near ranch road, facing north (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5F - 35. Piled stones (MB DSC00626.JPG, 2010).
Figure 5F - 36. Cast iron post (MB DSC00638.JPG, 2010).

Figure 5F - 37. Cast iron drainage pipe (MB DSC00639.JPG, 2010)
Figure 5F - 38. Rubble foundation remnants of Martha Stark cabin (MBDSC00640.JPG, 2010).

Figure 5F - 39. Monitoring well (MB DSC00604.JPG, 2010).
EXISTING CONDITIONS

The Meadow is a relatively level area opening out to the west of the more constricted passageway into upper Bonita Canyon (Figure 5F-19). Its spatial organization is defined by the relationship of the Main House area to the Stafford Cabin area on the west and east sides, and the Ranch road and Bonita Creek on the south and north sides. Most of the Meadow is heavily vegetated, primarily with native grasses punctuated by native alligator junipers (*Juniperis deppeana*) and Arizona cypress (*Cupressus arizonica*). A strip at the west end of the Meadow (immediately east of the fenced area around the Main House) is mostly clear of junipers.

Within the Meadow, pathways and roads crisscross the space (Figure 5F-23). These consist of natural surface pedestrian trails, two-track ranch access roads, and the original two-track ranch road running along the southern edge of the Meadow. The trails and roads are used by pedestrians, horseback riders, and vehicles. A trail follows the southern edge of Bonita Creek (Figure 5F-24) and is lined by small cobbles approximately 12 inches in diameter placed approximately 30 inches on center (Figures 5F-26). Two main pathways (former roads) bisect the Meadow, both leading from the former site of the Martha Stark cabin. One leads southwest towards the Main House and the second directly south to the original ranch road. The southwest pathway comes to a fork near the well and pump (approximately 225 feet east of the swimming pool). Native grasses cover the pathway leading directly south from the
former site of the Martha Stark cabin. This pathway is most visible as a cleared corridor between trees (Figure 5F-27).

The most characteristic contributing view is from the Meadow looking east towards the ‘Wonderland of Rocks’. (Figure 5F-20). Other views from the meadow include those towards the Main House, Stafford Cabin, and the rock outcroppings on the hills bordering Bonita Creek Canyon (Figures 5F-6, 5F-21 and 5F-30).

The Martha Stark cabin remnants, juniper fence posts, and the well and pump remain in place (Figures 5F-14, 5F-17, 5F-18 and 5F-29). Additional contributing features include piled stones, foundations of field walls, and a gravesite (Figures 5F-15, 5F-16, 5F-17, 5F-18 and 5F-32). According to Larry Ludwig, CHIR historian, a second pile of stones may be another grave. This remains to be investigated. Traces of the Stafford irrigation ditch remain in the eastern end of the area (Figure 5F-33).

ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION

Historic land use influenced the patterns of landscape features within the Meadow. Land use associated with Ja Hu Stafford was primarily that of subsistence farming, with a cabin, orchard, and a garden occupying most of the developed homestead. Land use associated with the Erickson homestead at Faraway Ranch consisted primarily of cattle ranching and farming. The Ericksons cultivated fruit orchards and vegetable crops.

After Lillian Erickson Riggs and her sister took over ownership of Faraway Ranch and began the guest ranch business, the orchard pattern gradually diminished and the Meadow began to fill in with encroaching native junipers (*Juniperus deppeana*). The Martha Stark cabin was added for guest accommodation along the northern edge of the Meadow, centrally located between the Main House and Stafford Cabin, and an addition to the Stafford cabin was detached and removed to its present location directly across the Meadow from the Martha Stark cabin and renamed as the Bunkhouse.8

In the years since the ranch has been maintained by NPS, trees – especially native junipers – have increased in height, obscuring views into the meadow and to historic features, and reducing the size of the cleared area. Almost all the original fruit trees have been lost, although a small remnant group of persimmons (*Diospyros sp.*) remains adjacent to the original ranch road in the eastern end of the character area.

---

8 This information is drawn from Torres and Baumler, *Historic Structure Report: Historical and Archeological Data Sections; a History of the Buildings and Structures of Faraway Ranch.*
## Contributing Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fences</td>
<td>5F-7, 5F-8, 5F-9, 5F-17, 5F-18</td>
<td>Juniper log posts, approximately 9” diameter and 4.5’-6’ tall. Found in several locations in Meadow Character Area</td>
<td>Fair to Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well and pump</td>
<td>5F-29</td>
<td>Steel pump with concrete base – 2.5’ x 5’. Located in southwest section of the Meadow Character Area, approximately 225 feet east of the pool</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stone field edge wall</td>
<td>5F-15, 5F-16</td>
<td>Possible field edge wall begins approximately 60’ north of pump and continues north approximately 80’ to Bonita Creek Trail</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reveley Stafford gravesite</td>
<td>5F-32</td>
<td>Grave of infant daughter. Piled native stones with yucca planting, located near the intersection of the southern pathway from Stark Cabin and Ranch Road, approximately 40’ north</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piled stones</td>
<td>5F-35</td>
<td>Piled native stones (possibly field clearance)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast iron post</td>
<td>5F-36</td>
<td>5’ tall, 3” diameter cast iron post located near northeast corner of former garden area of Main House</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irrigation ditch</td>
<td>5F-5, 5F-33</td>
<td>Indication of excavation through ground depression with stone piles on each site</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranch roads and trails</td>
<td>5F-7, 5F-8, 5F-9, 5F-24, 5F-25, 5F-26, 5F-30</td>
<td>Single or double track trails for pedestrians or vehicles</td>
<td>Good to Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobble Stone Path Outlines</td>
<td>5F-40</td>
<td>Cobbles placed along path edges. The date of installation is not known.</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benches</td>
<td>5F-39</td>
<td>(2) Steel and recycled plastic, located near site of Martha Stark cabin; additional bench approximately 120 feet to the west.</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring well</td>
<td>5F-41</td>
<td>4’ x 4’ concrete slab with pipe and cap, directly east of wood-paneled pumpshed</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubble foundation remnants of Martha Stark Cabin</td>
<td>5F-14, 5F-38</td>
<td>Concrete and stone remnants of cabin foundation, partially buried</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast iron drain pipe from Martha Stark Cabin</td>
<td>5F-37</td>
<td>8” diameter cast iron drain pipe, buried, but partially exposed</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>9</sup> C = contributing; CNC = compatible but non-contributing; NC = non-contributing.
### Feature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persimmons <em>(Diospyros sp.)</em></td>
<td>5F-27, 5F-28, 5F-31</td>
<td>Remant orchard trees</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Grasses</td>
<td>5F-27, 5F-28, 5F-31</td>
<td>Characteristic vegetation of Bonita Canyon</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C, CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona cypress <em>(Cupressus arizonica)</em> and juniper <em>(Cupressus deppeana)</em></td>
<td>5F-6, 5F-20, 5F-22, 5F-27, 5F-42, 5F-43</td>
<td>Shrubby growth, obscuring views across the site, reflecting neither the orchard nor the meadow period of significance</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuccas <em>(Yucca elata)</em></td>
<td>5F-32</td>
<td>Two yuccas marking the headstone of the Reveley Stafford grave</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C or CC(^{10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Views</td>
<td>5F-19, 5F-20, 5F-21, 5F-22, 5F-31</td>
<td>Into, out of, and across character area</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5F - 41. List of contributing features, Meadow area.

### INTEGRITY

The death of the gird of fruit trees and the encroachment of shrubs has greatly diminished the integrity of the spatial organization and materials of the Meadow area as an orchard. Roads and paths retain integrity from multiple periods of significance. The original ranch road and the access road to the creek were present during the period of the Stafford homestead. Other secondary paths and roads follow the circulation patterns of the Guest Ranch period. Views from the site remain much as they were during the period of integrity, but views within the Meadow area have been impacted by the growth of shrubby trees, which block formerly open views or gridded orchard patterns. The Reveley Stafford gravesite retains integrity. Design, materials and workmanship of the orchard have been negatively impacted by the death of fruit trees and by the imposition of patterns resulting from encroaching vegetation. Setting (especially as influenced by topography), association and feeling retain integrity. Overall the Meadow character area retains integrity.

### CONDITION ASSESSMENT

Only a few remnant persimmon trees near the road on the south side indicate the function of this area as an orchard, and the open area that succeeded the orchard has been greatly reduced due to the encroachment of shrubs. Once Faraway Ranch no longer operated as a guest ranch, the vegetation was not cleared as regularly as during the years of active farming, ranching or hospitality. Trees, mostly junipers *(Juniperis deppeana)*, have grown tall enough to obscure views into the meadow and to historic features, reducing the size of the cleared area and changing its spatial character. Historic photographs indicate that the feeling of the

---

\(^{10}\) The origin of the yuccas is unknown.
Meadow area throughout the period of significance was much more open than today. Even during the growing season, fruit trees offer more openness under their branches than do the dense, shrubby junipers in the area today. The general condition of this part of the landscape is poor.

The Martha Stark cabin burned in 1967, and the location is indicated only by rubble foundations and by iron pipes standing up from the ground. The remnants are in poor condition. Paths and roads are in good condition, with the exception of the former road that ran through the middle of the meadow to the non-extant Martha Stark cabin. It is not clear what the initial condition of this road was, and thus its current condition is difficult to evaluate. Overall the condition of the roads is good.

The Reveley Stafford gravesite was burned over in the Horseshoe 2 Fire, but there was no apparent damage to the headstone. Observation indicates that the yuccas have survived (2012). The condition of this feature is fair.

Historic photographs indicate that the Bonita Creek riparian bottom was once wider and shallower than it is today and that a low marshy area existed in the meadow northeast of the Main House (see Figure 5F-5). The area may have been a response to seasonally high waters of Bonita Creek, or simply the creek’s meandering course. But this marshy area disappeared at some point during the period of significance, perhaps due to the construction of embankment walls along the creek, or because of the scouring of the creekbed, or a drop in the water table. The existing topography reflects the later part of the period of significance. The condition of the topography can thus be considered to be good.

The Stafford irrigation ditch can still be traced on the ground, but the outline at this time is faint. No complete survey of the ditch appears to have been undertaken. If Stafford drew water from the creek into this ditch just south of his cabin, it is appears likely that the creek channel has been scoured considerably lower over the past century. Visual inspections suggests that the difference in level between the ditch and creek may be as much as six feet. It is not known whether the ditch irrigation was used only during periods of flood, or if it was used during a longer season. The condition of this feature is poor.

Overall the condition of the Meadow Character Area is fair.

---

ANALYSIS OF INTERPRETIVE POTENTIAL

Interpretation of the Meadow as an orchard is limited by the absence of a grid of fruit trees. The remaining persimmons might serve as a focus to tell the orchard story, but the pattern of
an orchard on the land is more difficult to communicate to a visitor. A temporary exhibit achieved by a variety of approaches, such as mowing patterns, or an installation of stakes and ties, might offer a potential approach. The Meadow area might also be interpreted as the key open space linking the cabins of the Guest Ranch period, while offering privacy to visitors. Either interpretation will require removal of some of the junipers in order to maintain a sense of spaciousness and to facilitate either interpretation suggested here.

The irrigation ditch, if appropriately surveyed, provides a focus for the interpretation of irrigation practice during the Stafford Homestead Period. Such a point of departure could link the multiple periods of significance of the district through the theme of water in the canyon.

The grave of Reveley Stafford provides a contrast in burial practices when presented in juxtaposition with the Faraway Ranch cemetery. Infant mortality was higher in the nineteenth century; burying an infant on ranching or farming property without the formality of a graveyard was relatively common. This practice can be contrasted with the development of family or community cemeteries, which became more common at the beginning of the twentieth century.

The construction of juniper post and wire fences on a fieldstone base is typical of the Faraway Ranch district. Construction technologies and the reasons for fencing fields, orchards and pastures could be interpreted in the context of the Meadow area.

The layout of structures around the central open space offers potential for a circuit tour of this area; the trail from the site of the Martha Stark cabin to the original ranch road provides a ‘short cut’ opportunity for visitors with limited time to return to their point of departure without retracing their steps.

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

Overall management objectives for this character area focus on implementing strategies for maintaining the landscape in a way that make it possible to interpret it at several different stages of its development over the period of significance.

The base map used for the Historic Register Nomination, updated in 1984 by Torres and Baumler, appears to be based on a construction map created in 1978. Mapping this character area using contemporary technology will help to identify and maintain important resources such as the Stafford irrigation ditch and historic fence lines and walls.

In order to maintain historic views and landscape character, vegetation management is essential. Removal of invasive shrubs and encouragement of native grasses will

support this objective. According to the recommendations of Ian Firth, there are three principal approaches to landscape treatment when an inability to recover or maintain characteristics makes it necessary to consider alternatives to preserving or restoring all components of a historic scene. These are

- Replacement with equivalent communities.
- Replacement with grassland communities.
- Release to allow the return of native vegetation and wildlife.

Lack of resources, both water resources and management capacity, would make replacement with an equivalent community difficult. Releasing the area to allow the return of native vegetation and wildlife would have a severe impact on visitor understanding of the site within its historic context. Of the three approaches, replacement with grassland communities seems most feasible.

Interpretation should focus on small, temporary interpretive exhibits to highlight the character and features of this landscape at different points during its evolving history. This approach will require comparatively minimal staff resources yet offer great flexibility in programming.

An open meadow will enhance the views of the hills, the creek and built structures. Small, temporary exhibits are likely to have the most benefit to visitors while causing minimal maintenance issues.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Under the overall treatment approach of rehabilitation, the recommendations of the Meadow character area focus on the identification and maintenance of circulation routes, views and landscape features that were present during the period of significance. Recommendations allow compatible uses of the ranch for visitors, while preserving the characteristics and features that convey the ranch’s historical, cultural and environmental values. Visitor access to this area is deemed a compatible use. Individual treatment measures are organized and presented by significant landscape features. Treatment recommendations were developed in

---


General  

- Rehabilitate the Meadow Character Area to protect those characteristics and features that convey its historical and cultural significance.  
- Survey and map contributing features of this area using today’s technology.  

Views and Vistas  

- Selectively clear trees to a condition best represented photographs from the period of significance, removing existing trees to create a more open feeling. This will require removal of some junipers – perhaps smaller ones, or ones past a certain height (4’).  
- Encourage the growth of native grasses, using rehabilitation measures as required for their maintenance.  
- Use best management erosion control and re-vegetation practices to minimize erosion and loss of soil.  

Roads and Trails  

- Maintain roads and pathway alignments as Meadow edges.  
- Document cobblestone edging of trail along Bonita Creek with photographs and graphics and preserve if edging is found to be contributing.  
- Maintain trail/road from remains of Martha Stark cabin to ranch road by mowing and by removing encroaching vegetation. Clear vegetation to a 10’ width at pathway (5 feet from trail centerline both sides; clear to result in an irregular, natural-appearing edge).  
- Use only native soil for regrading work  

Fences  

- Document with photographs and graphics.  

---  

• Inspect annually for deterioration.
• Carefully remove encroaching vegetation surrounding posts.
• Replace juniper posts and wire as needed to maintain historic condition.
• Maintain historic fence alignments through vegetation management, especially mowing patterns.

Field Boundary Walls
• Document with photographs and graphics.
• Carefully remove encroaching vegetation.
• Reposition fallen stones when documentation of position is available.
• Maintain historic fence alignments through vegetation management, especially mowing patterns.

Remnants of Martha Stafford Cabin
• Document with photographs and graphics.
• Remove encroaching vegetation to permit greater visibility of this feature.
• Maintain as a remnant.

Pump
• Maintain the pump and concrete base
• Carefully remove encroaching vegetation from base.
• Maintain road to pump site.
• Selectively remove junipers from this area to improve visibility of this contributing feature.

Reveley Stafford Grave
• Document with photographs and graphics.
• Carefully remove vegetation from edges of piled rocks and headstone.

• Annually inspect and maintain headstone.16

• Maintain yuccas as needed.

• Integrate protection of grave marker and yuccas into park fire management plan. Vegetation may be cut back within a twenty foot circumference of the grave, but the grave itself and the yuccas should be protected.

Archeological Resources

• Monitor known resources annually.

• The impact on archeological resources should be considered if construction of any kind is undertaken.

---
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Chapter 5G

STAFFORD CABIN CHARACTER AREA

Figure 5G - 1. Location of Stafford Cabin character area (adapted from Google Earth, 2012).
Figure 5G - 2. Features of Stafford Cabin Character Area (after Torres and Baumler, 1984).  

\[1\] The map as adapted by Louis Torres and Mark Baumler in the 1984 *Historic Structure Report* has been used as the base map for the 1998 and the 2010 CLIs and for the 2009 National Register Nomination Amendment. The version of this map included in the Johnson and Zube 1998 CLI indicates that Torres and Baumler had based their map on a 1978 construction map. See Lauri MacMillian Johnson and Ervin H. Zube, "Cultural Landscape Inventory, Level 2, Faraway Ranch Historic District, Chiricahua National Monument, Wilcox, AZ," (1998), 9.
Figure 5G - 3. Boundary of Faraway Ranch and its relationship to the Stafford homestead.  

HISTORY

Ja Hu Stafford, one of the earliest Anglo pioneers in the area, settled in Bonita Canyon around 1880 with his wife Pauline. He was born in North Carolina, and served in the Indian wars before meeting Pauline Madsen, the twelve-year-old daughter of Danish immigrants. He was in his mid-forties. The two were baptized into the Mormon faith in 1880, and were probably married at this time. After their marriage, they moved to Bonita Canyon. Early legal documents indicate that Ja Hu’s unusual name was in fact Jehu, after the Biblical prophet.

Stafford filed for his 160-acre homestead on October 17, 1880, and probably built the one-room log cabin at about that time. Logs were dragged to the site from further up Bonita Canyon. A well was located at the southwest corner of the cabin. Homestead improvements listed in 1886 include an addition to the house, a chicken house, a smoke house, corral and a four-acre fenced garden. As his family expanded (Ja Hu and Pauline’s first child was born in 1882).

---

2 Ibid., 8.
Pauline had six children, five of whom survived to adulthood, Stafford added additions to the house.6

The orchards and gardens were located in the flat areas both to the east and to the west, where they were bounded by the Erickson homestead. Water from the creek and from a hot spring in the area was used for irrigation.7 The spring appears to have dried up after the earthquake of 1887.8

In 1918, Lillian and Hildegard Erickson purchased the cabin in order to expand their guest ranch facilities. Initially, Tom Stafford, son of Ja Hu and Pauline, continued to live on the property, working for the Ericksons and caring for the orchard and garden. In the early days of the guest ranch, shortly before Lillian married Ed Riggs, a dam was either repaired or constructed on the creek just to the east of the house to provide a swimming hole for visitors.9 Some time during the 1920s, Ed Riggs removed one of the additions to the cabin and used it as the nucleus of the Bunkhouse. During the same period an addition on the west side was converted into an open porch and a fieldstone chimney and fireplace were added at the south end of the cabin. Around 1940 the Riggses added a garage at the northwest corner.

EXISTING CONDITIONS

The Stafford cabin is located on a slight rise in a relatively level area near Bonita Creek at the eastern end of the Faraway Ranch Historic District. Across the creek from the cabin an unnamed wash runs into the creek from the north. Hills rise sharply to both north and south of the site. The cabin is linked to the Main House by means of a cobbled path running through the area of the former orchard and by the ranch road. A turning loop leads off to the cabin from the road. Of the guest ranch facilities offered by Faraway Ranch, the Stafford Cabin is the most isolated, although its location is relatively near to the Silver Spur guest ranch operation developed during the late 1930s at the site of the CCC camp to the north. At some point a screen of eight Arizona cypresses (Cupressus arizonica) was planted to the west of the cabin.

In addition to the house and garage, two hearths, a rock alignment, and a rock enclosure are located in this character area.10 The functions of the rock enclosure and

6 Ibid.
7 Ibid.
9 This dam may have been constructed earlier, perhaps by 1896, when Stafford claimed all the water to the north of his homestead, including a right of way for an irrigation ditch over the same land to his garden. See Livingston, "A Pioneer Log Cabin in Bonita Canyon: The History of the Stafford Cabin: Historic Resource Study."§I.C.
the rock alignment are not known, although historic photographs suggest that structures were sited to the north of the cabin in the past (See Figure 5G-4).

Figure 5G - 4. Stafford Cabin from Neil’s Rock, looking west, 1908 (CHIR 86:16:0856). Stafford cabin is circled.

Figure 5G - 5. Stafford Cabin from Neil’s Rock, looking west, 1960 (WACC 17:96:0901). Stafford cabin is circled.
Figure 5G - 6. Stafford cabin from Neil's Rock, looking west (Erickson, 2011). Note the shade provided to the cabin by the Arizona cypresses (*Cupressus arizonica*).

Figure 5G - 7. Stafford cabin, looking northeast, ca. 1920s (CHIR 86:16:0869).
Figure 5G - 8. Stafford cabin, looking north, early 1960s (CHIR 86:16:0871).

Figure 5G - 9. Stafford cabin looking west, n.d. (CHIR 86:16:0869).
Figure 5G - 10. Stafford cabin, looking east, n.d. (CHIR 86:16:0881).

Figure 5G - 11. Stafford Cabin looking north/northwest from hill to south (Erickson, 2011). Note location adjacent to stream.
Figure 5G - 12. Stafford cabin, west front, looking east (Erickson, 2011).

Figure 5G - 13. Stafford cabin, east front, looking west (Erickson, 2010).
Figure 5G - 14. Line of eight Arizona cypresses (Cupressus arizonica) to the west of the Stafford, cabin, looking east (Erickson, 2012). Neil’s Rock can be seen in the upper right.

Figure 5G - 15. Cobble-lined path to Stafford cabin from the Meadow, looking east (Erickson, 2011). Note line of Arizona cypresses (Cupressus arizonica) in center.
ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION

The Stafford cabin provides an example of a relatively rare type of building in southeastern Arizona, a log cabin. Additions to the basic structure demonstrate changing building technologies over the period of significance. Placement of the cabin on a small rise near the creek meant that water would be easily available, yet the risk of flooding would be avoided (see Figure 5G-11). According to CHIR staff, the cabin has never been flooded. The presence of the spring (which disappeared in 1887) where Bonita Canyon began to widen into a level valley made this area an ideal choice for the kind of market gardening and subsistence farming practiced by the Staffords.

Historic photographs and homestead documents suggest that a number of different outbuildings stood in this area, although only traces of these are visible today.

A row of eight Arizona cypress (Cupressus arizonica) trees separates this character area from the Meadow area. These trees may have been planted as a windbreak or to shade the cabin from the western sun. It is also possible, once the Martha Stark cabin was moved to its former site adjacent to the creek between the Stafford cabin and the Main House, that the cypresses were planted as a privacy screen.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stafford Cabin</td>
<td>5G-4, 5G-5, 5G-6, 5G-7, 5G-8, 5G-9, 5G-10, 5G-11, 5G-12, 5G-13, 5G-14</td>
<td>Log cabin with later additions</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearths</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rock circles (use unknown)</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Enclosures</td>
<td>5G-4</td>
<td>Foundation for one or more outbuildings</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Row of 8 Arizona cypresses</td>
<td>5G-14, 5G-15</td>
<td>Windbreak/shade planting</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Cupressus arizonica)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setting</td>
<td>5G-6, 5G-11, 5G-14</td>
<td>Adjacent to creek and riparian vegetation</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobble–lined Paths</td>
<td>5G-12, 5G-13, 5G-14</td>
<td>Leading to area from Meadow area; surrounding cabin</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signage</td>
<td>5G-17</td>
<td>To southwest of cabin</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>NCC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11 See Figure 5G-2; also ibid.
12 C = contributing; CNC = compatible but non-contributing; NC = non-contributing.
INTEGRITY

The Stafford Cabin remains much as it was during the period of significance, with a special focus on the guest ranch period of the 1930s and 1940s. Feeling and association are present throughout this area, due to isolation and its position surrounded by high hills, tall Arizona cypresses and riparian vegetation. Location, Design, Setting, Materials and Workmanship are uncompromised. This character area retains integrity.

CONDITION

The condition of the house and garage is good. The condition of the hearths, rock alignment and rock enclosure is poor. The condition of the Arizona cypresses is good. Overall, the condition of this character area is good.

ANALYSIS OF INTERPRETIVE POTENTIAL

The location of the Stafford Cabin in relationship to that of the Main House offers a number of opportunities for interpretation. In terms of its earlier history, the adjacency of neighboring small homesteaders (with 160 acres of land each) in Bonita Canyon emerges in contrast to the extraordinary isolation of later and larger ranch complexes in southeast Arizona.

As with other character areas of Faraway Ranch, this area can be interpreted in terms of its relationship to water. Located adjacent to the creek, the cabin was also built above flood level.

Outlining the commercial links between this character area and other parts of the district and surrounding area offers the opportunity to discuss economic practices of the period of significance. The Staffords raised produce to sell to the Buffalo Soldiers military camp and to Fort Bowie. Lillian Riggs purchased the cabin to expand visitor facilities and make it a more viable hospitality endeavor.

The row of Arizona cypresses, which shade the cabin from the hot western sun, afforded additional privacy to visitors during the guest ranch period. Today this line of mature trees separates the cabin visually and spatially from adjacent areas to the west. This separation offers the possibility to interpret the cabin in terms of its evolution from early homestead to guest facility, rather than as a mere satellite to the Main House. The stacked logs of the eastern side of the house contrast vividly with the later siding on the western side. By including an interpretive focus on a period before the development of the guest ranch, this character area provides the basis for a wider historic context, analogous to the inclusion of the Buffalo Soldiers and the Indian Wars in the Southern Hills and Ranch Road area. A more comprehensive interpretation
offers visitors a greater choice of experience, thereby tapping into a greater range of individual interests.

Figure 5G - 17. Position of signage in front of Stafford cabin (Erickson, 2011).

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

Overall maintenance objectives for this character area focus on maintaining the landscape in such a way as to facilitate interpretation both as a homestead and as a guest ranch amenity.

Contributing vegetation within this character area, as in other character areas, needs to be recognized as a contributing feature and protected from fire. Non-contributing vegetation can be thinned selectively, or even backburned, but historically documented trees such as the Arizona cypresses need to be protected from fire.

Large signage detracts from the view and from the character of the cabin area, both close up and from a distance. Care should be taken to ensure that such signage will not interfere with the visitor’s direct relationship to the resource.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Under the overall treatment approach of rehabilitation, the recommendations for the Stafford cabin character area focus on the preservation and minor restoration of features and relationships of the cultural landscape present during the period of
significance, with an emphasis on the Guest Ranch Period (1917-1960s) but not excluding other periods of significance. Individual treatment recommendations are organized and presented by landscape characteristics. They allow compatible uses of the ranch for visitors, while preserving the characteristics and features that convey the ranch's historical, cultural and architectural values.\textsuperscript{13} Visitor access to the site is considered a compatible use.

General

- Continue preservation as described in the Faraway Ranch preservation plan.\textsuperscript{14}
- Maintain the area both as an example of a homestead and as a guest facility of the Guest Ranch period of significance.

Views and Vistas

- Preserve the openness and sense of isolation of the site by maintaining historic views and keeping additional development to a minimum.

Vegetation

- Document current condition with photographs.
- Mow the grass area immediately around the cabin as required for access or fire safety.
- Remove potentially large shrubs directly adjacent to structures early in their growth cycle.
- Visually inspect Arizona cypresses on an annual basis to check for disease and to determine any long-term maintenance needs.
- Maintain Arizona cypresses by pruning only in case of damage.


Circulation

- Maintain existing indirect access patterns to the cabin.
- Maintain cobblestone path edging.
- Consider adding a narrow mown pathway from the cabin to the dam/swimming hole area to avoid bank erosion caused by multiple visitor trails.

Cabin/Garage

- Inspect annually for signs of deterioration.
- Carefully remove encroaching vegetation from base of buildings.
- Repair foundations as needed.
- Scrape and repaint wood as needed.
- Repair roofs as needed.
- Repair windows as needed.

Rock Alignment, Hearths and Rock Enclosure

- Document with photographs and graphics.
- Inspect annually for deterioration.
- Carefully remove any encroaching vegetation.
- Implement erosion control as needed.
- Replace fallen stones if documented.

Fire Management Plan

- Incorporate appropriate protection for the Arizona cypresses into the CHIR Fire Management Plan. Trees should be protected, and because of their contributing status and function they should not be limbed up or thinned unless this is necessary to protect the cabin itself.
Archaeological Resources

- Consider impact on potential archaeological resources caused by any site modification.

Signage

- Consider type and position of signage in light of interpretive goals.
Chapter 5H
Cemetery Character Area

Figure 5H - 1. Location of Cemetery Character Area as a non-contiguous area of the Faraway Ranch Historic District (adapted from Google Earth, 2011).

Figure 5H - 2. USGS map of Faraway Ranch Historic District (1996). Note location of Cemetery in relationship to the main part of the historic district.
Figure 5H - 3. Schematic layout of Cemetery (after Johnson and Zube, 1997). ¹

Figure 5H - 4. Cemetery location in topographic context (adapted from Google Earth, 2011).

HISTORY

The Faraway Ranch Cemetery was developed between the dates of Lewis Prue's burial in 1892 and Benton Erickson's burial in 1978. Prue was a neighboring rancher who had expressed a wish to be buried at the mouth of Bonita Canyon, where he could “lie under the oak on the hillside and watch his cows come to water.” When he was killed by a fall from a horse in 1892, the Ericksons gave permission for him to be buried on their land. When Neil Erickson died in 1937, a new plot was constructed to the west of Prue’s gravesite. According to one obituary, Neil had planted cypress trees there some years before to mark the place. It is not known when iron fences were placed around the gravesites, but at least one of them may have been installed by Lillian in 1940. Additional Erickson burials include those of Emma Erickson and Louis ‘Ben’ Erickson. Lillian Erickson Riggs is not buried here.

The cemetery has cultural significance representative of its period and place. The stone foundations and iron fences with an associated cypress grove or other source of shade are typical of burial practices of the time. The presence of water, often as a lake or a stream, is also typical. Here the view of Bonita Creek serves this function. The nineteenth-century European development of graveyards as parks was followed well into the twentieth century in the United States. Such parks were created on a large scale in the eastern United States and on a smaller scale throughout the West, leading to family cemeteries such as this one.

---

2 Ibid., 34.
4 Ibid.
Figure 5H - 5. View of Cemetery, looking southeast (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5H - 6. Louis Prue gravesite, 1933 (CHIR 86:16:0082). Note the oak tree (*Quercus* alba) to the right of the fence and the large rock in the right foreground.
Figure 5H - 7. Contemporary view from the same point as Figure 5H-6 (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5H - 8. Cemetery area fire damage after Horseshoe 2 (Erickson, 2011).
Figure 5H - 9. Fire damage to historic oak tree (Quercus alba) at Prue gravesite (Erickson, 2011). This photograph was taken immediately after the Horseshoe 2 Fire.

Figure 5H - 10. Historic oak tree at Prue gravesite one year after Horseshoe 2 Fire (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5H - 11. Cemetery views and vistas (after Google Earth, 2011).

Figure 5H - 12. View across Bonita Creek Valley from Prue Gravesite (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5H - 13. View looking north across Bonita Creek from Erickson Cemetery (Erickson, 2012).

Figure 5H - 14. Park signage between entrance and Cemetery (Erickson, 2012).
Figure 5H - 15. Location of signage at Cemetery (Erickson, 2011).

Figure 5H - 16. Missing Arizona cypress (Cupressus arizonica), circled in red, in Erickson Cemetery (Erickson, 2011).
EXISTING CONDITIONS

The Faraway Ranch Cemetery is a discontiguous contributing area within the Faraway Ranch Historic District. Located at the mouth of Bonita Canyon just east of the Park entrance on the south side of the main access road, it is situated in a relatively level area at the base of a sharply rising hillside to the south. The cemetery, consisting of two raised and fenced grave plots, is visible from the road to visitors entering or leaving CHIR. The larger plot, 20' x 30', contains the graves of Emma Sophia Erickson, Neil Erickson and Louis Benton Erickson. All three have grave markers. The Erickson plot is shaded by an Arizona cypress (Cupressus arizonica) and an alligator juniper (Juniperus deppeana). The grave of Lewis Prue, some feet to the east, is shaded by an oak (Quercus alba), which, on visual inspection on July 19, 2011, appeared to be of a variety not common in the valley. Both grave plots have stone foundations. A large, circular pull-out, separated from the main access road by a raised curb barrier, offers parking to visitors, who may then approach the gravesites on foot. Paved in a manner similar to others in the Park, this pull-out was probably installed after Faraway was acquired by NPS. It is large enough to accommodate six cars with ease. Views into the cemetery are dominated by the parking area. An extensive view across the mouth of Bonita Canyon is interrupted by a staging area to the northeast.

The Faraway Ranch Historic District was acquired by Chiricahua after its establishment as a National Monument. As originally conceived, the Visitor Center was located - quite appropriately - at what was then the entrance to the Monument (on the park road, midway between the Faraway horse trailer parking and the Visitor Center). The subsequent relocation of the entrance gate to the west of the Faraway Ranch Historic District means that the Visitor Center has become the primary orientation site for the historic district.

ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION

Contributing Features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Contributing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ornate Iron Fences</td>
<td>5H-5, 5H-6, 5H-7, 5H-9, 5H-10</td>
<td>Mounted on stone walls around Prue and Erickson gravesites</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis ‘Ben’ Erickson Gravemarker</td>
<td></td>
<td>Granite headstone on granite base with engraved brass plaque</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Prue Gravemarker</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stone gravemarker on concrete base</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Erickson Gravemarker</td>
<td></td>
<td>Natural pink granite boulder with inset cast bronze plaque</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 C = contributing; CNC = compatible but non-contributing; NC = non-contributing.
### INTEGRITY

This character area retains its integrity. The gravesites remain much as they were installed during the Period of Significance. The view across the mouth of Bonita Canyon has no doubt changed somewhat since the area was used for grazing purposes, showing more shrubby junipers and, perhaps, less blowing dust from overgrazed pasture land. The Monument entrance station is located out of the direct line of vision and is marginally intrusive. A possibly temporary staging area across the road to the north does, however, have a negative impact on the view. The entrance road has been widened and paved over time, and a parking pull-out has been constructed between the road and the gravesites. Yet the general character of the viewshed and of the hillside behind the Cemetery remains intact. Some of the trees - especially valley oak and ash - along Bonita Creek were significantly damaged by the recent fire and may not survive. This will inevitably alter the appearance of the Bonita Creek corridor. Location, Design, Setting, Materials and Workmanship are essentially uncompromised.

Feeling and association are clearly present. Minimal traffic moves along the access road, and the soundscape of wind and birds remains quite comparable to that of the period of significance. The sense of isolation, a wide view of range land and water, an embracing hillside and the shade of trees provide a sense of place and a link to the area’s past.
CONDITION ASSESSMENT

The grave plots and headstones are generally well-maintained. The Horseshoe 2 Fire of June 2011 did no apparent damage to the plots or grave markers, but it did significant damage to the historic white oak (*Quercus alba*) at the Prue gravesite. Assessment in 2012 indicates that this oak has survived. The Arizona cypress (*Cupressus arizonica*) is in good condition, but the second cypress within the Erickson plot has been removed, and only the stump remains. The overall condition of this character area is good.

ANALYSIS OF INTERPRETIVE POTENTIAL

The cemetery offers a unique opportunity for visitors to experience the landscape designed to encompass burials during the period of significance. From personal observation, it appears that cemeteries are visited less often today than was the case even thirty years ago, and memorials are more often disassociated from graves. The Cemetery therefore offers an important insight into a historic memorial expression.

The choice of the cemetery location was made because of Louis Prue’s desire to be buried under the oak tree, with a view of the valley where his cattle came to water. Later, Neil Erickson arranged for cypresses to be planted on the site, following a longstanding tradition of planting evergreen memorial trees in cemeteries. Neil was buried near Prue’s oak tree at his expressed wish.

Immediate access to the cemetery area from the main park road presents an interpretational challenge for this character area, as it now serves as an informal orientation area for the entire Faraway Ranch District. This function, incompatible with the cemetery’s original purpose as a memorial, dominates the actual artifacts and detracts from its intended function. A number of heritage analysts have noted that signage may in some cases lead visitors to concentrate more on the markers than on what they celebrate. In this case the signage obscures the view of the Cemetery itself, as well as introducing information tangential to the specific character area.

The cemetery area offers the opportunity to interpret the story of burial practices in rural areas of southeastern Arizona during the first half of the twentieth century. The presence of shade on the site combined with a wide view over the valley suggest an opportunity for meditation for today’s visitors that is entirely compatible with the original intention of the cemetery. Installation of a park bench, along with the

---

removal of large-scale signage, might provide an important opportunity for passive interpretation.

MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

Overall management objectives for this character area focus on implementing strategies for passive interpretation of the cemetery as an area for meditation as imagined by those who developed it, while maintaining the historic fabric.

In order to maintain historic views and landscape character, vegetation management is essential.

TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Under the overall treatment approach of rehabilitation, the recommendations for the Cemetery character area focus on the preservation and minor restoration of features and relationships of the cultural landscape that were present during the period of significance, with an emphasis on the Erickson Ranch Period (1889-1916) and the Guest Ranch Period (1917-1960s), which taken together encompass the period of development of this character area. Individual treatment measures are organized and presented by landscape characteristics. These measures allow compatible uses of the ranch for visitors, while preserving the characteristics and features that convey the ranch’s historical, cultural and architectural values. Visitor access to the site is considered a compatible use. Treatment recommendations were developed in discussion with CHIR staff, with reference to Routine Maintenance as a Preservation Stewardship Strategy (2009).

Because the setting of this character area is so essential to its interpretation, it is recommended the Historic District be enlarged to include more of the hillside behind the cemetery. Doing so would help to recognize in an official way the important of the area’s context. The 5200’ elevation line seems an appropriate choice for this boundary, offering a sufficient visual area to frame the site (see Figures FH-5 and 5H-18).

---

General

- Maintain the cemetery character area as a memorial and an example of a period graveyard.

Boundaries

- Enlarge the boundary of the character area and the district boundary to include the steep and sheltering slope to the southeast of the gravesites. The 5200’ elevation line seems an appropriate choice for this boundary, offering a sufficient visual area to frame the site.

Views and vistas

- Relocate the staging area in order to restore an uninterrupted view across the valley where Bonita Canyon flows out onto the plains.

- Relocate signage that disrupts visitor views into the Cemetery. Signage specific to the cemetery could be relocated some yards to the west of its current location. General park signage relating the history of Faraway Ranch should be located elsewhere, either to one of the picnic areas to the southeast of this site or at Park Headquarters. This would preserve the seclusion of the Cemetery character area while providing a more obvious area for orientation to the district as a whole.

- Place a simple park bench under the shade of the oak tree in the eastern part of the site, looking out across the valley. This is in keeping with the original selection of this location as a burial site.

- Consider ways to deemphasize the parking area by reducing its size, resurfacing it with a more natural material such as stabilized decomposed
granite, by replacing the curbing with an area of low growing native plantings, or relocating it to a less obtrusive position.

Vegetation

- Document existing historic vegetation (the white oak, the Arizona cypress, the juniper and the unknown shrub in the center of the south side of the Erickson gravesite) with photographs and graphics.

- Evaluate vegetation annually for maintenance needs.

- Prune vegetation only as needed for maintenance. Remove dead limbs as needed.

- Replant an Arizona cypress (*Cupressus arizonica*) at southwest inside corner of the Erickson gravesite.

Stone foundations for grave markers

- Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.

- Monitor annually for stability and signs of deterioration, noting condition of stone masonry,

- Note any long-term maintenance needs.

- Carefully remove any encroaching vegetation.

Iron fence sections mounted on stone walls

- Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.

- Monitor annually for stability and signs of deterioration, noting condition of stone masonry.

- Note any long-term maintenance needs.

- Carefully remove any encroaching vegetation.

- Periodically sweep off loose debris.

- Iron fence can be maintained with a painted or rustic (rusting) surface. For a painted surface: repair cast iron fence panels, removing paint coating
and cleaning rust off iron substrate; replace fastener bolts if necessary; repaint.

- Repoint walls as needed by raking mortar joints clear of loose mortar and replacing mortar in kind.

Gravemarkers

- Document current conditions with photographs and graphics.
- Monitor annually for stability and signs of deterioration.
- Note any long-term maintenance needs.
- Carefully remove any encroaching vegetation.
- Periodically sweep off loose debris.

Circulation

- Find an alternative to using the Cemetery site to orient visitors to the Faraway Ranch Historic District. Although it is geographically the first site encountered after entering the park, general orientation is not a compatible use for this character area. Other areas more appropriate for such orientation are the Bonita Creek Picnic Area, the Faraway Ranch Picnic Area or the Visitor Center. Each of these sites offers different advantages. Bonita Creek is the first appropriate area that visitors encounter after entering the Monument. Faraway Ranch has the advantage of a central location within the District. The Visitor Center has indoor exhibit facilities and brings visitors into contact with Park staff.

- Reconfigure parking (see recommendations above under “Views and Vistas”) to limit the number of simultaneous visitors, thus providing a more appropriate sense of solitude in this character area.

Fire Management Plan

- Incorporate appropriate protection for significant contributing vegetation into the CHIR Fire Management Plan. Vegetation within the immediate area (within 20’) of the Cemetery should be protected if possible, but can be thinned selectively or even backburned if deemed essential for the protection of the contributing trees in the immediate area of the Cemetery.
Contributing trees should not be limbed up except as a last resort for protection.

Archaeological Resources
- Consider impact on potential archaeological resources caused by any site modification.

Additional Family Burials
- If the park decides to accept additional family burials within the Cemetery Character Area, these additions need to be done in a way that will not negatively impact the layout, character, or integrity of the existing site. Remaining space within the Erickson Family plot can be used for burial without significant impact on site integrity, but any new headstones must not compete visually with existing headstones. In order to maintain historic integrity, burials outside the existing plot should not be considered.
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Chapter 6
Implementation

INTRODUCTION

Implementation of recommendations in this report fall into five categories: protection of contributing features, survey and mapping, systematic organization of photographs and written materials, circulation, and expanded interpretation.

Protection of Contributing Features

The list of contributing and non-contributing features within the district can be updated as additional survey and mapping is completed. Existing inventories are clearly selective, and often features are grouped (“stone walls”) rather than itemized.

The focus of protection efforts needs to include vegetation and small-scale features in addition to structures. Major trees and other historic vegetation should be inventoried, and, once identified, should be integrated into the CHIR fire management plan.

A Landscape Preservation Maintenance Plan should be developed to provide specific guidance and routine maintenance procedures for identified historic vegetation and other small-scale contributing features.

Survey and Mapping

The last general survey work was done in preparation for the 1984 Historic Structure Report by Torres and Baumler, and the 1987 publication, The Camp at Bonita Cañon: A Buffalo Soldier Camp in Chiricahua National Monument, Arizona, by Tagg. Some limited investigation was also done by D’Ascenzo in 1994 preparatory to the installation of the Faraway Ranch parking lot and comfort station, but there has been no overall survey and mapping of resources other than these. Cursory ground-

---

truthing indicates that there are a number of discrepancies and unlisted features in the reports that followed over the next decades.

Survey and mapping technologies have improved tremendously during this period, and determining what resources exist on-site is an important first step to reconsidering circulation patterns and interpretative strategies. Recording changes in the landscape through GIS-identified photography – and linking these photographs to existing historic photographs – will help to create a framework for determining appropriate rehabilitation strategies to deal with a changing climate.

The 1993 Kumble Landscape Restoration Report provides an example of the kind of intensive survey of vegetation that is essential to the protection of the environmental characteristics of the District. Such a report should not be limited to the Main House Area, however, but deal with all areas, including riparian and hillside vegetation. The Main House area, because of further deteriorization of ornamental exotics characteristic of the Guest Ranch Period, should also be revisited.

Archives

The Faraway Ranch Historic District is fortunate in having extensive photographic and documentary information as a basis for research. Yet there is no one location where these materials are available for study. Some materials are at WACC, some at CHIR. To some extent the collections overlap; in many cases they are identified by two different catalog numbering systems. Some items have not been cataloged. Even in the case of secondary materials such as CLIs and CLRs, there is no one location where these are archived.

Having all photographs, documents and secondary materials available in digital format and easily accessible to researchers would provide a complementary resource for updated survey and mapping. It would also provide a check against repetition factual errors in derived materials.

Circulation

The circulation patterns now in place in the Historic District are not conducive to an intelligible visitor experience. Interpretation rather than expedience needs to inform circulation design. It is also important to take into account the needs and time restrictions of a variety of visitors, providing different options to offer a cursory or in-depth experience of the site.

---

Wayfinding is an important factor in devising appropriate circulation patterns. Although the contiguous section of the historic district is relatively small, there is no clear indication of whether spaces or structures are primary or secondary, and there is no clear pattern of direction through the site.

It is recommended to focus on the Main House as the introduction to the District, a role it played during the greater part of the period of significance. Selective clearing of vegetation to provide views of the house from other areas of the site would help clarify to visitors its position in relationship to the overall landscape.

**Interpretation**

At present the Historic District is interpreted as a Guest Ranch, ignoring the richness of other aspects of the Period of Significance. Utilizing other aspects of the site, especially the Buffalo Soldiers Camp, the Stafford Homestead and the Cattle Ranching periods, would draw a wider range of visitors and provide a deeper understanding of the interaction of people and landscape over time.

Such utilization of resources could either be localized – to the site of the Garfield Monument or to the Stafford Cabin, for example – or scheduled into a multi-year interpretive cycle. Developing one area or one annual focus at a time would make it easier to implement.

Mounting temporary small-scale exhibits, rather than attempting more labor-intensive approaches to interpretation such as the living history model, is recommended for this site.
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APPENDIX I: FUTURE CONSIDERATIONS

The following possibilities have been discussed with CHIR staff, but have been determined not to be feasible at the present time.

- Creating a safe, ecologically and historically sensitive overlook for the contiguous area of the Faraway Ranch District.

Such an overview would offer visitors visual insight into the interaction of natural and human imposed landscape characteristic of the period of significance. A birdseye view impressed visitors to the ranch in the past – witness the numerous photographs from the hills – and this could be recreated for today’s visitors.

- Upgrading some areas of the historic district to ADA/ADAAG standards.

Some character areas have the potential for this undertaking due to existing level topography. However, any decision to upgrade a path to ADA/ADAAG standards must take into account the tradeoff between accessibility and historic character. Some contributing features may be incompatible with such alterations (see Figure 7F-2).

---

Appendix 1 Figure 2. Some areas of the existing level trail along Bonita Creek present barriers to accessibility due to the presence of Martha Stark cabin remains (MBP1050224.JPG, 2010).
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### APPENDIX III: ARCHIVAL RESOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Archival Resource</th>
<th>Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona Historical Society</td>
<td>949 East Second Avenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson, AZ 85719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiricahua National Monument Archives</td>
<td>Wilcox, AZ 85644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western Archeological and Conservation Center</td>
<td>255 North Commerce Park Loop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tucson, AZ 85745</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX IV: NPS CONDITION RATINGS

CULTURAL LANDSCAPES CONDITION
National Park Service, Cultural Landscapes Program  2013

GOOD: The cultural landscape shows no clear evidence of major negative disturbances and deterioration by natural and/or human forces. The cultural landscape’s historic and natural values are as well preserved as can be expected under the given environmental conditions. No immediate corrective action is required to maintain its current condition.

FAIR: The cultural landscapes shows clear evidence of minor disturbances and deterioration by natural and/or human forces, and some degree of corrective action is needed within 3-5 years to prevent further harm to its historical and/or natural values. The cumulative effect of the deterioration of many of the significant characteristics and features of the cultural landscape, if left to continue without the appropriate corrective action, will cause the landscape to degrade to a poor condition.

POOR: The cultural landscape shows clear evidence of major disturbance and rapid deterioration by natural and/or human forces. Immediate corrective action is required to protect and preserve the remaining historic and natural areas.

UNKNOWN: Not enough information is available to make an evaluation.
APPENDIX IV: ADDITIONAL RESOURCE DOCUMENTS

1. Faraway Ranch Historic District
   National Register Nomination (1980)

2. Faraway Ranch Historic District
   National Register Amendment (2009)
   
   As of March 27, 2013, this amendment had not been submitted to the Arizona State Historic Preservation Office.

3. Faraway Ranch Historic District
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